ICPSR | INTER-UNIVERSITY CONSORTIUM FOR POLITICAL AND SOCIAL RESEARCH

Outlook on Life Surveys, 2012

Belinda Robnett
University of California-Irvine

Katherine Tate
Brown University

Codebook

Terms of Use

Information about Copyrighted Content

ICPSR PROCESSING NOTE FOR # 35348
Outlook on Life Surveys, 2012

1) **Confidentiality:**
   - ICPSR has recoded numeric variables with sensitive information using top and bottom coding methods to protect respondent confidentiality.

   - Values that were deemed as indirect identifiers (such as interview date and time) ICPSR used a series of data coarsening techniques to protect for confidentiality.

2) **Question Text:**
   - Question text was added to the data based on the original data collection instrument deposited by the Principal Investigator.

3) **Value and Variable labels:**
   - Value and Variable labels were standardized based on the original data collection instrument deposited by the Principal Investigator.

   - Any spelling errors found in the value labels were corrected during ICPSR processing.

4) **Missing Values:**
   - Missing values were standardized as per the study's convention.

ICPSR 35348

Outlook on Life Surveys, 2012

Variable Description and Frequencies

Note: Frequencies displayed for the variables are not weighted. They are purely descriptive and may not be representative of the study population. Please review any sampling or weighting information available with the study.

Summary statistics (minimum, maximum, mean, median, and standard deviation) may not be available for every variable in the codebook. Conversely, a listing of frequencies in table format may not be present for every variable in the codebook either. However, all variables in the dataset are present and display sufficient information about each variable. These decisions are made intentionally and are at the discretion of the archive producing this codebook.

CASEID: ICPSR Sequential Case Identification Number

Based upon 2,294 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

Location: 1-4 (width: 4; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

W1_CASEID: Case ID (Wave 1)

Case ID

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
2	-	1	0.0 %
3	-	1	0.0 %
4	-	1	0.0 %
5	-	1	0.0 %
6	-	1	0.0 %
7	-	1	0.0 %
8	-	1	0.0 %
9	-	1	0.0 %
10	-	1	0.0 %
11	-	1	0.0 %
12	-	1	0.0 %
13	-	1	0.0 %
14	-	1	0.0 %
15	-	1	0.0 %
16	-	1	0.0 %
17	-	1	0.0 %
18	-	1	0.0 %
19	-	1	0.0 %
20	-	1	0.0 %
21	-	1	0.0 %
22	-	1	0.0 %
23	-	1	0.0 %
24	-	1	0.0 %
25	-	1	0.0 %
26	-	1	0.0 %
27	-	1	0.0 %
28	-	1	0.0 %
29	-	1	0.0 %
30	-	1	0.0 %
31	-	1	0.0 %
32	-	1	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
33	-	1	0.0 %
34	-	1	0.0 %
35	-	1	0.0 %
36	-	1	0.0 %
37	-	1	0.0 %
38	-	1	0.0 %
40	-	1	0.0 %
41	-	1	0.0 %
42	-	1	0.0 %
43	-	1	0.0 %
44	-	1	0.0 %
46	-	1	0.0 %
47	-	1	0.0 %
48	-	1	0.0 %
49	-	1	0.0 %
50	-	1	0.0 %
51	-	1	0.0 %
52	-	1	0.0 %
53	-	1	0.0 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 2,294 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 1195.43
- Minimum: 2.00
- Maximum: 2426.00
- Standard Deviation: 694.17

Location: 5-8 (width: 4; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

W2_CASEID2: Case ID (Wave 2)

CaseID from wave 2

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
2	-	1	0.0 %
3	-	1	0.0 %
4	-	1	0.0 %
5	-	1	0.0 %
6	-	1	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
7	-	1	0.0 %
8	-	1	0.0 %
9	-	1	0.0 %
10	-	1	0.0 %
11	-	1	0.0 %
12	-	1	0.0 %
13	-	1	0.0 %
14	-	1	0.0 %
15	-	1	0.0 %
16	-	1	0.0 %
17	-	1	0.0 %
18	-	1	0.0 %
19	-	1	0.0 %
20	-	1	0.0 %
21	-	1	0.0 %
22	-	1	0.0 %
23	-	1	0.0 %
24	-	1	0.0 %
25	-	1	0.0 %
26	-	1	0.0 %
27	-	1	0.0 %
28	-	1	0.0 %
29	-	1	0.0 %
30	-	1	0.0 %
31	-	1	0.0 %
32	-	1	0.0 %
33	-	1	0.0 %
34	-	1	0.0 %
35	-	1	0.0 %
36	-	1	0.0 %
37	-	1	0.0 %
38	-	1	0.0 %
39	-	1	0.0 %
40	-	1	0.0 %
41	-	1	0.0 %
42	-	1	0.0 %
43	-	1	0.0 %
44	-	1	0.0 %
45	-	1	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
46	-	1	0.0 %
47	-	1	0.0 %
48	-	1	0.0 %
49	-	1	0.0 %
50	-	1	0.0 %
51	-	1	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	693	30.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 1,601 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 833.07
- Minimum: 2.00
- Maximum: 1686.00
- Standard Deviation: 482.77

Location: 9-12 (width: 4; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

W1_TM_START: Interview start time (GMT)

Interview start time (GMT)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
BLANKED FOR CONFIDENTIALITY - ICPSR	-	2294	100.0 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,294 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

Location: 13-47 (width: 35; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: character

W1_TM_FINISH: Interview finish time (GMT)

Interview finish time (GMT)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
BLANKED FOR CONFIDENTIALITY - ICPSR	-	2294	100.0 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,294 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

Location: 48-82 (width: 35; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: character

W1_WEIGHT1: Post-Stratification weight: All Cases

Post-Stratification weight: All Cases

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0.0089	-	15	0.7 %
0.0090	-	1	0.0 %
0.0092	-	1	0.0 %
0.0098	-	1	0.0 %
0.0100	-	1	0.0 %
0.0104	-	1	0.0 %
0.0110	-	2	0.1 %
0.0114	-	1	0.0 %
0.0117	-	2	0.1 %
0.0120	-	1	0.0 %
0.0122	-	1	0.0 %
0.0123	-	1	0.0 %
0.0128	-	1	0.0 %
0.0132	-	1	0.0 %
0.0136	-	1	0.0 %
0.0138	-	1	0.0 %
0.0140	-	1	0.0 %
0.0148	-	2	0.1 %
0.0149	-	2	0.1 %
0.0155	-	1	0.0 %
0.0156	-	15	0.7 %
0.0157	-	1	0.0 %
0.0158	-	2	0.1 %
0.0159	-	1	0.0 %
0.0164	-	2	0.1 %
0.0165	-	1	0.0 %
0.0166	-	1	0.0 %
0.0168	-	2	0.1 %
0.0171	-	2	0.1 %
0.0173	-	1	0.0 %
0.0176	-	1	0.0 %
0.0177	-	1	0.0 %
0.0178	-	1	0.0 %
0.0180	-	2	0.1 %
0.0182	-	2	0.1 %
0.0188	-	1	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0.0189	-	2	0.1 %
0.0190	-	1	0.0 %
0.0192	-	3	0.1 %
0.0195	-	2	0.1 %
0.0197	-	2	0.1 %
0.0200	-	1	0.0 %
0.0201	-	1	0.0 %
0.0203	-	1	0.0 %
0.0205	-	1	0.0 %
0.0209	-	1	0.0 %
0.0213	-	1	0.0 %
0.0216	-	1	0.0 %
0.0219	-	1	0.0 %
0.0220	-	2	0.1 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 2,294 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 1.0000
- Minimum: 0.0089
- Maximum: 7.4649
- Standard Deviation: 1.3166

Location: 83-88 (width: 6; decimal: 4)
Variable Type: numeric

W1_WEIGHT2: Post-Stratification weight: Total African American and total non-African American

Post-Stratification weight: Total African American and total non-African American

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0.0448	-	15	0.7 %
0.0454	-	1	0.0 %
0.0464	-	1	0.0 %
0.0492	-	1	0.0 %
0.0504	-	1	0.0 %
0.0524	-	1	0.0 %
0.0554	-	1	0.0 %
0.0555	-	1	0.0 %
0.0573	-	1	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0.0588	-	2	0.1 %
0.0605	-	1	0.0 %
0.0612	-	1	0.0 %
0.0617	-	1	0.0 %
0.0644	-	1	0.0 %
0.0666	-	1	0.0 %
0.0683	-	1	0.0 %
0.0696	-	1	0.0 %
0.0703	-	1	0.0 %
0.0744	-	1	0.0 %
0.0746	-	1	0.0 %
0.0749	-	1	0.0 %
0.0751	-	1	0.0 %
0.0781	-	1	0.0 %
0.0785	-	15	0.7 %
0.0793	-	1	0.0 %
0.0796	-	1	0.0 %
0.0798	-	1	0.0 %
0.0802	-	1	0.0 %
0.0824	-	2	0.1 %
0.0833	-	1	0.0 %
0.0837	-	1	0.0 %
0.0847	-	1	0.0 %
0.0848	-	1	0.0 %
0.0859	-	2	0.1 %
0.0873	-	1	0.0 %
0.0885	-	1	0.0 %
0.0889	-	1	0.0 %
0.0895	-	1	0.0 %
0.0906	-	1	0.0 %
0.0908	-	1	0.0 %
0.0915	-	1	0.0 %
0.0916	-	1	0.0 %
0.0948	-	1	0.0 %
0.0951	-	1	0.0 %
0.0954	-	1	0.0 %
0.0955	-	1	0.0 %
0.0965	-	3	0.1 %
0.0984	-	2	0.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0.0994	-	2	0.1 %
0.1007	-	1	0.0 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 2,294 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 1.0000
- Minimum: 0.0448
- Maximum: 6.8566
- Standard Deviation: 1.0211

Location: 89-94 (width: 6; decimal: 4)
Variable Type: numeric

W1_WEIGHT3: Post-Stratification weight: Total African American/non-African American by Male/Female

Post-Stratification weight: Total African American/non-African American by Male/Female

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0.0418	-	15	0.7 %
0.0425	-	1	0.0 %
0.0434	-	1	0.0 %
0.0460	-	1	0.0 %
0.0471	-	1	0.0 %
0.0489	-	1	0.0 %
0.0518	-	1	0.0 %
0.0519	-	1	0.0 %
0.0536	-	1	0.0 %
0.0549	-	1	0.0 %
0.0550	-	1	0.0 %
0.0566	-	1	0.0 %
0.0572	-	1	0.0 %
0.0577	-	1	0.0 %
0.0602	-	1	0.0 %
0.0623	-	1	0.0 %
0.0638	-	1	0.0 %
0.0650	-	1	0.0 %
0.0657	-	1	0.0 %
0.0695	-	1	0.0 %
0.0697	-	1	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0.0700	-	1	0.0 %
0.0701	-	1	0.0 %
0.0730	-	1	0.0 %
0.0741	-	1	0.0 %
0.0744	-	1	0.0 %
0.0750	-	1	0.0 %
0.0770	-	1	0.0 %
0.0771	-	1	0.0 %
0.0782	-	1	0.0 %
0.0792	-	1	0.0 %
0.0793	-	1	0.0 %
0.0803	-	2	0.1 %
0.0825	-	15	0.7 %
0.0827	-	1	0.0 %
0.0837	-	1	0.0 %
0.0838	-	1	0.0 %
0.0856	-	1	0.0 %
0.0875	-	1	0.0 %
0.0891	-	1	0.0 %
0.0917	-	1	0.0 %
0.0920	-	2	0.1 %
0.0929	-	2	0.1 %
0.0934	-	1	0.0 %
0.0946	-	1	0.0 %
0.0952	-	1	0.0 %
0.0954	-	1	0.0 %
0.0961	-	1	0.0 %
0.0964	-	1	0.0 %
0.0984	-	1	0.0 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 2,294 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 1.0000
- Minimum: 0.0418
- Maximum: 6.4088
- Standard Deviation: 1.0003

Location: 95-100 (width: 6; decimal: 4)
Variable Type: numeric

W2_TM_START: Interview start time (GMT)

Interview start time (GMT)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
BLANKED FOR CONFIDENTIALITY - ICPSR	-	2294	100.0 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,294 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

Location: 101-135 (width: 35; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: character

W2_TM_FINISH: Interview finish time (GMT)

Interview finish time (GMT)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
BLANKED FOR CONFIDENTIALITY - ICPSR	-	2294	100.0 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,294 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

Location: 136-170 (width: 35; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: character

W2_DURATION: Interview duration in minutes

Interview duration in minutes

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	1	0.0 %
1	-	4	0.2 %
2	-	5	0.2 %
3	-	11	0.5 %
4	-	8	0.3 %
5	-	8	0.3 %
6	-	13	0.6 %
7	-	27	1.2 %
8	-	29	1.3 %
9	-	45	2.0 %
10	-	60	2.6 %
11	-	70	3.1 %
12	-	95	4.1 %
13	-	70	3.1 %
14	-	85	3.7 %
15	-	81	3.5 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
16	-	65	2.8 %
17	-	73	3.2 %
18	-	70	3.1 %
19	-	64	2.8 %
20	-	45	2.0 %
21	-	42	1.8 %
22	-	47	2.0 %
23	-	33	1.4 %
24	-	40	1.7 %
25	-	32	1.4 %
26	-	24	1.0 %
27	-	29	1.3 %
28	-	19	0.8 %
29	-	18	0.8 %
30	-	13	0.6 %
31	-	10	0.4 %
32	-	18	0.8 %
33	-	18	0.8 %
34	-	11	0.5 %
35	-	12	0.5 %
36	-	13	0.6 %
37	-	6	0.3 %
38	-	11	0.5 %
39	-	9	0.4 %
40	-	6	0.3 %
41	-	6	0.3 %
42	-	6	0.3 %
43	-	10	0.4 %
44	-	8	0.3 %
45	-	9	0.4 %
46	-	5	0.2 %
47	-	7	0.3 %
48	-	5	0.2 %
49	-	5	0.2 %
Missing Data			
.	-	693	30.2 %
Total		**2,294**	**100%**

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 1,601 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 245.25
- Median: 18.00
- Mode: 12.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 19748.00
- Standard Deviation: 1299.50

Location: 171-175 (width: 5; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

W2_QFLAG: DATA ONLY: Qualification Flag

DATA ONLY: Qualification Flag

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Qualified	1601	69.8 %
2	Not Qualified	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	693	30.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,601 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 176-177 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , -1 , .

W2_WEIGHT1: Post-Stratification weight: Total respondents

Post-Stratification weight: Total respondents

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0.0098	-	15	0.7 %
0.0105	-	1	0.0 %
0.0112	-	1	0.0 %
0.0113	-	1	0.0 %
0.0115	-	1	0.0 %
0.0119	-	2	0.1 %
0.0126	-	1	0.0 %
0.0127	-	1	0.0 %
0.0129	-	2	0.1 %
0.0131	-	2	0.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0.0136	-	1	0.0 %
0.0139	-	1	0.0 %
0.0146	-	1	0.0 %
0.0151	-	1	0.0 %
0.0152	-	1	0.0 %
0.0154	-	3	0.1 %
0.0155	-	1	0.0 %
0.0163	-	1	0.0 %
0.0168	-	1	0.0 %
0.0170	-	1	0.0 %
0.0180	-	2	0.1 %
0.0183	-	2	0.1 %
0.0189	-	1	0.0 %
0.0195	-	1	0.0 %
0.0211	-	1	0.0 %
0.0212	-	1	0.0 %
0.0213	-	1	0.0 %
0.0214	-	1	0.0 %
0.0215	-	8	0.3 %
0.0217	-	1	0.0 %
0.0221	-	1	0.0 %
0.0222	-	1	0.0 %
0.0225	-	1	0.0 %
0.0226	-	1	0.0 %
0.0228	-	1	0.0 %
0.0229	-	1	0.0 %
0.0236	-	1	0.0 %
0.0237	-	2	0.1 %
0.0241	-	2	0.1 %
0.0245	-	1	0.0 %
0.0246	-	1	0.0 %
0.0249	-	1	0.0 %
0.0254	-	1	0.0 %
0.0256	-	2	0.1 %
0.0257	-	2	0.1 %
0.0258	-	2	0.1 %
0.0260	-	1	0.0 %
0.0262	-	1	0.0 %
0.0263	-	1	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0.0264	-	1	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	693	30.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 1,601 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 1.0000
- Minimum: 0.0098
- Maximum: 5.4330
- Standard Deviation: 1.2581

Location: 178-183 (width: 6; decimal: 4)
Variable Type: numeric

W2_WEIGHT2: Post-Stratification weight: Total African American and total non-African American respondents separately

Post-Stratification weight: Total African American and total non-African American respondents separately

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0.0458	-	15	0.7 %
0.0490	-	1	0.0 %
0.0521	-	1	0.0 %
0.0525	-	1	0.0 %
0.0537	-	1	0.0 %
0.0555	-	2	0.1 %
0.0586	-	1	0.0 %
0.0593	-	1	0.0 %
0.0602	-	2	0.1 %
0.0612	-	2	0.1 %
0.0632	-	1	0.0 %
0.0645	-	1	0.0 %
0.0681	-	1	0.0 %
0.0704	-	1	0.0 %
0.0706	-	1	0.0 %
0.0716	-	1	0.0 %
0.0717	-	1	0.0 %
0.0720	-	1	0.0 %
0.0724	-	1	0.0 %
0.0758	-	1	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0.0785	-	1	0.0 %
0.0791	-	1	0.0 %
0.0840	-	1	0.0 %
0.0841	-	1	0.0 %
0.0851	-	1	0.0 %
0.0854	-	1	0.0 %
0.0882	-	1	0.0 %
0.0908	-	1	0.0 %
0.0984	-	1	0.0 %
0.0986	-	1	0.0 %
0.0993	-	1	0.0 %
0.0999	-	1	0.0 %
0.1001	-	1	0.0 %
0.1003	-	7	0.3 %
0.1010	-	1	0.0 %
0.1030	-	1	0.0 %
0.1035	-	1	0.0 %
0.1047	-	1	0.0 %
0.1054	-	1	0.0 %
0.1062	-	1	0.0 %
0.1067	-	1	0.0 %
0.1101	-	1	0.0 %
0.1103	-	1	0.0 %
0.1104	-	1	0.0 %
0.1123	-	1	0.0 %
0.1124	-	1	0.0 %
0.1141	-	1	0.0 %
0.1146	-	1	0.0 %
0.1162	-	1	0.0 %
0.1185	-	1	0.0 %
Missing Data			
.	-	693	30.2 %
Total		**2,294**	**100%**

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 1,601 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 1.0000
- Minimum: 0.0458

- Maximum: 6.7558
- Standard Deviation: 1.0366

Location: 184-189 (width: 6; decimal: 4)
Variable Type: numeric

W2_WEIGHT3: Post-Stratification weight: Total African American/non-African American by Male/Female separately

Post-Stratification weight: Total African American/non-African American by Male/Female separately

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0.0454	-	15	0.7 %
0.0486	-	1	0.0 %
0.0517	-	1	0.0 %
0.0521	-	1	0.0 %
0.0532	-	1	0.0 %
0.0550	-	1	0.0 %
0.0551	-	1	0.0 %
0.0581	-	1	0.0 %
0.0588	-	1	0.0 %
0.0597	-	2	0.1 %
0.0606	-	1	0.0 %
0.0607	-	1	0.0 %
0.0626	-	1	0.0 %
0.0640	-	1	0.0 %
0.0675	-	1	0.0 %
0.0697	-	1	0.0 %
0.0700	-	1	0.0 %
0.0710	-	1	0.0 %
0.0711	-	1	0.0 %
0.0714	-	1	0.0 %
0.0717	-	1	0.0 %
0.0752	-	1	0.0 %
0.0778	-	1	0.0 %
0.0785	-	1	0.0 %
0.0833	-	2	0.1 %
0.0843	-	1	0.0 %
0.0846	-	1	0.0 %
0.0874	-	1	0.0 %
0.0900	-	1	0.0 %
0.0976	-	1	0.0 %
0.0978	-	1	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0.0985	-	1	0.0 %
0.0991	-	1	0.0 %
0.0992	-	1	0.0 %
0.1009	-	7	0.3 %
0.1017	-	1	0.0 %
0.1021	-	1	0.0 %
0.1042	-	1	0.0 %
0.1045	-	1	0.0 %
0.1053	-	1	0.0 %
0.1054	-	1	0.0 %
0.1058	-	1	0.0 %
0.1091	-	1	0.0 %
0.1094	-	1	0.0 %
0.1112	-	1	0.0 %
0.1115	-	1	0.0 %
0.1131	-	1	0.0 %
0.1132	-	1	0.0 %
0.1137	-	1	0.0 %
0.1170	-	1	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	693	30.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 1,601 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 1.0000
- Minimum: 0.0454
- Maximum: 6.6978
- Standard Deviation: 1.0339

Location: 190-195 (width: 6; decimal: 4)
Variable Type: numeric

W1_A1: How interested are you in what's going on in government and politics?

How interested are you in what?s going on in government and politics?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Extremely interested	389	17.0 %
2	Very interested	679	29.6 %
3	Moderately interested	658	28.7 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
4	Slightly interested	354	15.4 %
5	Not interested at all	198	8.6 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	16	0.7 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,278 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 196-197 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

W1_A2: How much have you thought about the upcoming election for president?

How much have you thought about the upcoming election for president?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Quite a lot	910	39.7 %
2	Somewhat a lot	599	26.1 %
3	Somewhat a little	423	18.4 %
4	Only a little	236	10.3 %
5	Not at all	116	5.1 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	10	0.4 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,284 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 198-199 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

W1_A4: In 2008, John McCain ran on the Republican ticket against Barack Obama who ran on the Democratic ticket. Do you remember whether or not you voted in that election?

In 2008, John McCain ran on the Republican ticket against Barack Obama who ran on the Democratic ticket. Do you remember whether or not you voted in that election?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes, I voted	1783	77.7 %
2	No, didn't vote	502	21.9 %

Value	Label		Unweighted Frequency	%
	Missing Data			
-1	Refused		9	0.4 %
	Total		**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,285 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 200-201 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

W1_A5A: Who did you vote for?

Who did you vote for?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	John McCain	389	17.0 %
2	Barack Obama	1338	58.3 %
3	Other, please specify:	26	1.1 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	30	1.3 %
.	-	511	22.3 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,753 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 202-203 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

W1_A5A_OTHER_TEXT: [Other] Who did you vote for?

[Other] Who did you vote for?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	-	2277	99.3 %
Barr	-	1	0.0 %
Chuck Baldwin	-	1	0.0 %
I voted against Obama I wasn;t for anyone the choices were SORRY	-	1	0.0 %
Mitt Romney	-	1	0.0 %
Private	-	1	0.0 %
Ron Paul	-	2	0.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
The Constitution Party. (Bob Barr)	-	1	0.0 %
green party	-	1	0.0 %
hillary clinton	-	1	0.0 %
independent third party	-	1	0.0 %
nader	-	1	0.0 %
neither	-	1	0.0 %
no comment	-	1	0.0 %
none of your damn business	-	1	0.0 %
prefer not to say	-	1	0.0 %
voted for all other offices, didn't think either of these candidates were qualified for President, wrote in "none of the above"	-	1	0.0 %
Total		**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,294 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

Location: 204-330 (width: 127; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: character

W1_A5B: Who would you have voted for?

Who would you have voted for?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	John McCain	70	3.1 %
2	Barack Obama	283	12.3 %
3	Other, please specify:	13	0.6 %
4	No one/Refused to vote for a candidate	133	5.8 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	3	0.1 %
.	-	1792	78.1 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 499 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 331-332 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

W1_A5B_OTHER_TEXT: [Other] Who would you have voted for?

[Other] Who would you have voted for?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	-	2281	99.4 %
Didn't know anything	-	1	0.0 %
God	-	1	0.0 %
I can't vote	-	1	0.0 %
MICHEL OBAMA	-	1	0.0 %
Ron Paul	-	1	0.0 %
i don't vote	-	1	0.0 %
i had to be 18	-	1	0.0 %
independit party	-	1	0.0 %
michael savage	-	1	0.0 %
not sure	-	1	0.0 %
ron paul	-	2	0.1 %
spongebob	-	1	0.0 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,294 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

Location: 333-352 (width: 20; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: character

W1_A10: How often, if ever, do you discuss politics with your family or friends?

How often, if ever, do you discuss politics with your family or friends?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Never	349	15.2 %
2	Once or twice a month	796	34.7 %
3	Once or twice a week	557	24.3 %
4	Three or four times a week	344	15.0 %
5	Everyday	233	10.2 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	15	0.7 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,279 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 353-354 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

W1_A11: How many days in the past week did you watch national news programs on television or on the Internet?

How many days in the past week did you watch national news programs on television or on the Internet?

Value	Label	- 23 - Unweighted Frequency	%
1	None	516	22.5 %
2	One day	264	11.5 %
3	Two days	284	12.4 %
4	Three days	245	10.7 %
5	Four days	146	6.4 %
6	Five days	242	10.5 %
7	Six days	123	5.4 %
8	Seven days	463	20.2 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	11	0.5 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,283 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 8.00

Location: 355-356 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

W1_A12: Do you approve or disapprove of the way Barack Obama is handling his job as President?

Do you approve or disapprove of the way Barack Obama is handling his job as President?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Approve	1570	68.4 %
2	Disapprove	666	29.0 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	58	2.5 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,236 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 357-358 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

W1_A12A: Do you [approve/disapprove] strongly or not strongly?

Do you [approve/disapprove] strongly or not strongly?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Strongly	1559	68.0 %
2	Not Strongly	654	28.5 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	23	1.0 %
.	-	58	2.5 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,213 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 359-360 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

W1_B1: How much do government officials care what people like you think?

How much do government officials care what people like you think?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	A great deal	83	3.6 %
2	A lot	203	8.8 %
3	A moderate amount	672	29.3 %
4	A little	780	34.0 %
5	Not at all	532	23.2 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	24	1.0 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,270 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 361-362 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

W1_B2: How much can people like you affect what the government does?

How much can people like you affect what the government does?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	A great deal	245	10.7 %
2	A lot	386	16.8 %
3	A moderate amount	631	27.5 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
4	A little	686	29.9 %
5	Not at all	311	13.6 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	35	1.5 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,259 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 363-364 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

W1_B3: How often does the federal government do what most Americans want it to do?

How often does the federal government do what most Americans want it to do?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Always	19	0.8 %
2	Most of the time	156	6.8 %
3	About half the time	712	31.0 %
4	Once in a while	1133	49.4 %
5	Never	239	10.4 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	35	1.5 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,259 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 365-366 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

W1_B4: Generally speaking, how angry do you feel about the way things are going in the country these days?

Generally speaking, how angry do you feel about the way things are going in the country these days?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Extremely angry	243	10.6 %
2	Very angry	437	19.0 %
3	Somewhat angry	731	31.9 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
4	A little angry	555	24.2 %
5	Not angry at all	301	13.1 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	27	1.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,267 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 367-368 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

W1_C1: Generally speaking, do you usually think of yourself as a Democrat, a Republican, an Independent, or something else?

Generally speaking, do you usually think of yourself as a Democrat, a Republican, an Independent, or something else?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Republican	331	14.4 %
2	Democrat	1251	54.5 %
3	Independent	555	24.2 %
4	Something else, please specify	108	4.7 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	49	2.1 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,245 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 369-370 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

W1_C1_OTHER_TEXT: [Other] Generally speaking, do you usually think of yourself as a Democrat, a Republican, an Independent, or something else?

[Other] Generally speaking, do you usually think of yourself as a Democrat, a Republican, an Independent, or something else?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	-	2187	95.3 %
A deprived/curious person	-	1	0.0 %
A little bit Democrat and Independent	-	1	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
American citizen	-	1	0.0 %
American voter	-	1	0.0 %
Best suited to do the job and live up to what I believe is right	-	1	0.0 %
Conservative	-	3	0.1 %
Conservative Libertarian	-	1	0.0 %
Conservative/libertarian	-	1	0.0 %
Free thinker	-	1	0.0 %
Green Party	-	1	0.0 %
I don't side with either party line but am slightly more liberal	-	1	0.0 %
I just don't know	-	1	0.0 %
I vote for who ever I feel will be the best person for the job.	-	1	0.0 %
I' m in no specific category	-	1	0.0 %
Interested bystander	-	1	0.0 %
Left Libertarian	-	1	0.0 %
Libertarian	-	2	0.1 %
Moderate	-	1	0.0 %
Monarchist with left-libertarian leanings.	-	1	0.0 %
NA	-	2	0.1 %
NEUTRAL	-	1	0.0 %
NONE	-	1	0.0 %
Neutral	-	4	0.2 %
No Party	-	1	0.0 %
No Preference	-	1	0.0 %
No affiliation	-	1	0.0 %
No political party	-	1	0.0 %
Not sure	-	1	0.0 %
Nothing	-	2	0.1 %
Nuetral	-	1	0.0 %
One of Jehovah's Witnesses I don't vote	-	1	0.0 %
Registered Voter	-	1	0.0 %
TEA Party	-	1	0.0 %
Theocratic	-	1	0.0 %
UNAFFILIATED-DONT VOTE	-	1	0.0 %
Unhappy Republican	-	1	0.0 %
Whoever has the best candidate!	-	1	0.0 %
a child of the king	-	1	0.0 %
a general skeptic	-	1	0.0 %
a inperfect person.	-	1	0.0 %
at this time i'm not sure	-	1	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
bipartisan	-	1	0.0 %
concerned about the corruption	-	1	0.0 %
conservative	-	2	0.1 %
conservative democrat	-	1	0.0 %
conseveritive	-	1	0.0 %
could be republican or democrat this year	-	1	0.0 %
do not know	-	1	0.0 %
do not vote	-	2	0.1 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 2,294 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

Location: 371-434 (width: 64; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: character

W1_C1B: Would you call yourself a strong [Democrat/Republican] or a not very strong [Democrat/Republican]?

Would you call yourself a strong [Democrat/Republican] or a not very strong [Democrat/Republican]?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Strong	996	43.4 %
2	Not very strong	576	25.1 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	10	0.4 %
.	-	712	31.0 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,572 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 435-436 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

W1_C1C: Do you think of yourself as closer to the Republican Party or to the Democratic Party?

Do you think of yourself as closer to the Republican Party or to the Democratic Party?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Closer to the Republican Party	158	6.9 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
2	Closer to the Democratic Party	209	9.1 %
3	Neither	294	12.8 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	2	0.1 %
.	-	1631	71.1 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 661 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 437-438 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

W1_C2: We hear a lot of talk these days about liberals and conservatives. Where would you place YOURSELF on this 7 point scale?

We hear a lot of talk these days about liberals and conservatives. Where would you place YOURSELF on this 7 point scale?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Extremely liberal	75	3.3 %
2	Liberal	312	13.6 %
3	Slightly liberal	286	12.5 %
4	Moderate; middle of the road	874	38.1 %
5	Slightly conservative	297	12.9 %
6	Conservative	311	13.6 %
7	Extremely conservative	79	3.4 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	60	2.6 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,234 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 439-440 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

W1_D1: [Barack Obama] How would you rate:

[Barack Obama] How would you rate:

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	211	9.2 %
3	-	1	0.0 %
4	-	1	0.0 %
5	-	5	0.2 %
7	-	3	0.1 %
10	-	11	0.5 %
15	-	113	4.9 %
20	-	5	0.2 %
22	-	1	0.0 %
25	-	5	0.2 %
27	-	1	0.0 %
30	-	92	4.0 %
32	-	1	0.0 %
35	-	2	0.1 %
36	-	1	0.0 %
38	-	1	0.0 %
39	-	1	0.0 %
40	-	88	3.8 %
45	-	5	0.2 %
47	-	1	0.0 %
48	-	1	0.0 %
50	-	70	3.1 %
55	-	6	0.3 %
56	-	1	0.0 %
60	-	135	5.9 %
65	-	9	0.4 %
68	-	1	0.0 %
69	-	2	0.1 %
70	-	236	10.3 %
73	-	1	0.0 %
75	-	31	1.4 %
76	-	1	0.0 %
78	-	1	0.0 %
79	-	2	0.1 %
80	-	31	1.4 %
84	-	1	0.0 %
85	-	383	16.7 %
86	-	2	0.1 %
87	-	2	0.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
88	-	7	0.3 %
89	-	8	0.3 %
90	-	78	3.4 %
92	-	1	0.0 %
95	-	38	1.7 %
96	-	2	0.1 %
98	-	3	0.1 %
99	-	3	0.1 %
100	-	585	25.5 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	48	2.1 %
998	Don't recognize	56	2.4 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,190 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 67.47
- Median: 85.00
- Mode: 100.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 100.00
- Standard Deviation: 33.36

Location: 441-443 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , 998

W1_D2: [Mitt Romney] How would you rate:

[Mitt Romney] How would you rate:

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	630	27.5 %
1	-	4	0.2 %
2	-	8	0.3 %
3	-	3	0.1 %
4	-	3	0.1 %
5	-	13	0.6 %
7	-	2	0.1 %
8	-	2	0.1 %
10	-	16	0.7 %
11	-	1	0.0 %
12	-	2	0.1 %
15	-	282	12.3 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
18	-	1	0.0 %
20	-	21	0.9 %
22	-	2	0.1 %
23	-	1	0.0 %
25	-	12	0.5 %
29	-	1	0.0 %
30	-	182	7.9 %
35	-	12	0.5 %
37	-	1	0.0 %
38	-	1	0.0 %
39	-	2	0.1 %
40	-	164	7.1 %
45	-	16	0.7 %
46	-	1	0.0 %
48	-	1	0.0 %
50	-	232	10.1 %
54	-	1	0.0 %
55	-	11	0.5 %
60	-	136	5.9 %
62	-	1	0.0 %
65	-	11	0.5 %
68	-	1	0.0 %
69	-	1	0.0 %
70	-	118	5.1 %
74	-	1	0.0 %
75	-	15	0.7 %
80	-	10	0.4 %
82	-	1	0.0 %
83	-	1	0.0 %
85	-	109	4.8 %
86	-	1	0.0 %
90	-	18	0.8 %
93	-	1	0.0 %
95	-	4	0.2 %
97	-	1	0.0 %
100	-	38	1.7 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	53	2.3 %
998	Don't recognize	145	6.3 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,096 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 31.44
- Median: 30.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 100.00
- Standard Deviation: 29.17

Location: 444-446 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , 998

W1_D3: [Michelle Obama] How would you rate:

[Michelle Obama] How would you rate:

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	148	6.5 %
1	-	2	0.1 %
2	-	1	0.0 %
3	-	1	0.0 %
4	-	1	0.0 %
5	-	3	0.1 %
7	-	2	0.1 %
10	-	9	0.4 %
12	-	1	0.0 %
15	-	69	3.0 %
16	-	2	0.1 %
20	-	1	0.0 %
21	-	1	0.0 %
25	-	4	0.2 %
26	-	1	0.0 %
30	-	60	2.6 %
35	-	3	0.1 %
37	-	1	0.0 %
40	-	63	2.7 %
45	-	4	0.2 %
46	-	1	0.0 %
48	-	1	0.0 %
50	-	156	6.8 %
55	-	7	0.3 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
58	-	1	0.0 %
60	-	113	4.9 %
65	-	7	0.3 %
66	-	1	0.0 %
69	-	1	0.0 %
70	-	151	6.6 %
75	-	17	0.7 %
76	-	1	0.0 %
78	-	2	0.1 %
79	-	3	0.1 %
80	-	45	2.0 %
85	-	341	14.9 %
86	-	8	0.3 %
87	-	1	0.0 %
88	-	1	0.0 %
89	-	1	0.0 %
90	-	69	3.0 %
91	-	1	0.0 %
92	-	2	0.1 %
93	-	1	0.0 %
94	-	1	0.0 %
95	-	53	2.3 %
96	-	5	0.2 %
98	-	4	0.2 %
99	-	5	0.2 %
100	-	817	35.6 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	49	2.1 %
998	Don't recognize	51	2.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 2,194 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 74.00
- Median: 85.00
- Mode: 100.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 100.00
- Standard Deviation: 31.17

W1_D4: [Ann Romney] How would you rate:

[Ann Romney] How would you rate:

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	335	14.6 %
1	-	2	0.1 %
2	-	5	0.2 %
5	-	6	0.3 %
10	-	23	1.0 %
12	-	1	0.0 %
14	-	1	0.0 %
15	-	165	7.2 %
19	-	1	0.0 %
20	-	19	0.8 %
21	-	1	0.0 %
23	-	1	0.0 %
25	-	10	0.4 %
30	-	105	4.6 %
35	-	5	0.2 %
37	-	1	0.0 %
38	-	1	0.0 %
40	-	112	4.9 %
42	-	1	0.0 %
45	-	12	0.5 %
49	-	1	0.0 %
50	-	598	26.1 %
54	-	1	0.0 %
55	-	4	0.2 %
58	-	1	0.0 %
60	-	116	5.1 %
65	-	6	0.3 %
70	-	113	4.9 %
74	-	1	0.0 %
75	-	9	0.4 %
76	-	1	0.0 %
77	-	1	0.0 %
79	-	3	0.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
80	-	16	0.7 %
85	-	85	3.7 %
87	-	1	0.0 %
89	-	1	0.0 %
90	-	9	0.4 %
99	-	1	0.0 %
100	-	43	1.9 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	51	2.2 %
998	Don't recognize	425	18.5 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,818 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 39.88
- Median: 50.00
- Mode: 50.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 100.00
- Standard Deviation: 26.92

Location: 450-452 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , 998

W1_D5: [Clarence Thomas] How would you rate:

[Clarence Thomas] How would you rate:

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	332	14.5 %
1	-	2	0.1 %
2	-	3	0.1 %
3	-	1	0.0 %
4	-	4	0.2 %
5	-	9	0.4 %
6	-	2	0.1 %
7	-	1	0.0 %
8	-	2	0.1 %
9	-	3	0.1 %
10	-	21	0.9 %
12	-	3	0.1 %
13	-	2	0.1 %
15	-	183	8.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
16	-	1	0.0 %
19	-	1	0.0 %
20	-	14	0.6 %
25	-	8	0.3 %
29	-	1	0.0 %
30	-	154	6.7 %
34	-	2	0.1 %
35	-	6	0.3 %
40	-	146	6.4 %
45	-	9	0.4 %
50	-	416	18.1 %
51	-	1	0.0 %
55	-	7	0.3 %
60	-	119	5.2 %
61	-	1	0.0 %
65	-	12	0.5 %
69	-	1	0.0 %
70	-	144	6.3 %
75	-	13	0.6 %
78	-	1	0.0 %
80	-	11	0.5 %
85	-	63	2.7 %
86	-	1	0.0 %
90	-	5	0.2 %
95	-	1	0.0 %
99	-	1	0.0 %
100	-	41	1.8 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	53	2.3 %
998	Don't recognize	493	21.5 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,748 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 37.94
- Median: 40.00
- Mode: 50.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 100.00
- Standard Deviation: 27.06

Location: 453-455 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

W1_D6: [Paul Ryan] How would you rate:

[Paul Ryan] How would you rate:

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	433	18.9 %
1	-	4	0.2 %
2	-	6	0.3 %
3	-	3	0.1 %
4	-	2	0.1 %
5	-	10	0.4 %
6	-	2	0.1 %
8	-	1	0.0 %
10	-	23	1.0 %
12	-	1	0.0 %
14	-	1	0.0 %
15	-	202	8.8 %
17	-	1	0.0 %
19	-	1	0.0 %
20	-	13	0.6 %
21	-	1	0.0 %
23	-	2	0.1 %
25	-	14	0.6 %
30	-	131	5.7 %
32	-	1	0.0 %
33	-	1	0.0 %
34	-	1	0.0 %
35	-	5	0.2 %
37	-	2	0.1 %
39	-	1	0.0 %
40	-	115	5.0 %
45	-	5	0.2 %
50	-	318	13.9 %
55	-	5	0.2 %
59	-	1	0.0 %
60	-	89	3.9 %
62	-	1	0.0 %
64	-	1	0.0 %
65	-	6	0.3 %
69	-	1	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
70	-	87	3.8 %
72	-	1	0.0 %
75	-	7	0.3 %
77	-	1	0.0 %
79	-	1	0.0 %
80	-	8	0.3 %
81	-	1	0.0 %
85	-	109	4.8 %
90	-	16	0.7 %
95	-	8	0.3 %
99	-	1	0.0 %
100	-	54	2.4 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	49	2.1 %
998	Don't recognize	547	23.8 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,698 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 35.30
- Median: 30.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 100.00
- Standard Deviation: 30.00

Location: 456-458 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , 998

W1_D7: [Condoleezza Rice] How would you rate:

[Condoleezza Rice] How would you rate:

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	105	4.6 %
1	-	1	0.0 %
2	-	3	0.1 %
3	-	2	0.1 %
4	-	3	0.1 %
5	-	2	0.1 %
7	-	3	0.1 %
10	-	11	0.5 %
15	-	117	5.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
16	-	3	0.1 %
17	-	1	0.0 %
20	-	9	0.4 %
23	-	1	0.0 %
25	-	8	0.3 %
30	-	113	4.9 %
33	-	1	0.0 %
35	-	6	0.3 %
39	-	2	0.1 %
40	-	139	6.1 %
45	-	8	0.3 %
46	-	1	0.0 %
47	-	1	0.0 %
48	-	1	0.0 %
49	-	2	0.1 %
50	-	383	16.7 %
51	-	3	0.1 %
52	-	2	0.1 %
54	-	1	0.0 %
55	-	8	0.3 %
57	-	1	0.0 %
60	-	271	11.8 %
65	-	19	0.8 %
66	-	1	0.0 %
70	-	275	12.0 %
71	-	1	0.0 %
74	-	1	0.0 %
75	-	32	1.4 %
78	-	2	0.1 %
79	-	1	0.0 %
80	-	50	2.2 %
82	-	1	0.0 %
85	-	255	11.1 %
87	-	2	0.1 %
89	-	1	0.0 %
90	-	22	1.0 %
92	-	1	0.0 %
95	-	11	0.5 %
97	-	1	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
98	-	1	0.0 %
100	-	117	5.1 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	52	2.3 %
998	Don't recognize	236	10.3 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 2,006 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 56.25
- Median: 60.00
- Mode: 50.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 100.00
- Standard Deviation: 25.84

Location: 459-461 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , 998

W1_D8: [Sarah Palin] How would you rate:

[Sarah Palin] How would you rate:

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	805	35.1 %
1	-	7	0.3 %
2	-	6	0.3 %
3	-	3	0.1 %
4	-	3	0.1 %
5	-	12	0.5 %
6	-	3	0.1 %
7	-	1	0.0 %
8	-	2	0.1 %
10	-	31	1.4 %
11	-	1	0.0 %
12	-	3	0.1 %
14	-	1	0.0 %
15	-	256	11.2 %
18	-	1	0.0 %
20	-	15	0.7 %
22	-	2	0.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
25	-	12	0.5 %
27	-	1	0.0 %
30	-	145	6.3 %
32	-	1	0.0 %
33	-	1	0.0 %
34	-	2	0.1 %
35	-	8	0.3 %
36	-	1	0.0 %
39	-	1	0.0 %
40	-	156	6.8 %
45	-	7	0.3 %
47	-	1	0.0 %
50	-	254	11.1 %
51	-	1	0.0 %
55	-	11	0.5 %
60	-	112	4.9 %
61	-	1	0.0 %
62	-	1	0.0 %
65	-	13	0.6 %
70	-	94	4.1 %
74	-	1	0.0 %
75	-	12	0.5 %
80	-	12	0.5 %
85	-	70	3.1 %
90	-	7	0.3 %
95	-	2	0.1 %
99	-	1	0.0 %
100	-	48	2.1 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	50	2.2 %
998	Don't recognize	116	5.1 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,128 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 27.12
- Median: 15.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 100.00
- Standard Deviation: 28.63

W1_D9: [Hillary Clinton] How would you rate:

[Hillary Clinton] How would you rate:

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	108	4.7 %
2	-	3	0.1 %
3	-	2	0.1 %
4	-	2	0.1 %
5	-	7	0.3 %
6	-	1	0.0 %
7	-	1	0.0 %
10	-	8	0.3 %
12	-	1	0.0 %
15	-	94	4.1 %
20	-	7	0.3 %
22	-	1	0.0 %
25	-	7	0.3 %
29	-	1	0.0 %
30	-	78	3.4 %
35	-	5	0.2 %
36	-	1	0.0 %
37	-	1	0.0 %
38	-	1	0.0 %
40	-	94	4.1 %
45	-	4	0.2 %
50	-	188	8.2 %
55	-	11	0.5 %
57	-	1	0.0 %
58	-	1	0.0 %
59	-	2	0.1 %
60	-	194	8.5 %
62	-	3	0.1 %
65	-	21	0.9 %
68	-	1	0.0 %
69	-	3	0.1 %
70	-	314	13.7 %
72	-	2	0.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
74	-	1	0.0 %
75	-	48	2.1 %
76	-	1	0.0 %
79	-	2	0.1 %
80	-	51	2.2 %
85	-	456	19.9 %
87	-	3	0.1 %
88	-	1	0.0 %
89	-	2	0.1 %
90	-	63	2.7 %
91	-	1	0.0 %
92	-	1	0.0 %
93	-	1	0.0 %
94	-	3	0.1 %
95	-	27	1.2 %
96	-	1	0.0 %
97	-	1	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	51	2.2 %
998	Don't recognize	62	2.7 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 2,181 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 66.75
- Median: 70.00
- Mode: 85.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 100.00
- Standard Deviation: 28.08

Location: 465-467 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , 998

W1_D10: [Jesse Jackson] How would you rate:

[Jesse Jackson] How would you rate:

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	309	13.5 %
1	-	5	0.2 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
2	-	3	0.1 %
3	-	2	0.1 %
5	-	11	0.5 %
6	-	1	0.0 %
7	-	1	0.0 %
9	-	2	0.1 %
10	-	17	0.7 %
12	-	1	0.0 %
13	-	1	0.0 %
15	-	178	7.8 %
18	-	1	0.0 %
20	-	11	0.5 %
22	-	2	0.1 %
25	-	7	0.3 %
30	-	148	6.5 %
35	-	2	0.1 %
40	-	135	5.9 %
44	-	2	0.1 %
45	-	12	0.5 %
46	-	1	0.0 %
48	-	3	0.1 %
49	-	2	0.1 %
50	-	383	16.7 %
51	-	1	0.0 %
53	-	1	0.0 %
55	-	14	0.6 %
56	-	1	0.0 %
59	-	1	0.0 %
60	-	214	9.3 %
63	-	1	0.0 %
64	-	1	0.0 %
65	-	14	0.6 %
66	-	1	0.0 %
67	-	3	0.1 %
70	-	253	11.0 %
72	-	1	0.0 %
73	-	1	0.0 %
75	-	27	1.2 %
78	-	1	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
79	-	2	0.1 %
80	-	30	1.3 %
83	-	1	0.0 %
85	-	170	7.4 %
86	-	4	0.2 %
87	-	1	0.0 %
88	-	2	0.1 %
90	-	8	0.3 %
93	-	1	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	59	2.6 %
998	Don't recognize	130	5.7 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 2,105 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 46.59
- Median: 50.00
- Mode: 50.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 100.00
- Standard Deviation: 29.44

Location: 468-470 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , 998

W1_D11: [The Republican Party] How would you rate:

[The Republican Party] How would you rate:

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	562	24.5 %
1	-	5	0.2 %
2	-	3	0.1 %
3	-	4	0.2 %
4	-	1	0.0 %
5	-	18	0.8 %
7	-	1	0.0 %
8	-	1	0.0 %
9	-	1	0.0 %
10	-	33	1.4 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
14	-	1	0.0 %
15	-	255	11.1 %
16	-	1	0.0 %
20	-	22	1.0 %
21	-	1	0.0 %
25	-	14	0.6 %
27	-	1	0.0 %
28	-	1	0.0 %
29	-	1	0.0 %
30	-	172	7.5 %
33	-	1	0.0 %
34	-	2	0.1 %
35	-	8	0.3 %
36	-	1	0.0 %
38	-	4	0.2 %
40	-	193	8.4 %
42	-	2	0.1 %
44	-	2	0.1 %
45	-	15	0.7 %
47	-	1	0.0 %
48	-	1	0.0 %
50	-	284	12.4 %
51	-	2	0.1 %
54	-	1	0.0 %
55	-	10	0.4 %
60	-	176	7.7 %
62	-	1	0.0 %
65	-	10	0.4 %
68	-	1	0.0 %
70	-	160	7.0 %
75	-	21	0.9 %
78	-	1	0.0 %
80	-	14	0.6 %
82	-	1	0.0 %
85	-	72	3.1 %
90	-	9	0.4 %
95	-	2	0.1 %
99	-	1	0.0 %
100	-	22	1.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	67	2.9 %
998	Don't recognize	111	4.8 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 2,116 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 32.77
- Median: 30.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 100.00
- Standard Deviation: 27.63

Location: 471-473 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , 998

W1_D12: [The Democratic Party] How would you rate:

[The Democratic Party] How would you rate:

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	135	5.9 %
1	-	3	0.1 %
2	-	1	0.0 %
4	-	1	0.0 %
5	-	8	0.3 %
7	-	3	0.1 %
10	-	12	0.5 %
12	-	1	0.0 %
15	-	114	5.0 %
20	-	14	0.6 %
21	-	1	0.0 %
25	-	5	0.2 %
29	-	2	0.1 %
30	-	103	4.5 %
34	-	1	0.0 %
35	-	3	0.1 %
36	-	1	0.0 %
40	-	130	5.7 %
44	-	1	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
45	-	12	0.5 %
46	-	1	0.0 %
50	-	205	8.9 %
51	-	4	0.2 %
55	-	14	0.6 %
56	-	1	0.0 %
57	-	1	0.0 %
60	-	243	10.6 %
62	-	1	0.0 %
63	-	1	0.0 %
65	-	18	0.8 %
67	-	1	0.0 %
68	-	1	0.0 %
69	-	1	0.0 %
70	-	283	12.3 %
73	-	1	0.0 %
75	-	50	2.2 %
76	-	1	0.0 %
77	-	2	0.1 %
79	-	2	0.1 %
80	-	62	2.7 %
84	-	2	0.1 %
85	-	330	14.4 %
86	-	1	0.0 %
87	-	2	0.1 %
88	-	1	0.0 %
90	-	32	1.4 %
91	-	2	0.1 %
92	-	1	0.0 %
94	-	1	0.0 %
95	-	20	0.9 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	61	2.7 %
998	Don't recognize	88	3.8 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 2,145 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 61.77
- Median: 70.00
- Mode: 85.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 100.00
- Standard Deviation: 29.17

Location: 474-476 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , 998

W1_D13: [Socialists] How would you rate:

[Socialists] How would you rate:

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	406	17.7 %
1	-	4	0.2 %
2	-	2	0.1 %
3	-	1	0.0 %
5	-	5	0.2 %
6	-	1	0.0 %
7	-	1	0.0 %
9	-	1	0.0 %
10	-	23	1.0 %
13	-	1	0.0 %
15	-	185	8.1 %
20	-	22	1.0 %
21	-	1	0.0 %
22	-	1	0.0 %
25	-	10	0.4 %
30	-	111	4.8 %
33	-	1	0.0 %
35	-	8	0.3 %
38	-	1	0.0 %
40	-	129	5.6 %
45	-	9	0.4 %
47	-	1	0.0 %
50	-	554	24.1 %
51	-	1	0.0 %
55	-	12	0.5 %
60	-	101	4.4 %
62	-	1	0.0 %
64	-	1	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
65	-	9	0.4 %
69	-	1	0.0 %
70	-	80	3.5 %
71	-	1	0.0 %
75	-	6	0.3 %
77	-	1	0.0 %
78	-	2	0.1 %
79	-	1	0.0 %
80	-	11	0.5 %
82	-	1	0.0 %
84	-	1	0.0 %
85	-	37	1.6 %
87	-	1	0.0 %
89	-	1	0.0 %
90	-	5	0.2 %
92	-	1	0.0 %
95	-	2	0.1 %
100	-	16	0.7 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	72	3.1 %
998	Don't recognize	451	19.7 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,771 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 34.72
- Median: 40.00
- Mode: 50.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 100.00
- Standard Deviation: 25.51

Location: 477-479 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , 998

W1_D14: [Libertarians] How would you rate:

[Libertarians] How would you rate:

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	191	8.3 %
1	-	1	0.0 %
2	-	2	0.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
3	-	1	0.0 %
4	-	2	0.1 %
5	-	5	0.2 %
6	-	2	0.1 %
7	-	1	0.0 %
10	-	17	0.7 %
13	-	1	0.0 %
15	-	126	5.5 %
16	-	1	0.0 %
19	-	1	0.0 %
20	-	18	0.8 %
21	-	1	0.0 %
25	-	18	0.8 %
30	-	116	5.1 %
32	-	1	0.0 %
33	-	3	0.1 %
35	-	9	0.4 %
36	-	1	0.0 %
38	-	1	0.0 %
40	-	123	5.4 %
43	-	1	0.0 %
44	-	1	0.0 %
45	-	15	0.7 %
48	-	1	0.0 %
50	-	621	27.1 %
51	-	4	0.2 %
52	-	1	0.0 %
55	-	16	0.7 %
56	-	1	0.0 %
60	-	162	7.1 %
65	-	6	0.3 %
66	-	3	0.1 %
69	-	1	0.0 %
70	-	121	5.3 %
74	-	1	0.0 %
75	-	17	0.7 %
76	-	1	0.0 %
80	-	14	0.6 %
85	-	54	2.4 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
86	-	1	0.0 %
90	-	7	0.3 %
95	-	1	0.0 %
98	-	1	0.0 %
100	-	26	1.1 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	73	3.2 %
998	Don't recognize	502	21.9 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,719 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 43.32
- Median: 50.00
- Mode: 50.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 100.00
- Standard Deviation: 23.47

Location: 480-482 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , 998

W1_D15: [Tea Party Activists] How would you rate:

[Tea Party Activists] How would you rate:

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	638	27.8 %
1	-	3	0.1 %
2	-	3	0.1 %
3	-	2	0.1 %
4	-	3	0.1 %
5	-	13	0.6 %
7	-	2	0.1 %
9	-	1	0.0 %
10	-	27	1.2 %
13	-	1	0.0 %
14	-	1	0.0 %
15	-	197	8.6 %
16	-	1	0.0 %
20	-	20	0.9 %
22	-	1	0.0 %
23	-	2	0.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
25	-	9	0.4 %
30	-	129	5.6 %
31	-	1	0.0 %
32	-	1	0.0 %
34	-	1	0.0 %
35	-	9	0.4 %
37	-	1	0.0 %
40	-	117	5.1 %
41	-	1	0.0 %
42	-	2	0.1 %
44	-	2	0.1 %
45	-	12	0.5 %
47	-	3	0.1 %
48	-	1	0.0 %
49	-	1	0.0 %
50	-	352	15.3 %
52	-	1	0.0 %
55	-	10	0.4 %
60	-	115	5.0 %
65	-	8	0.3 %
68	-	1	0.0 %
70	-	89	3.9 %
75	-	10	0.4 %
76	-	1	0.0 %
77	-	1	0.0 %
80	-	11	0.5 %
85	-	67	2.9 %
86	-	1	0.0 %
87	-	2	0.1 %
90	-	4	0.2 %
95	-	6	0.3 %
99	-	2	0.1 %
100	-	47	2.0 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	66	2.9 %
998	Don't recognize	295	12.9 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 1,933 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 30.81
- Median: 30.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 100.00
- Standard Deviation: 28.91

Location: 483-485 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , 998

W1_D16: [Occupy Wall Street Activists] How would you rate:

[Occupy Wall Street Activists] How would you rate:

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	338	14.7 %
1	-	3	0.1 %
2	-	3	0.1 %
3	-	1	0.0 %
4	-	5	0.2 %
5	-	12	0.5 %
6	-	1	0.0 %
7	-	1	0.0 %
9	-	1	0.0 %
10	-	13	0.6 %
15	-	142	6.2 %
16	-	1	0.0 %
20	-	17	0.7 %
25	-	9	0.4 %
30	-	109	4.8 %
33	-	1	0.0 %
35	-	6	0.3 %
40	-	132	5.8 %
44	-	1	0.0 %
45	-	10	0.4 %
47	-	1	0.0 %
49	-	1	0.0 %
50	-	390	17.0 %
51	-	2	0.1 %
55	-	15	0.7 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
56	-	1	0.0 %
60	-	181	7.9 %
64	-	1	0.0 %
65	-	15	0.7 %
67	-	1	0.0 %
68	-	2	0.1 %
69	-	1	0.0 %
70	-	142	6.2 %
71	-	1	0.0 %
73	-	1	0.0 %
75	-	27	1.2 %
76	-	2	0.1 %
77	-	1	0.0 %
78	-	2	0.1 %
79	-	1	0.0 %
80	-	28	1.2 %
81	-	1	0.0 %
82	-	1	0.0 %
85	-	113	4.9 %
86	-	1	0.0 %
87	-	1	0.0 %
88	-	1	0.0 %
90	-	18	0.8 %
95	-	5	0.2 %
99	-	1	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	76	3.3 %
998	Don't recognize	394	17.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 1,824 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 42.78
- Median: 50.00
- Mode: 50.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 100.00
- Standard Deviation: 29.12

Location: 486-488 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

W1_D17: [Black Nationalists] How would you rate:

[Black Nationalists] How would you rate:

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	203	8.8 %
1	-	1	0.0 %
2	-	1	0.0 %
3	-	1	0.0 %
5	-	9	0.4 %
7	-	2	0.1 %
9	-	2	0.1 %
10	-	12	0.5 %
15	-	88	3.8 %
20	-	11	0.5 %
21	-	1	0.0 %
25	-	5	0.2 %
30	-	76	3.3 %
35	-	7	0.3 %
36	-	1	0.0 %
40	-	66	2.9 %
43	-	1	0.0 %
45	-	7	0.3 %
49	-	1	0.0 %
50	-	436	19.0 %
51	-	2	0.1 %
53	-	1	0.0 %
55	-	10	0.4 %
57	-	1	0.0 %
58	-	2	0.1 %
59	-	1	0.0 %
60	-	105	4.6 %
65	-	8	0.3 %
66	-	1	0.0 %
67	-	1	0.0 %
69	-	1	0.0 %
70	-	121	5.3 %
74	-	1	0.0 %
75	-	13	0.6 %
78	-	2	0.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
80	-	23	1.0 %
83	-	1	0.0 %
85	-	105	4.6 %
87	-	1	0.0 %
88	-	2	0.1 %
90	-	8	0.3 %
93	-	1	0.0 %
95	-	2	0.1 %
100	-	85	3.7 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	78	3.4 %
998	Don't recognize	787	34.3 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,429 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 47.27
- Median: 50.00
- Mode: 50.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 100.00
- Standard Deviation: 28.59

Location: 489-491 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , 998

W1_D18: [Feminists] How would you rate:

[Feminists] How would you rate:

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	180	7.8 %
1	-	2	0.1 %
2	-	4	0.2 %
5	-	3	0.1 %
6	-	2	0.1 %
7	-	1	0.0 %
9	-	2	0.1 %
10	-	12	0.5 %
12	-	1	0.0 %
15	-	109	4.8 %
17	-	1	0.0 %
19	-	1	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
20	-	18	0.8 %
22	-	1	0.0 %
25	-	9	0.4 %
30	-	95	4.1 %
35	-	7	0.3 %
36	-	1	0.0 %
40	-	119	5.2 %
41	-	1	0.0 %
44	-	1	0.0 %
45	-	13	0.6 %
49	-	1	0.0 %
50	-	558	24.3 %
51	-	1	0.0 %
52	-	1	0.0 %
55	-	15	0.7 %
56	-	1	0.0 %
58	-	1	0.0 %
59	-	2	0.1 %
60	-	227	9.9 %
63	-	1	0.0 %
64	-	1	0.0 %
65	-	14	0.6 %
66	-	1	0.0 %
67	-	1	0.0 %
70	-	216	9.4 %
75	-	35	1.5 %
76	-	1	0.0 %
78	-	2	0.1 %
79	-	1	0.0 %
80	-	35	1.5 %
82	-	1	0.0 %
85	-	157	6.8 %
87	-	1	0.0 %
89	-	2	0.1 %
90	-	19	0.8 %
95	-	7	0.3 %
98	-	1	0.0 %
99	-	3	0.1 %
	Missing Data		

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	75	3.3 %
998	Don't recognize	254	11.1 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 1,965 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 50.81
- Median: 50.00
- Mode: 50.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 100.00
- Standard Deviation: 25.93

Location: 492-494 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , 998

W1_D19: [Congressional Black Caucus] How would you rate:

[Congressional Black Caucus] How would you rate:

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	151	6.6 %
2	-	3	0.1 %
4	-	1	0.0 %
5	-	6	0.3 %
7	-	2	0.1 %
8	-	1	0.0 %
10	-	15	0.7 %
12	-	1	0.0 %
15	-	68	3.0 %
20	-	10	0.4 %
21	-	1	0.0 %
25	-	8	0.3 %
28	-	1	0.0 %
30	-	70	3.1 %
35	-	5	0.2 %
37	-	1	0.0 %
38	-	1	0.0 %
39	-	1	0.0 %
40	-	67	2.9 %
43	-	1	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
45	-	5	0.2 %
50	-	471	20.5 %
51	-	1	0.0 %
52	-	2	0.1 %
55	-	8	0.3 %
59	-	2	0.1 %
60	-	155	6.8 %
65	-	17	0.7 %
67	-	1	0.0 %
70	-	204	8.9 %
72	-	1	0.0 %
73	-	1	0.0 %
75	-	33	1.4 %
76	-	1	0.0 %
77	-	1	0.0 %
78	-	1	0.0 %
79	-	2	0.1 %
80	-	31	1.4 %
81	-	2	0.1 %
85	-	199	8.7 %
87	-	1	0.0 %
88	-	2	0.1 %
89	-	3	0.1 %
90	-	23	1.0 %
92	-	1	0.0 %
94	-	1	0.0 %
95	-	5	0.2 %
96	-	1	0.0 %
100	-	106	4.6 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	70	3.1 %
998	Don't recognize	529	23.1 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 1,695 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 54.61
- Median: 50.00

- Mode: 50.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 100.00
- Standard Deviation: 27.23

Location: 495-497 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , 998

W1_D20: [NAACP] How would you rate:

[NAACP] How would you rate:

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	136	5.9 %
1	-	4	0.2 %
2	-	2	0.1 %
3	-	1	0.0 %
4	-	2	0.1 %
5	-	6	0.3 %
7	-	2	0.1 %
9	-	1	0.0 %
10	-	10	0.4 %
15	-	73	3.2 %
20	-	16	0.7 %
21	-	2	0.1 %
23	-	1	0.0 %
25	-	8	0.3 %
30	-	76	3.3 %
35	-	9	0.4 %
37	-	1	0.0 %
40	-	88	3.8 %
45	-	9	0.4 %
49	-	1	0.0 %
50	-	365	15.9 %
52	-	3	0.1 %
54	-	1	0.0 %
55	-	14	0.6 %
60	-	206	9.0 %
65	-	11	0.5 %
66	-	2	0.1 %
67	-	2	0.1 %
68	-	1	0.0 %
70	-	259	11.3 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
72	-	1	0.0 %
75	-	34	1.5 %
77	-	1	0.0 %
78	-	2	0.1 %
79	-	2	0.1 %
80	-	56	2.4 %
85	-	282	12.3 %
86	-	2	0.1 %
87	-	1	0.0 %
88	-	1	0.0 %
89	-	1	0.0 %
90	-	37	1.6 %
93	-	1	0.0 %
94	-	1	0.0 %
95	-	14	0.6 %
96	-	2	0.1 %
97	-	1	0.0 %
99	-	3	0.1 %
100	-	314	13.7 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	69	3.0 %
998	Don't recognize	157	6.8 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 2,068 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 61.88
- Median: 60.00
- Mode: 50.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 100.00
- Standard Deviation: 28.70

Location: 498-500 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , 998

W1_E1: Which of the following most characterizes your relationship status at this time?

Which of the following most characterizes your relationship status at this time?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	In a relationship or married and not dating	1398	60.9 %
2	Dating and looking for serious relationship and/or marital partner	168	7.3 %
3	Casual dating that is not serious only	119	5.2 %
4	Friends with benefits	66	2.9 %
5	One-night stands	14	0.6 %
6	Not interested in dating or pursuing a relationship at this time	492	21.4 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	37	1.6 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,257 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 6.00

Location: 501-502 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

W1_E2: How optimistic are you that you will develop a serious and/or marital relationship?

How optimistic are you that you will develop a serious and/or marital relationship?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Optimistic	98	4.3 %
2	Neither	56	2.4 %
3	Pessimistic	9	0.4 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	5	0.2 %
.	-	2126	92.7 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 163 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 503-504 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

W1_E2A: Are you extremely [optimistic/pessimistic], moderately [optimistic/pessimistic], or slightly [optimistic/pessimistic]?

Are you extremely [optimistic/pessimistic], moderately [optimistic/pessimistic], or slightly [optimistic/pessimistic]?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Extremely	44	1.9 %
2	Moderately	59	2.6 %
3	Slightly	4	0.2 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	2187	95.3 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 107 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 505-506 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

W1_E3: Some people engage in interracial relationships, while others do not. Have you dated outside of your racial group?

Some people engage in interracial relationships, while others do not. Have you dated outside of your racial group?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	956	41.7 %
2	No	1297	56.5 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	41	1.8 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,253 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 507-508 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

W1_E4: Were you ever willing to date outside of your racial group?

Were you ever willing to date outside of your racial group?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	671	29.3 %
2	No	603	26.3 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	23	1.0 %
.	-	997	43.5 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,274 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 509-510 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

W1_E5_1: [African/African American/Black] Which racial and ethnic groups would you or have you dated?

[African/African American/Black] Which racial and ethnic groups would you or have you dated?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	651	28.4 %
1	Yes	976	42.5 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	667	29.1 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,627 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 511-511 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: .

W1_E5_2: [Asian/Asian Americans] Which racial and ethnic groups would you or have you dated?

[Asian/Asian Americans] Which racial and ethnic groups would you or have you dated?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1074	46.8 %
1	Yes	553	24.1 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	667	29.1 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,627 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

W1_E5_3: [Latin American/Latino/Hispanic] Which racial and ethnic groups would you or have you dated?

[Latin American/Latino/Hispanic] Which racial and ethnic groups would you or have you dated?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	703	30.6 %
1	Yes	924	40.3 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	667	29.1 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,627 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

• Minimum: 0.00
• Maximum: 1.00

W1_E5_4: [Middle Easterner/Arab American] Which racial and ethnic groups would you or have you dated?

[Middle Easterner/Arab American] Which racial and ethnic groups would you or have you dated?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1297	56.5 %
1	Yes	330	14.4 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	667	29.1 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,627 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

• Minimum: 0.00
• Maximum: 1.00

W1_E5_5: [South Asian/South Asian American] Which racial and ethnic groups would you or have you dated?

[South Asian/South Asian American] Which racial and ethnic groups would you or have you dated?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1271	55.4 %
1	Yes	356	15.5 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	667	29.1 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,627 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 515-515 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: .

W1_E5_6: [White/Caucasian (non-Hispanic)] Which racial and ethnic groups would you or have you dated?

[White/Caucasian (non-Hispanic)] Which racial and ethnic groups would you or have you dated?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	470	20.5 %
1	Yes	1157	50.4 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	667	29.1 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,627 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 516-516 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: .

W1_E5_7: [Other race] Which racial and ethnic groups would you or have you dated?

[Other race] Which racial and ethnic groups would you or have you dated?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1416	61.7 %
1	Yes	211	9.2 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	667	29.1 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,627 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 517-517 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: .

W1_E61_A: [African/African American/Black: They are physically attractive] How do you rate each of the following groups on these characteristics?

[African/African American/Black: They are physically attractive] How do you rate each of the following groups on these characteristics?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Agree Strongly	959	41.8 %
2	Agree Somewhat	908	39.6 %
3	Disagree Somewhat	222	9.7 %
4	Disagree Strongly	113	4.9 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	92	4.0 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,202 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 518-519 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

W1_E61_B: [African/African American/Black: My family, friends, relatives would not approve] How do you rate each of the following groups on these characteristics?

[African/African American/Black: My family, friends, relatives would not approve] How do you rate each of the following groups on these characteristics?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Agree Strongly	229	10.0 %
2	Agree Somewhat	419	18.3 %
3	Disagree Somewhat	501	21.8 %
4	Disagree Strongly	1046	45.6 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	99	4.3 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,195 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 520-521 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

W1_E61_C: [African/African American/Black: I have concerns about having bi-racial/ethnic children] How do you rate each of the following groups on these characteristics?

[African/African American/Black: I have concerns about having bi-racial/ethnic children] How do you rate each of the following groups on these characteristics?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Agree Strongly	214	9.3 %
2	Agree Somewhat	377	16.4 %
3	Disagree Somewhat	436	19.0 %
4	Disagree Strongly	1164	50.7 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	103	4.5 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,191 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 522-523 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

W1_E61_D: [African/African American/Black: I would only want to date this group for sexual reasons rather than for a serious or potential marital relationship] How do you rate each of the following groups on these characteristics?

[African/African American/Black: I would only want to date this group for sexual reasons rather than for a serious or potential marital relationship] How do you rate each of the following groups on these characteristics?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Agree Strongly	67	2.9 %
2	Agree Somewhat	177	7.7 %
3	Disagree Somewhat	323	14.1 %
4	Disagree Strongly	1623	70.7 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	104	4.5 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,190 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 524-525 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

W1_E62_A: [Latin American/Latino/Hispanic: They are physically attractive] How do you rate each of the following groups on these characteristics?

[Latin American/Latino/Hispanic: They are physically attractive] How do you rate each of the following groups on these characteristics?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Agree Strongly	800	34.9 %
2	Agree Somewhat	1037	45.2 %
3	Disagree Somewhat	203	8.8 %
4	Disagree Strongly	120	5.2 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	134	5.8 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,160 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 526-527 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

W1_E62_B: [Latin American/Latino/Hispanic: My family, friends, relatives would not approve] How do you rate each of the following groups on these characteristics?

[Latin American/Latino/Hispanic: My family, friends, relatives would not approve] How do you rate each of the following groups on these characteristics?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Agree Strongly	133	5.8 %
2	Agree Somewhat	381	16.6 %
3	Disagree Somewhat	645	28.1 %
4	Disagree Strongly	996	43.4 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	139	6.1 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,155 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00

• Maximum: 4.00

Location: 528-529 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

W1_E62_C: [Latin American/Latino/Hispanic: I have concerns about having bi-racial/ethnic children] How do you rate each of the following groups on these characteristics?

[Latin American/Latino/Hispanic: I have concerns about having bi-racial/ethnic children] How do you rate each of the following groups on these characteristics?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Agree Strongly	129	5.6 %
2	Agree Somewhat	339	14.8 %
3	Disagree Somewhat	465	20.3 %
4	Disagree Strongly	1216	53.0 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	145	6.3 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,149 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 4.00

Location: 530-531 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

W1_E62_D: [Latin American/Latino/Hispanic: I would only want to date this group for sexual reasons rather than for a serious or potential marital relationship] How do you rate each of the following groups on these characteristics?

[Latin American/Latino/Hispanic: I would only want to date this group for sexual reasons rather than for a serious or potential marital relationship] How do you rate each of the following groups on these characteristics?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Agree Strongly	58	2.5 %
2	Agree Somewhat	176	7.7 %
3	Disagree Somewhat	355	15.5 %
4	Disagree Strongly	1558	67.9 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	147	6.4 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,147 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

• Minimum: 1.00

- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 532-533 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

W1_E63_A: [Caucasian/White (non-Hispanic): They are physically attractive] How do you rate each of the following groups on these characteristics?

[Caucasian/White (non-Hispanic): They are physically attractive] How do you rate each of the following groups on these characteristics?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Agree Strongly	837	36.5 %
2	Agree Somewhat	1063	46.3 %
3	Disagree Somewhat	176	7.7 %
4	Disagree Strongly	92	4.0 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	126	5.5 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,168 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 534-535 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

W1_E63_B: [Caucasian/White (non-Hispanic): My family, friends, relatives would not approve] How do you rate each of the following groups on these characteristics?

[Caucasian/White (non-Hispanic): My family, friends, relatives would not approve] How do you rate each of the following groups on these characteristics?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Agree Strongly	123	5.4 %
2	Agree Somewhat	337	14.7 %
3	Disagree Somewhat	478	20.8 %
4	Disagree Strongly	1228	53.5 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	128	5.6 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,166 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 536-537 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

W1_E63_C: [Caucasian/White (non-Hispanic): I have concerns about having bi-racial/ethnic children] How do you rate each of the following groups on these characteristics?

[Caucasian/White (non-Hispanic): I have concerns about having bi-racial/ethnic children] How do you rate each of the following groups on these characteristics?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Agree Strongly	117	5.1 %
2	Agree Somewhat	278	12.1 %
3	Disagree Somewhat	375	16.3 %
4	Disagree Strongly	1382	60.2 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	142	6.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,152 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 538-539 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

W1_E63_D: [Caucasian/White (non-Hispanic): I would only want to date this group for sexual reasons rather than for a serious or potential marital relationship] How do you rate each of the following groups on these characteristics?

[Caucasian/White (non-Hispanic): I would only want to date this group for sexual reasons rather than for a serious or potential marital relationship] How do you rate each of the following groups on these characteristics?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Agree Strongly	78	3.4 %
2	Agree Somewhat	166	7.2 %
3	Disagree Somewhat	313	13.6 %
4	Disagree Strongly	1599	69.7 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	138	6.0 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,156 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 540-541 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

W1_E64_A: [Asian/Asian Americans: They are physically attractive] How do you rate each of the following groups on these characteristics?

[Asian/Asian Americans: They are physically attractive] How do you rate each of the following groups on these characteristics?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Agree Strongly	527	23.0 %
2	Agree Somewhat	1062	46.3 %
3	Disagree Somewhat	384	16.7 %
4	Disagree Strongly	203	8.8 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	118	5.1 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,176 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 542-543 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

W1_E64_B: [Asian/Asian Americans: My family, friends, relatives would not approve] How do you rate each of the following groups on these characteristics?

[Asian/Asian Americans: My family, friends, relatives would not approve] How do you rate each of the following groups on these characteristics?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Agree Strongly	155	6.8 %
2	Agree Somewhat	393	17.1 %
3	Disagree Somewhat	665	29.0 %
4	Disagree Strongly	952	41.5 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	129	5.6 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,165 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 544-545 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

W1_E64_C: [Asian/Asian Americans: I have concerns about having bi-racial/ethnic children] How do you rate each of the following groups on these characteristics?

[Asian/Asian Americans: I have concerns about having bi-racial/ethnic children] How do you rate each of the following groups on these characteristics?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Agree Strongly	145	6.3 %
2	Agree Somewhat	354	15.4 %
3	Disagree Somewhat	475	20.7 %
4	Disagree Strongly	1185	51.7 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	135	5.9 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,159 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 546-547 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

W1_E64_D: [Asian/Asian Americans: I would only want to date this group for sexual reasons rather than for a serious or potential marital relationship] How do you rate each of the following groups on these characteristics?

[Asian/Asian Americans: I would only want to date this group for sexual reasons rather than for a serious or potential marital relationship] How do you rate each of the following groups on these characteristics?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Agree Strongly	73	3.2 %
2	Agree Somewhat	181	7.9 %
3	Disagree Somewhat	360	15.7 %
4	Disagree Strongly	1546	67.4 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	134	5.8 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,160 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 548-549 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

W1_E7: Have you had sex with someone outside of your racial group?

Have you had sex with someone outside of your racial group?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	980	42.7 %
2	No	1245	54.3 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	69	3.0 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,225 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 2.00

Location: 550-551 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

W1_E8: Was this in the context of :

Was this in the context of :

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	A committed relationship	404	17.6 %
2	A casual, uncommitted relationship	400	17.4 %
3	A one-night stand	126	5.5 %
4	Other	37	1.6 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	13	0.6 %
.	-	1314	57.3 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 967 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 4.00

Location: 552-553 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

W1_E9_A: [African/African American/Black] Have you had sex with any of the following groups?

[African/African American/Black] Have you had sex with any of the following groups?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1038	45.2 %
1	Yes	1256	54.8 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,294 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 554-554 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

W1_E9_B: [Latin American/Latino/Hispanic] Have you had sex with any of the following groups?

[Latin American/Latino/Hispanic] Have you had sex with any of the following groups?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1700	74.1 %
1	Yes	594	25.9 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,294 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 555-555 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

W1_E9_C: [Asian/Asian American] Have you had sex with any of the following groups?

[Asian/Asian American] Have you had sex with any of the following groups?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1996	87.0 %
1	Yes	298	13.0 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,294 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 556-556 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

W1_E9_D: [Caucasian/White (non-Hispanic)] Have you had sex with any of the following groups?

[Caucasian/White (non-Hispanic)] Have you had sex with any of the following groups?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	957	41.7 %
1	Yes	1337	58.3 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,294 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 557-557 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

W1_E9_REFUSED: [Refused] Have you had sex with any of the following groups?

[Refused] Have you had sex with any of the following groups?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	2049	89.3 %
1	Yes	245	10.7 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,294 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 558-558 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

W1_F1: When you think about your future, are you generally optimistic, pessimistic, or neither optimistic nor pessimistic?

When you think about your future, are you generally optimistic, pessimistic, or neither optimistic nor pessimistic?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Optimistic	1225	53.4 %
2	Neither	796	34.7 %
3	Pessimistic	218	9.5 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	55	2.4 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,239 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

W1_F1A: Are you extremely [optimistic/pessimistic], moderately [optimistic/pessimistic], or slightly [optimistic/pessimistic]?

Are you extremely [optimistic/pessimistic], moderately [optimistic/pessimistic], or slightly [optimistic/pessimistic]?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Extremely	421	18.4 %
2	Moderately	843	36.7 %
3	Slightly	170	7.4 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	9	0.4 %
.	-	851	37.1 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,434 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

W1_F2: And when you think about the future of the United States as a whole, are you generally optimistic, pessimistic, or neither optimistic nor pessimistic?

And when you think about the future of the United States as a whole, are you generally optimistic, pessimistic, or neither optimistic nor pessimistic?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Optimistic	851	37.1 %
2	Neither	823	35.9 %
3	Pessimistic	565	24.6 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	55	2.4 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,239 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

W1_F2A: Are you extremely [optimistic/pessimistic], moderately [optimistic/pessimistic], or slightly [optimistic/pessimistic]?

Are you extremely [optimistic/pessimistic], moderately [optimistic/pessimistic], or slightly [optimistic/pessimistic]?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Extremely	354	15.4 %
2	Moderately	781	34.0 %
3	Slightly	271	11.8 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	10	0.4 %
.	-	878	38.3 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,406 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 565-566 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

W1_F3: A basic American belief has been that if you work hard you can get ahead and reach the goals you set and more. Is this true or false today?

A basic American belief has been that if you work hard you can get ahead and reach the goals you set and more. Is this true or false today?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Extremely true	331	14.4 %
2	Moderately true	641	27.9 %
3	Slightly true	521	22.7 %
4	Neither	275	12.0 %
5	Slightly false	214	9.3 %
6	Moderately false	157	6.8 %
7	Extremely false	111	4.8 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	44	1.9 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,250 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 567-568 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

W1_F4_A: [To own a home] For yourself and people like you, how easy or hard is it to reach these goals?

[To own a home] For yourself and people like you, how easy or hard is it to reach these goals?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very hard	404	17.6 %
2	Somewhat hard	946	41.2 %
3	Somewhat easy	643	28.0 %
4	Very easy	239	10.4 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	62	2.7 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,232 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 569-570 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

W1_F4_B: [To have a financially secure retirement] For yourself and people like you, how easy or hard is it to reach these goals?

[To have a financially secure retirement] For yourself and people like you, how easy or hard is it to reach these goals?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very hard	733	32.0 %
2	Somewhat hard	1012	44.1 %
3	Somewhat easy	390	17.0 %
4	Very easy	92	4.0 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	67	2.9 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,227 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 571-572 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

W1_F4_C: [To send one's children to college] For yourself and people like you, how easy or hard is it to reach these goals?

[To send one's children to college] For yourself and people like you, how easy or hard is it to reach these goals?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very hard	660	28.8 %
2	Somewhat hard	1004	43.8 %
3	Somewhat easy	440	19.2 %
4	Very easy	119	5.2 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	71	3.1 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,223 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 573-574 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

W1_F4_D: [To become wealthy] For yourself and people like you, how easy or hard is it to reach these goals?

[To become wealthy] For yourself and people like you, how easy or hard is it to reach these goals?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very hard	1258	54.8 %
2	Somewhat hard	755	32.9 %
3	Somewhat easy	164	7.1 %
4	Very easy	52	2.3 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	65	2.8 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,229 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 575-576 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

W1_F4_E: [To do better than one's parents did] For yourself and people like you, how easy or hard is it to reach these goals?

[To do better than one's parents did] For yourself and people like you, how easy or hard is it to reach these goals?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very hard	290	12.6 %
2	Somewhat hard	869	37.9 %
3	Somewhat easy	801	34.9 %
4	Very easy	270	11.8 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	64	2.8 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,230 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 577-578 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

W1_F5_A: [To own a home] For your children or the children of people like yourself, how easy or hard will it be for your children to reach these goals in the future?

[To own a home] For your children or the children of people like yourself, how easy or hard will it be for your children to reach these goals in the future?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very hard	507	22.1 %
2	Somewhat hard	940	41.0 %
3	Somewhat easy	578	25.2 %
4	Very easy	185	8.1 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	84	3.7 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,210 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 579-580 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

W1_F5_B: [To have a financially secure retirement] For your children or the children of people like yourself, how easy or hard will it be for your children to reach these goals in the future?

[To have a financially secure retirement] For your children or the children of people like yourself, how easy or hard will it be for your children to reach these goals in the future?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very hard	755	32.9 %
2	Somewhat hard	927	40.4 %
3	Somewhat easy	411	17.9 %
4	Very easy	117	5.1 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	84	3.7 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,210 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 581-582 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

W1_F5_C: [To send one's children to college] For your children or the children of children to reach these goals people like yourself, how easy or hard will it be for your children to reach these goals in the future?

[To send one's children to college] For your children or the children of people like yourself, how easy or hard will it be for your children to reach these goals in the future?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very hard	645	28.1 %
2	Somewhat hard	968	42.2 %
3	Somewhat easy	459	20.0 %
4	Very easy	142	6.2 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	80	3.5 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,214 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 583-584 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

W1_F5_D: [To become wealthy] For your children or the children of people like yourself, how easy or hard will it be for your children to reach these goals in the future?

[To become wealthy] For your children or the children of people like yourself, how easy or hard will it be for your children to reach these goals in the future?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very hard	963	42.0 %
2	Somewhat hard	845	36.8 %
3	Somewhat easy	298	13.0 %
4	Very easy	107	4.7 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	81	3.5 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,213 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 585-586 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

W1_F5_E: [To do better than one's parents did] For your children or the children of people like yourself, how easy or hard will it be for your children to reach these goals in the future?

[To do better than one's parents did] For your children or the children of people like yourself, how easy or hard will it be for your children to reach these goals in the future?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very hard	484	21.1 %
2	Somewhat hard	893	38.9 %
3	Somewhat easy	647	28.2 %
4	Very easy	186	8.1 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	84	3.7 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,210 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 587-588 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

W1_F6: How far along the road to your American Dream do you think you will ultimately get on a 10-point scale where 1 is not far at all and 10 nearly there?

How far along the road to your American Dream do you think you will ultimately get on a 10-point scale where 1 is not far at all and 10 nearly there?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Not far at all in achieving the American Dream	70	3.1 %
2	-	59	2.6 %
3	-	98	4.3 %
4	-	152	6.6 %
5	-	362	15.8 %
6	-	336	14.6 %
7	-	440	19.2 %
8	-	350	15.3 %
9	-	165	7.2 %
10	Nearly there in achieving the American Dream	203	8.8 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	59	2.6 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,235 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 6.40
- Median: 7.00
- Mode: 7.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 10.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.19

Location: 589-590 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

W1_G2: Now thinking about the country's economy, would you say that compared to one year ago, the nation's economy is now better, about the same, or worse?

Now thinking about the country's economy, would you say that compared to one year ago, the nation's economy is now better, about the same, or worse?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Better	545	23.8 %
2	About the same	1108	48.3 %
3	Worse	590	25.7 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	51	2.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,243 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 591-592 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

W1_G2B: Much better or somewhat better?

Much better or somewhat better?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Much better	88	3.8 %
2	Somewhat better	457	19.9 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	1749	76.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 545 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 2.00

Location: 593-594 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

W1_G2C: Much worse or somewhat worse?

Much worse or somewhat worse?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Much worse	299	13.0 %
2	Somewhat worse	289	12.6 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	2	0.1 %
.	-	1704	74.3 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 588 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 2.00

Location: 595-596 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

W1_G3A: Do you think the economy, in the country as a whole, will be better, about the same, or worse in 12 months?

Do you think the economy, in the country as a whole, will be better, about the same, or worse in 12 months?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Better	751	32.7 %
2	About the same	1106	48.2 %
3	Worse	381	16.6 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	56	2.4 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,238 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 597-598 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

W1_G3B: Much better or somewhat better?

Much better or somewhat better?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Much better	175	7.6 %
2	Somewhat better	573	25.0 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	3	0.1 %
.	-	1543	67.3 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 748 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 599-600 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

W1_G3C: Much worse or somewhat worse?

Much worse or somewhat worse?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
1	Much worse	175	7.6 %
2	Somewhat worse	206	9.0 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	1913	83.4 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 381 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 601-602 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

W1_H1: Society has reached the point where Blacks and Whites have equal opportunities for achievement.

Society has reached the point where blacks and whites have equal opportunities for achievement.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Agree strongly	237	10.3 %
2	Agree somewhat	465	20.3 %
3	Neither agree nor disagree	499	21.8 %
4	Disagree somewhat	561	24.5 %
5	Disagree strongly	455	19.8 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	77	3.4 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,217 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 603-604 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

W1_H2: In comparison to other minority groups, American Indians are well represented and have advanced a lot.

In comparison to other minority groups, American Indians are well represented and have advanced a lot.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Agree strongly	110	4.8 %
2	Agree somewhat	318	13.9 %
3	Neither agree nor disagree	767	33.4 %
4	Disagree somewhat	508	22.1 %
5	Disagree strongly	504	22.0 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	87	3.8 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,207 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 605-606 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

W1_H3: The U.S. government is largely responsible for many of the economic and social problems American Indians experience today.

The U.S. government is largely responsible for many of the economic and social problems American Indians experience today.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Agree strongly	449	19.6 %
2	Agree somewhat	708	30.9 %
3	Neither agree nor disagree	724	31.6 %
4	Disagree somewhat	203	8.8 %
5	Disagree strongly	128	5.6 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	82	3.6 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,212 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 607-608 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

W1_H4: Over the past few years, Blacks have gotten less than they deserve.

Over the past few years, Blacks have gotten less than they deserve.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Agree strongly	203	8.8 %
2	Agree somewhat	478	20.8 %
3	Neither agree nor disagree	803	35.0 %
4	Disagree somewhat	381	16.6 %
5	Disagree strongly	342	14.9 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	87	3.8 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,207 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 609-610 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

W1_H5: Irish, Italians, Jews, and many other minorities overcame prejudice and worked their way up. Blacks should do the same without any special favors.

Irish, Italians, Jews, and many other minorities overcame prejudice and worked their way up. Blacks should do the same without any special favors.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Agree strongly	338	14.7 %
2	Agree somewhat	497	21.7 %
3	Neither agree nor disagree	661	28.8 %
4	Disagree somewhat	389	17.0 %
5	Disagree strongly	333	14.5 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	76	3.3 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,218 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 611-612 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

W1_H6: It's really a matter of some people not trying hard enough; if Blacks would only try harder they could be just as well off as Whites.

It?s really a matter of some people not trying hard enough; if blacks would only try harder they could be just as well off as whites.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Agree strongly	198	8.6 %
2	Agree somewhat	435	19.0 %
3	Neither agree nor disagree	630	27.5 %
4	Disagree somewhat	423	18.4 %
5	Disagree strongly	533	23.2 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	75	3.3 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,219 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 5.00

Location: 613-614 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

W1_H7: Generations of slavery and discrimination have created conditions that make it difficult for Blacks to work their way out of the lower class.

Generations of slavery and discrimination have created conditions that make it difficult for blacks to work their way out of the lower class.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Agree strongly	278	12.1 %
2	Agree somewhat	600	26.2 %
3	Neither agree nor disagree	544	23.7 %
4	Disagree somewhat	413	18.0 %
5	Disagree strongly	382	16.7 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	77	3.4 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,217 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 5.00

Location: 615-616 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

W1_H8: Discrimination against Blacks is no longer a problem in the U.S.

Discrimination against blacks is no longer a problem in the U.S.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Agree strongly	104	4.5 %
2	Agree somewhat	238	10.4 %
3	Neither agree nor disagree	458	20.0 %
4	Disagree somewhat	564	24.6 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
5	Disagree strongly	847	36.9 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	83	3.6 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,211 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 617-618 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

W1_I1: How well does the U.S. Congress represent all Americans?

How well does the U.S. Congress represent all Americans?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Extremely well	26	1.1 %
2	Very well	73	3.2 %
3	Moderately well	650	28.3 %
4	A little well	696	30.3 %
5	Not well at all	786	34.3 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	63	2.7 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,231 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 619-620 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

W1_I2: How well does the U.S. Congress represent you?

How well does the U.S. Congress represent you?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Extremely well	21	0.9 %
2	Very well	57	2.5 %
3	Moderately well	571	24.9 %
4	A little well	709	30.9 %
5	Not well at all	876	38.2 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	60	2.6 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,234 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 621-622 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

W1_J1_A: [Provide a guaranteed one year of paid parental leave for mothers and fathers on the birth or adoption of a child?] What is your opinion about each of them?

[Provide a guaranteed one year of paid parental leave for mothers and fathers on the birth or adoption of a child?] What is your opinion about each of them?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Very bad proposal	391	17.0 %
1	-	109	4.8 %
2	-	135	5.9 %
3	-	149	6.5 %
4	-	146	6.4 %
5	-	397	17.3 %
6	-	140	6.1 %
7	-	165	7.2 %
8	-	143	6.2 %
9	-	110	4.8 %
10	very good proposal	316	13.8 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	93	4.1 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,201 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 4.85
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 10.00
- Standard Deviation: 3.38

Location: 623-624 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

W1_J1_B: [Increase legal penalties for sexual harassment?] What is your opinion about each of them?

[Increase legal penalties for sexual harassment?] What is your opinion about each of them?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Very bad proposal	80	3.5 %
1	-	40	1.7 %
2	-	54	2.4 %
3	-	79	3.4 %
4	-	86	3.7 %
5	-	418	18.2 %
6	-	204	8.9 %
7	-	241	10.5 %
8	-	260	11.3 %
9	-	183	8.0 %
10	very good proposal	558	24.3 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	91	4.0 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,203 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 6.83
- Median: 7.00
- Mode: 10.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 10.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.74

Location: 625-626 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

W1_J1_C: [Provide every child until 18 years of age free health insurance?] What is your opinion about each of them?

[Provide every child until 18 years of age free health insurance?] What is your opinion about each of them?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Very bad proposal	182	7.9 %
1	-	38	1.7 %
2	-	58	2.5 %
3	-	92	4.0 %
4	-	87	3.8 %
5	-	291	12.7 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
6	-	159	6.9 %
7	-	176	7.7 %
8	-	203	8.8 %
9	-	190	8.3 %
10	very good proposal	723	31.5 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	95	4.1 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,199 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 6.81
- Median: 8.00
- Mode: 10.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 10.00
- Standard Deviation: 3.21

Location: 627-628 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

W1_J1_D: [Require that an equal number of the top leadership positions in government go to women?] What is your opinion about each of them?

[Require that an equal number of the top leadership positions in government go to women?] What is your opinion about each of them?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Very bad proposal	267	11.6 %
1	-	70	3.1 %
2	-	102	4.4 %
3	-	124	5.4 %
4	-	150	6.5 %
5	-	520	22.7 %
6	-	224	9.8 %
7	-	204	8.9 %
8	-	186	8.1 %
9	-	96	4.2 %
10	very good proposal	255	11.1 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	96	4.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,198 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 5.24
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 10.00
- Standard Deviation: 3.01

Location: 629-630 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

W1_J1_E: [Require that American children attend public school for 12 months instead of 9 months?] What is your opinion about each of them?

[Require that American children attend public school for 12 months instead of 9 months?] What is your opinion about each of them?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Very bad proposal	299	13.0 %
1	-	86	3.7 %
2	-	140	6.1 %
3	-	182	7.9 %
4	-	132	5.8 %
5	-	407	17.7 %
6	-	191	8.3 %
7	-	196	8.5 %
8	-	193	8.4 %
9	-	114	5.0 %
10	very good proposal	266	11.6 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	88	3.8 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,206 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 5.09
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 10.00
- Standard Deviation: 3.17

Location: 631-632 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

W1_J1_F: [Make families with dependent children permanently eligible for welfare any time they fall below the poverty line?] What is your opinion about each of them?

[Make families with dependent children permanently eligible for welfare any time they fall below the poverty line?] What is your opinion about each of them?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Very bad proposal	364	15.9 %
1	-	129	5.6 %
2	-	136	5.9 %
3	-	162	7.1 %
4	-	159	6.9 %
5	-	391	17.0 %
6	-	171	7.5 %
7	-	141	6.1 %
8	-	162	7.1 %
9	-	96	4.2 %
10	very good proposal	286	12.5 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	97	4.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,197 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 4.78
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 10.00
- Standard Deviation: 3.30

Location: 633-634 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

W1_J1_G: [Provide free or heavily government subsidized childcare/preschool for poor families?] What is your opinion about each of them?

[Provide free or heavily government subsidized childcare/preschool for poor families?] What is your opinion about each of them?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Very bad proposal	167	7.3 %
1	-	56	2.4 %
2	-	85	3.7 %
3	-	118	5.1 %
4	-	127	5.5 %
5	-	387	16.9 %
6	-	200	8.7 %
7	-	215	9.4 %
8	-	218	9.5 %
9	-	165	7.2 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
10	very good proposal	462	20.1 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	94	4.1 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,200 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 6.17
- Median: 6.00
- Mode: 10.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 10.00
- Standard Deviation: 3.06

Location: 635-636 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

W1_J2: Which of the following statements comes closest to your view concerning same-sex couples?

Which of the following statements comes closest to your view concerning same-sex couples?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	They should be allowed to legally marry	835	36.4 %
2	They should be allowed to legally form civil unions, but not marry	726	31.6 %
3	They should not be allowed to marry or form civil unions	623	27.2 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	110	4.8 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,184 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 637-638 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

W1_J3A_A: [The federal budget deficit] Compared to 2008 would you say the following is now better or worse?

[The federal budget deficit] Compared to 2008 would you say the following is now better or worse?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Much better	33	1.4 %
2	Somewhat better	188	8.2 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
3	About the same	576	25.1 %
4	Somewhat worse	665	29.0 %
5	Much worse	772	33.7 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	60	2.6 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,234 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 639-640 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

W1_J3A_B: [Poverty in the U.S.] Compared to 2008 would you say the following is now better or worse?

[Poverty in the U.S.] Compared to 2008 would you say the following is now better or worse?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Much better	25	1.1 %
2	Somewhat better	198	8.6 %
3	About the same	797	34.7 %
4	Somewhat worse	715	31.2 %
5	Much worse	493	21.5 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	66	2.9 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,228 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 641-642 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

W1_J3A_C: [Unemployment in the U.S.] Compared to 2008 would you say the following is now better or worse?

[Unemployment in the U.S.] Compared to 2008 would you say the following is now better or worse?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Much better	53	2.3 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
2	Somewhat better	517	22.5 %
3	About the same	557	24.3 %
4	Somewhat worse	543	23.7 %
5	Much worse	567	24.7 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	57	2.5 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,237 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 643-644 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

W1_K1_A: [The government in Washington] How much do you think you can trust the following institutions?

[The government in Washington] How much do you think you can trust the following institutions?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Just about always	37	1.6 %
2	Most of the time	475	20.7 %
3	Only some of the time	1286	56.1 %
4	Never	426	18.6 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	70	3.1 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,224 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 645-646 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

W1_K1_B: [The police] How much do you think you can trust the following institutions?

[The police] How much do you think you can trust the following institutions?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Just about always	163	7.1 %
2	Most of the time	981	42.8 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
3	Only some of the time	864	37.7 %
4	Never	215	9.4 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	71	3.1 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,223 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 647-648 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

W1_K1_C: [The legal system] How much do you think you can trust the following institutions?

[The legal system] How much do you think you can trust the following institutions?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Just about always	63	2.7 %
2	Most of the time	749	32.7 %
3	Only some of the time	1172	51.1 %
4	Never	246	10.7 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	64	2.8 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,230 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 649-650 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

W1_K1_D: [Public schools] How much do you think you can trust the following institutions?

[Public schools] How much do you think you can trust the following institutions?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Just about always	143	6.2 %
2	Most of the time	1044	45.5 %
3	Only some of the time	920	40.1 %
4	Never	121	5.3 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	66	2.9 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,228 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 651-652 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

W1_K4: On a seven-point scale, do you think that Blacks and other minorities are treated the same as Whites in the criminal justice system or do not receive equal treatment?

On a seven-point scale, do you think that blacks and other minorities are treated the same as whites in the criminal justice system or do not receive equal treatment?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Recieve equal treatment	170	7.4 %
2	-	112	4.9 %
3	-	91	4.0 %
4	-	165	7.2 %
5	-	343	15.0 %
6	-	317	13.8 %
7	Do not receive equal treatment	800	34.9 %
9	Not sure	241	10.5 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	55	2.4 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,239 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 5.68
- Median: 6.00
- Mode: 7.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 9.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.18

Location: 653-654 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

W1_K5: On a scale from 1 to 10, do you think President Obama has done more or less than other presidents to help Black Americans?

On a scale from 1 to 10, do you think President Obama has done more or less than other presidents to help Black Americans?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	President Obama has done less than other presidents to help Blacks	90	3.9 %
2	-	37	1.6 %
3	-	73	3.2 %
4	-	65	2.8 %
5	-	610	26.6 %
6	-	390	17.0 %
7	-	287	12.5 %
8	-	250	10.9 %
9	-	132	5.8 %
10	President Obama has done more than other presidents to help Blacks	269	11.7 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	91	4.0 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,203 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 6.32
- Median: 6.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 10.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.23

Location: 655-656 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

W1_L1_A: [A professional association] Indicate whether you have participated in the activities of this group in the past 12 months.

[A professional association] Indicate whether you have participated in the activities of this group in the past 12 months.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	I have participated more than twice	189	8.2 %
2	I have participated once or twice	259	11.3 %
3	I belong to such a group but never participate	124	5.4 %
4	I do not belong to such a group	1657	72.2 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	65	2.8 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,229 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

W1_L1_B: [A political party, club, or association] Indicate whether you have participated in the activities of this group in the past 12 months.

[A political party, club, or association] Indicate whether you have participated in the activities of this group in the past 12 months.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	I have participated more than twice	106	4.6 %
2	I have participated once or twice	214	9.3 %
3	I belong to such a group but never participate	196	8.5 %
4	I do not belong to such a group	1707	74.4 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	71	3.1 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,223 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

W1_L1_C: [A cultural organization that puts on plays, music or dance concerts, attends concerts, theater or museums together] Indicate whether you have participated in the activities of this group in the past 12 months.

[A cultural organization that puts on plays, music or dance concerts, attends concerts, theater or museums together] Indicate whether you have participated in the activities of this group in the past 12 months.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	I have participated more than twice	176	7.7 %
2	I have participated once or twice	211	9.2 %
3	I belong to such a group but never participate	54	2.4 %
4	I do not belong to such a group	1776	77.4 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	77	3.4 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,217 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

(Range of) Missing Values: -1

W1_L2_1: [NAACP] Are you a member of any of the following groups/organizations/movements?

[NAACP] Are you a member of any of the following groups/organizations/movements?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	2117	92.3 %
1	Yes	177	7.7 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,294 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 663-663 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

W1_L2_2: [National Urban League] Are you a member of any of the following groups/organizations/movements?

[National Urban League] Are you a member of any of the following groups/organizations/movements?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	2233	97.3 %
1	Yes	61	2.7 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,294 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 664-664 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

W1_L2_3: [Southern Christian Leadership Conference] Are you a member of any of the following groups/organizations/movements?

[Southern Christian Leadership Conference] Are you a member of any of the following groups/organizations/movements?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	2243	97.8 %
1	Yes	51	2.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,294 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 665-665 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

W1_L2_4: [Tea Party Movement] Are you a member of any of the following groups/organizations/movements?

[Tea Party Movement] Are you a member of any of the following groups/organizations/movements?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	2249	98.0 %
1	Yes	45	2.0 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,294 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 666-666 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

W1_L2_5: [Occupy Wall Street Movement] Are you a member of the following groups/organizations/movements?

[Occupy Wall Street Movement] Are you a member of any of the following groups/organizations/movements?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	2270	99.0 %
1	Yes	24	1.0 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,294 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 667-667 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

W1_L2_REFUSED: [Refused] Are you a member of any of the following groups/organizations/movements?

[Refused] Are you a member of any of the following groups/organizations/movements?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	293	12.8 %
1	Yes	2001	87.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,294 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 668-668 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

W1_L3: In the last twelve months, have you worked with others or joined an organization in your community to do something about some community problem?

In the last twelve months, have you worked with others or joined an organization in your community to do something about some community problem?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	469	20.4 %
2	No	1766	77.0 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	59	2.6 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,235 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 669-670 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

W1_L4_A: [Contacted a public official or agency] Please indicate if you have done any of the following activities in the last 2 years.

[Contacted a public official or agency] Please indicate if you have done any of the following activities in the last 2 years.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	581	25.3 %
2	No	1645	71.7 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	68	3.0 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,226 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 671-672 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

W1_L4_B: [Attended a protest meeting or demonstration] Please indicate if you have done any of the following activites in the last 2 years.

[Attended a protest meeting or demonstration] Please indicate if you have done any of the following activites in the last 2 years.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	197	8.6 %
2	No	2018	88.0 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	79	3.4 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,215 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 673-674 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

W1_L4_C: [Taken part in a neighborhood march] Please indicate if you have done any of the following activites in the last 2 years.

[Taken part in a neighborhood march] Please indicate if you have done any of the following activites in the last 2 years.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	116	5.1 %
2	No	2110	92.0 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	68	3.0 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,226 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 675-676 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

W1_L4_D: [Signed a petition in support of something or against something] Please indicate if you have done any of the following activites in the last 2 years.

[Signed a petition in support of something or against something] Please indicate if you have done any of the following activites in the last 2 years.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	919	40.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
2	No	1304	56.8 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	71	3.1 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,223 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 2.00

Location: 677-678 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

W1_L5_A: [Helped in a voter registration drive] Please indicate whether or not you have engaged in these political activities in the last TWO years? Have you...

[Helped in a voter registration drive] Please indicate whether or not you have engaged in these political activities in the last TWO years? Have you...

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Have	209	9.1 %
2	Have not	2024	88.2 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	61	2.7 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,233 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 2.00

Location: 679-680 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

W1_L5_B: [Given people a ride to the polls on election day] Please indicate whether or not you have engaged in these political activities in the last TWO years? Have you..

[Given people a ride to the polls on election day] Please indicate whether or not you have engaged in these political activities in the last TWO years? Have you..

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Have	200	8.7 %
2	Have not	2024	88.2 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	70	3.1 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,224 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 681-682 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

W1_L5_C: [Given money to a political candidate] Please indicate whether or not you have engaged in these political activities in the last TWO years? Have you....

[Given money to a political candidate] Please indicate whether or not you have engaged in these political activities in the last TWO years? Have you....

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Have	468	20.4 %
2	Have not	1766	77.0 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	60	2.6 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,234 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 683-684 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

W1_L5_D: [Attended a fund raiser for a candidate] Please indicate whether or not you have engaged in these political activities in the last TWO years? Have you...

[Attended a fund raiser for a candidate] Please indicate whether or not you have engaged in these political activities in the last TWO years? Have you...

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Have	211	9.2 %
2	Have not	2023	88.2 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	60	2.6 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,234 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 685-686 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

W1_L5_E: [Handed out campaign material or placed campaign material on cars] Please indicate whether or not you have engaged in these political activities in the last TWO years ? Have you...

[Handed out campaign material or placed campaign material on cars] Please indicate whether or not you have engaged in these political activities in the last TWO years ? Have you...

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Have	185	8.1 %
2	Have not	2046	89.2 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	63	2.7 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,231 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 687-688 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

W1_L5_F: [Signed a petition supporting a candidate who was running for office] Please indicate whether or not you have engaged in these political activities in the last TWO years? Have you...

[Signed a petition supporting a candidate who was running for office] Please indicate whether or not you have engaged in these political activities in the last TWO years? Have you...

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Have	578	25.2 %
2	Have not	1655	72.1 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	61	2.7 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,233 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 689-690 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

W1_M1: What is your religion?

What is your religion?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Baptist any denomination	663	28.9 %
2	Protestant (e.g., Methodist, Lutheran, Presbyterian, Episcopal)	386	16.8 %
3	Catholic	330	14.4 %
4	Mormon	19	0.8 %
5	Jewish	29	1.3 %
6	Muslim	15	0.7 %
7	Hindu	3	0.1 %
8	Buddhist	11	0.5 %
9	Pentecostal	107	4.7 %
10	Eastern Orthodox	8	0.3 %
11	Other Christian	354	15.4 %
12	Other non-Christian, please specify:	56	2.4 %
13	None	294	12.8 %
14	Refused	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	19	0.8 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,275 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 13.00

Location: 691-692 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

W1_M1_OTHER_TEXT: [Other] What is your religion?

[Other] What is your religion?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	-	2288	99.7 %
Jehovah's Witness	-	1	0.0 %
Open to all possibilities. OTAP	-	1	0.0 %
born again christian	-	1	0.0 %
isarelite	-	1	0.0 %
jehovahs witness	-	1	0.0 %
non denominational	-	1	0.0 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,294 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

Location: 693-723 (width: 31; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: character

W1_M1A: Would you describe yourself as a born-again or evangelical Christian?

Would you describe yourself as a born-again or evangelical Christian?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	833	36.3 %
2	No	1052	45.9 %
3	Not asked	403	17.6 %
4	Refused	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	6	0.3 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,288 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 724-725 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

W1_M5: How often do you attend religious services?

How often do you attend religious services?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	More than once a week	356	15.5 %
2	Once a week	534	23.3 %
3	Once or twice a month	234	10.2 %
4	A few times a year	405	17.7 %
5	Once a year or less	326	14.2 %
6	Never	407	17.7 %
7	Not asked	15	0.7 %
8	Missing/Refused	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	17	0.7 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,277 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 726-727 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

W1_M2: What was the racial makeup of your place of worship?

What was the racial makeup of your place of worship?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Mostly Black	736	32.1 %
2	Mostly White	644	28.1 %
3	Mostly Latino	49	2.1 %
4	Mostly Asian	11	0.5 %
5	Something else	108	4.7 %
6	Don't Know	242	10.5 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	66	2.9 %
.	-	438	19.1 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,790 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 6.00

Location: 728-729 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

W1_M3: Churches or places of worship should be involved in political matters.

Churches or places of worship should be involved in political matters.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Strongly agree	185	8.1 %
2	Somewhat agree	532	23.2 %
3	Somewhat disagree	595	25.9 %
4	Strongly disagree	883	38.5 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	99	4.3 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,195 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 730-731 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

W1_M4: Churches or places of worship should allow more women to become members of the clergy.

Churches or places of worship should allow more women to become members of the clergy.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Strongly agree	648	28.2 %
2	Somewhat agree	892	38.9 %
3	Somewhat disagree	356	15.5 %
4	Strongly disagree	281	12.2 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	117	5.1 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,177 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 732-733 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

W1_M6: Aside from attending regular services, in the past 12 months have you been an active member of your church or place of worship?

Aside from attending regular services, in the past 12 months have you been an active member of your church or place of worship?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	602	26.2 %
2	No	1181	51.5 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	73	3.2 %
.	-	438	19.1 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,783 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 734-735 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

W1_M7: In the last year, have you heard any discussions of politics at your church or place of worship?

In the last year, have you heard any discussions of politics at your church or place of worship?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	561	24.5 %
2	No	1216	53.0 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	79	3.4 %
.	-	438	19.1 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,777 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 736-737 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

W1_M8: Have you talked to people about political matters at your church or place of worship?

Have you talked to people about political matters at your church or place of worship?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	426	18.6 %
2	No	1353	59.0 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	77	3.4 %
.	-	438	19.1 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,779 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 738-739 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

W1_M9: Has a member of the clergy or someone in an official position at your church or place of worship talked about the need for people to become more involved in politics?

Has a member of the clergy or someone in an official position at your church or place of worship talked about the need for people to become more involved in politics?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	511	22.3 %
2	No	1258	54.8 %
	Missing Data		

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	87	3.8 %
.	-	438	19.1 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,769 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 740-741 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

W1_M10: Has any local or national political leader spoken at a regular religious service at your church or place of worship?

Has any local or national political leader spoken at a regular religious service at your church or place of worship?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	269	11.7 %
2	No	1505	65.6 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	82	3.6 %
.	-	438	19.1 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,774 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 742-743 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

W1_M11: Has a member of the clergy, or someone in an official position at your church or place of worship, ever suggested that you vote for or against certain candidates in an election?

Has a member of the clergy, or someone in an official position at your church or place of worship, ever suggested that you vote for or against certain candidates in an election?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	194	8.5 %
2	No	1584	69.0 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	78	3.4 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
.	-	438	19.1 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,778 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 744-745 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

W1_M12: What about suggesting that you take action on a political issue such as sign a petition or write a letter? Did this happen in the last two years?

What about suggesting that you take action on a political issue such as sign a petition or write a letter? Did this happen in the last two years?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	359	15.6 %
2	No	1419	61.9 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	78	3.4 %
.	-	438	19.1 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,778 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 746-747 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

W1_N1A: How would you rate people on welfare?

How would you rate people on welfare?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	100	4.4 %
1	-	5	0.2 %
3	-	1	0.0 %
5	-	8	0.3 %
9	-	1	0.0 %
10	-	14	0.6 %
12	-	1	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
15	-	129	5.6 %
20	-	9	0.4 %
25	-	20	0.9 %
27	-	2	0.1 %
30	-	135	5.9 %
34	-	1	0.0 %
35	-	17	0.7 %
39	-	1	0.0 %
40	-	225	9.8 %
45	-	15	0.7 %
47	-	1	0.0 %
48	-	1	0.0 %
49	-	2	0.1 %
50	-	585	25.5 %
51	-	2	0.1 %
52	-	1	0.0 %
55	-	19	0.8 %
57	-	1	0.0 %
59	-	1	0.0 %
60	-	248	10.8 %
65	-	14	0.6 %
66	-	3	0.1 %
67	-	3	0.1 %
68	-	1	0.0 %
70	-	232	10.1 %
71	-	1	0.0 %
72	-	1	0.0 %
74	-	1	0.0 %
75	-	30	1.3 %
78	-	2	0.1 %
79	-	2	0.1 %
80	-	27	1.2 %
85	-	122	5.3 %
88	-	1	0.0 %
89	-	1	0.0 %
90	-	14	0.6 %
95	-	3	0.1 %
100	-	125	5.4 %
	Missing Data		

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	84	3.7 %
998	Don't Recognize	82	3.6 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,128 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 51.72
- Median: 50.00
- Mode: 50.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 100.00
- Standard Deviation: 24.00

Location: 748-750 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , 998

W1_N1B: How would you rate American Indians or Native Americans?

How would you rate American Indians or Native Americans?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	20	0.9 %
2	-	1	0.0 %
5	-	4	0.2 %
7	-	2	0.1 %
8	-	2	0.1 %
10	-	1	0.0 %
15	-	19	0.8 %
20	-	6	0.3 %
25	-	2	0.1 %
30	-	25	1.1 %
35	-	1	0.0 %
39	-	1	0.0 %
40	-	40	1.7 %
45	-	3	0.1 %
48	-	1	0.0 %
50	-	519	22.6 %
51	-	1	0.0 %
52	-	1	0.0 %
55	-	8	0.3 %
60	-	175	7.6 %
65	-	20	0.9 %
66	-	2	0.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
69	-	1	0.0 %
70	-	326	14.2 %
71	-	1	0.0 %
74	-	2	0.1 %
75	-	48	2.1 %
76	-	1	0.0 %
77	-	1	0.0 %
78	-	3	0.1 %
79	-	1	0.0 %
80	-	54	2.4 %
83	-	1	0.0 %
85	-	359	15.6 %
87	-	3	0.1 %
89	-	1	0.0 %
90	-	47	2.0 %
95	-	13	0.6 %
96	-	1	0.0 %
97	-	1	0.0 %
98	-	2	0.1 %
99	-	3	0.1 %
100	-	344	15.0 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	103	4.5 %
998	Don't Recognize	124	5.4 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,067 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 69.93
- Median: 70.00
- Mode: 50.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 100.00
- Standard Deviation: 21.50

Location: 751-753 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , 998

W1_N1C: How would you rate Latinos?

How would you rate Latinos?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	36	1.6 %
1	-	1	0.0 %
2	-	1	0.0 %
3	-	1	0.0 %
4	-	1	0.0 %
5	-	2	0.1 %
9	-	1	0.0 %
10	-	4	0.2 %
12	-	1	0.0 %
15	-	35	1.5 %
20	-	10	0.4 %
25	-	2	0.1 %
26	-	1	0.0 %
28	-	1	0.0 %
30	-	43	1.9 %
35	-	2	0.1 %
40	-	81	3.5 %
45	-	7	0.3 %
49	-	1	0.0 %
50	-	501	21.8 %
51	-	1	0.0 %
53	-	1	0.0 %
55	-	10	0.4 %
58	-	1	0.0 %
59	-	1	0.0 %
60	-	218	9.5 %
65	-	20	0.9 %
66	-	1	0.0 %
69	-	1	0.0 %
70	-	342	14.9 %
75	-	41	1.8 %
76	-	1	0.0 %
77	-	1	0.0 %
78	-	2	0.1 %
79	-	3	0.1 %
80	-	66	2.9 %
82	-	2	0.1 %
84	-	1	0.0 %
85	-	292	12.7 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
86	-	5	0.2 %
87	-	4	0.2 %
88	-	1	0.0 %
90	-	39	1.7 %
95	-	9	0.4 %
98	-	3	0.1 %
99	-	3	0.1 %
100	-	273	11.9 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	108	4.7 %
998	Don't Recognize	112	4.9 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,074 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 66.17
- Median: 70.00
- Mode: 50.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 100.00
- Standard Deviation: 22.58

Location: 754-756 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , 998

W1_N1D: How would you rate Whites?

How would you rate Whites?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	33	1.4 %
2	-	2	0.1 %
3	-	2	0.1 %
5	-	4	0.2 %
6	-	2	0.1 %
7	-	2	0.1 %
8	-	1	0.0 %
10	-	1	0.0 %
12	-	1	0.0 %
15	-	28	1.2 %
20	-	2	0.1 %
25	-	3	0.1 %
30	-	26	1.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
34	-	1	0.0 %
35	-	1	0.0 %
39	-	1	0.0 %
40	-	48	2.1 %
45	-	5	0.2 %
46	-	1	0.0 %
50	-	452	19.7 %
51	-	5	0.2 %
52	-	2	0.1 %
55	-	7	0.3 %
59	-	2	0.1 %
60	-	178	7.8 %
64	-	1	0.0 %
65	-	19	0.8 %
68	-	1	0.0 %
69	-	2	0.1 %
70	-	354	15.4 %
71	-	2	0.1 %
72	-	2	0.1 %
74	-	2	0.1 %
75	-	58	2.5 %
76	-	2	0.1 %
78	-	1	0.0 %
79	-	1	0.0 %
80	-	72	3.1 %
81	-	1	0.0 %
84	-	1	0.0 %
85	-	411	17.9 %
87	-	3	0.1 %
88	-	1	0.0 %
90	-	63	2.7 %
95	-	13	0.6 %
98	-	2	0.1 %
99	-	2	0.1 %
100	-	289	12.6 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	108	4.7 %
998	Don't Recognize	73	3.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,113 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 69.39
- Median: 70.00
- Mode: 50.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 100.00
- Standard Deviation: 21.91

Location: 757-759 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , 998

W1_N1E: How would you rate Blacks?

How would you rate Blacks?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	29	1.3 %
1	-	1	0.0 %
2	-	2	0.1 %
5	-	4	0.2 %
7	-	2	0.1 %
8	-	1	0.0 %
10	-	8	0.3 %
15	-	22	1.0 %
20	-	8	0.3 %
24	-	1	0.0 %
25	-	1	0.0 %
30	-	25	1.1 %
35	-	3	0.1 %
40	-	60	2.6 %
43	-	1	0.0 %
44	-	1	0.0 %
45	-	9	0.4 %
49	-	2	0.1 %
50	-	403	17.6 %
51	-	2	0.1 %
55	-	8	0.3 %
56	-	1	0.0 %
59	-	2	0.1 %
60	-	152	6.6 %
62	-	1	0.0 %
65	-	14	0.6 %
67	-	1	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
68	-	1	0.0 %
69	-	1	0.0 %
70	-	274	11.9 %
72	-	1	0.0 %
73	-	1	0.0 %
74	-	2	0.1 %
75	-	47	2.0 %
76	-	2	0.1 %
80	-	56	2.4 %
85	-	358	15.6 %
88	-	3	0.1 %
89	-	3	0.1 %
90	-	56	2.4 %
92	-	2	0.1 %
93	-	1	0.0 %
95	-	23	1.0 %
96	-	1	0.0 %
98	-	6	0.3 %
99	-	2	0.1 %
100	-	518	22.6 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	107	4.7 %
998	Don't Recognize	65	2.8 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,122 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 72.78
- Median: 75.00
- Mode: 100.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 100.00
- Standard Deviation: 23.53

Location: 760-762 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , 998

W1_N1F: How would you rate Asians?

How would you rate Asians?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	29	1.3 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	-	1	0.0 %
2	-	1	0.0 %
4	-	2	0.1 %
5	-	2	0.1 %
6	-	3	0.1 %
7	-	1	0.0 %
10	-	4	0.2 %
12	-	1	0.0 %
15	-	32	1.4 %
20	-	5	0.2 %
25	-	3	0.1 %
28	-	1	0.0 %
30	-	30	1.3 %
36	-	1	0.0 %
39	-	1	0.0 %
40	-	61	2.7 %
45	-	6	0.3 %
47	-	1	0.0 %
50	-	565	24.6 %
52	-	1	0.0 %
55	-	6	0.3 %
59	-	1	0.0 %
60	-	198	8.6 %
62	-	1	0.0 %
65	-	15	0.7 %
69	-	2	0.1 %
70	-	343	15.0 %
72	-	2	0.1 %
75	-	52	2.3 %
77	-	1	0.0 %
78	-	2	0.1 %
79	-	3	0.1 %
80	-	63	2.7 %
81	-	1	0.0 %
82	-	1	0.0 %
85	-	289	12.6 %
86	-	1	0.0 %
88	-	2	0.1 %
89	-	1	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
90	-	44	1.9 %
95	-	11	0.5 %
97	-	1	0.0 %
98	-	3	0.1 %
99	-	2	0.1 %
100	-	262	11.4 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	109	4.8 %
998	Don't Recognize	127	5.5 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,058 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 66.42
- Median: 70.00
- Mode: 50.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 100.00
- Standard Deviation: 21.94

Location: 763-765 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , 998

W1_N1G: How would you rate gays/lesbians?

How would you rate gays/lesbians?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	242	10.5 %
1	-	2	0.1 %
2	-	3	0.1 %
3	-	3	0.1 %
5	-	7	0.3 %
6	-	1	0.0 %
7	-	1	0.0 %
10	-	10	0.4 %
15	-	101	4.4 %
19	-	1	0.0 %
20	-	10	0.4 %
23	-	1	0.0 %
25	-	5	0.2 %
30	-	69	3.0 %
32	-	1	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
35	-	2	0.1 %
40	-	126	5.5 %
42	-	1	0.0 %
45	-	5	0.2 %
47	-	2	0.1 %
49	-	3	0.1 %
50	-	570	24.8 %
51	-	2	0.1 %
53	-	1	0.0 %
55	-	12	0.5 %
59	-	1	0.0 %
60	-	138	6.0 %
62	-	1	0.0 %
65	-	17	0.7 %
66	-	1	0.0 %
69	-	2	0.1 %
70	-	196	8.5 %
75	-	38	1.7 %
77	-	1	0.0 %
78	-	1	0.0 %
79	-	2	0.1 %
80	-	46	2.0 %
85	-	194	8.5 %
87	-	1	0.0 %
88	-	1	0.0 %
90	-	33	1.4 %
95	-	7	0.3 %
98	-	2	0.1 %
99	-	2	0.1 %
100	-	214	9.3 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	106	4.6 %
998	Don't Recognize	109	4.8 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,079 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 53.61
- Median: 50.00
- Mode: 50.00

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 100.00
- Standard Deviation: 29.65

Location: 766-768 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , 998

W1_N1H: How would you rate unionized workers?

How would you rate unionized workers?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	79	3.4 %
1	-	3	0.1 %
2	-	2	0.1 %
3	-	1	0.0 %
5	-	4	0.2 %
10	-	9	0.4 %
12	-	1	0.0 %
15	-	64	2.8 %
20	-	9	0.4 %
22	-	1	0.0 %
25	-	12	0.5 %
30	-	62	2.7 %
35	-	8	0.3 %
39	-	1	0.0 %
40	-	125	5.4 %
45	-	6	0.3 %
48	-	1	0.0 %
49	-	2	0.1 %
50	-	518	22.6 %
53	-	1	0.0 %
55	-	6	0.3 %
56	-	2	0.1 %
58	-	1	0.0 %
60	-	168	7.3 %
63	-	1	0.0 %
64	-	2	0.1 %
65	-	10	0.4 %
68	-	1	0.0 %
69	-	1	0.0 %
70	-	226	9.9 %
72	-	1	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
74	-	1	0.0 %
75	-	29	1.3 %
77	-	1	0.0 %
78	-	1	0.0 %
79	-	1	0.0 %
80	-	54	2.4 %
81	-	1	0.0 %
82	-	1	0.0 %
85	-	257	11.2 %
88	-	3	0.1 %
89	-	2	0.1 %
90	-	38	1.7 %
91	-	1	0.0 %
95	-	13	0.6 %
98	-	1	0.0 %
99	-	3	0.1 %
100	-	238	10.4 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	101	4.4 %
998	Don't Recognize	220	9.6 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,973 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 61.22
- Median: 60.00
- Mode: 50.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 100.00
- Standard Deviation: 25.87

Location: 769-771 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , 998

W1_N1I: How would you rate Congress?

How would you rate Congress?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	312	13.6 %
1	-	2	0.1 %
2	-	5	0.2 %
3	-	1	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
5	-	11	0.5 %
6	-	1	0.0 %
7	-	1	0.0 %
9	-	2	0.1 %
10	-	42	1.8 %
12	-	1	0.0 %
13	-	2	0.1 %
15	-	260	11.3 %
19	-	1	0.0 %
20	-	35	1.5 %
22	-	2	0.1 %
23	-	1	0.0 %
25	-	26	1.1 %
28	-	1	0.0 %
30	-	216	9.4 %
32	-	1	0.0 %
34	-	1	0.0 %
35	-	17	0.7 %
40	-	295	12.9 %
43	-	1	0.0 %
44	-	2	0.1 %
45	-	25	1.1 %
47	-	1	0.0 %
48	-	1	0.0 %
49	-	3	0.1 %
50	-	369	16.1 %
55	-	14	0.6 %
57	-	2	0.1 %
58	-	1	0.0 %
59	-	2	0.1 %
60	-	165	7.2 %
62	-	1	0.0 %
64	-	1	0.0 %
65	-	9	0.4 %
66	-	3	0.1 %
69	-	1	0.0 %
70	-	139	6.1 %
71	-	1	0.0 %
73	-	1	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
74	-	2	0.1 %
75	-	12	0.5 %
76	-	1	0.0 %
78	-	1	0.0 %
79	-	2	0.1 %
80	-	17	0.7 %
82	-	1	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	96	4.2 %
998	Don't Recognize	97	4.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 2,101 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 36.75
- Median: 40.00
- Mode: 50.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 100.00
- Standard Deviation: 24.55

Location: 772-774 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , 998

W1_N1J: How would you rate the wealthiest 1%?

How would you rate the wealthiest 1%?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	318	13.9 %
1	-	13	0.6 %
2	-	4	0.2 %
3	-	1	0.0 %
4	-	1	0.0 %
5	-	29	1.3 %
6	-	3	0.1 %
8	-	1	0.0 %
10	-	30	1.3 %
11	-	1	0.0 %
12	-	1	0.0 %
13	-	1	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
14	-	1	0.0 %
15	-	194	8.5 %
17	-	1	0.0 %
18	-	1	0.0 %
20	-	35	1.5 %
25	-	12	0.5 %
28	-	1	0.0 %
30	-	144	6.3 %
32	-	1	0.0 %
35	-	4	0.2 %
38	-	1	0.0 %
40	-	147	6.4 %
41	-	1	0.0 %
44	-	1	0.0 %
45	-	14	0.6 %
49	-	3	0.1 %
50	-	567	24.7 %
51	-	1	0.0 %
55	-	12	0.5 %
60	-	117	5.1 %
65	-	7	0.3 %
67	-	1	0.0 %
69	-	3	0.1 %
70	-	122	5.3 %
72	-	1	0.0 %
73	-	1	0.0 %
75	-	17	0.7 %
78	-	3	0.1 %
80	-	17	0.7 %
83	-	1	0.0 %
85	-	99	4.3 %
86	-	1	0.0 %
88	-	1	0.0 %
90	-	10	0.4 %
95	-	1	0.0 %
99	-	1	0.0 %
100	-	86	3.7 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	109	4.8 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
998	Don't Recognize	152	6.6 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 2,033 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 40.59
- Median: 50.00
- Mode: 50.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 100.00
- Standard Deviation: 27.94

Location: 775-777 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , 998

W1_N1K: How would you rate the unemployed?

How would you rate the unemployed?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	89	3.9 %
1	-	2	0.1 %
2	-	2	0.1 %
5	-	6	0.3 %
10	-	10	0.4 %
15	-	47	2.0 %
20	-	6	0.3 %
25	-	7	0.3 %
30	-	56	2.4 %
35	-	8	0.3 %
39	-	1	0.0 %
40	-	99	4.3 %
41	-	1	0.0 %
45	-	13	0.6 %
47	-	1	0.0 %
50	-	573	25.0 %
52	-	2	0.1 %
55	-	17	0.7 %
56	-	1	0.0 %
59	-	1	0.0 %
60	-	263	11.5 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
62	-	2	0.1 %
64	-	2	0.1 %
65	-	12	0.5 %
66	-	1	0.0 %
67	-	1	0.0 %
70	-	300	13.1 %
71	-	1	0.0 %
75	-	49	2.1 %
76	-	2	0.1 %
78	-	1	0.0 %
79	-	2	0.1 %
80	-	43	1.9 %
81	-	1	0.0 %
85	-	244	10.6 %
88	-	1	0.0 %
89	-	1	0.0 %
90	-	30	1.3 %
95	-	15	0.7 %
98	-	1	0.0 %
99	-	4	0.2 %
100	-	174	7.6 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	109	4.8 %
998	Don't Recognize	93	4.1 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,092 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 60.28
- Median: 60.00
- Mode: 50.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 100.00
- Standard Deviation: 23.89

Location: 778-780 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , 998

W1_N1L: How would you rate unmarried mothers?

How would you rate unmarried mothers?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	36	1.6 %
1	-	1	0.0 %
2	-	1	0.0 %
3	-	2	0.1 %
5	-	3	0.1 %
7	-	1	0.0 %
8	-	1	0.0 %
10	-	6	0.3 %
15	-	59	2.6 %
16	-	1	0.0 %
20	-	10	0.4 %
23	-	1	0.0 %
25	-	7	0.3 %
30	-	61	2.7 %
32	-	1	0.0 %
33	-	3	0.1 %
35	-	4	0.2 %
37	-	2	0.1 %
40	-	140	6.1 %
45	-	10	0.4 %
46	-	1	0.0 %
48	-	1	0.0 %
49	-	3	0.1 %
50	-	558	24.3 %
51	-	1	0.0 %
55	-	10	0.4 %
59	-	1	0.0 %
60	-	203	8.8 %
61	-	1	0.0 %
65	-	11	0.5 %
67	-	1	0.0 %
70	-	280	12.2 %
73	-	1	0.0 %
75	-	42	1.8 %
76	-	1	0.0 %
78	-	2	0.1 %
79	-	2	0.1 %
80	-	56	2.4 %
85	-	258	11.2 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
87	-	2	0.1 %
88	-	4	0.2 %
89	-	1	0.0 %
90	-	39	1.7 %
91	-	2	0.1 %
95	-	12	0.5 %
98	-	2	0.1 %
99	-	4	0.2 %
100	-	266	11.6 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	95	4.1 %
998	Don't Recognize	84	3.7 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,115 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 63.22
- Median: 60.00
- Mode: 50.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 100.00
- Standard Deviation: 23.72

Location: 781-783 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , 998

W1_N1M: How would you rate undocumented immigrants?

How would you rate undocumented immigrants?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	444	19.4 %
1	-	5	0.2 %
2	-	2	0.1 %
3	-	1	0.0 %
5	-	9	0.4 %
6	-	1	0.0 %
7	-	2	0.1 %
10	-	27	1.2 %
12	-	1	0.0 %
14	-	1	0.0 %
15	-	216	9.4 %
16	-	1	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
17	-	2	0.1 %
20	-	13	0.6 %
22	-	1	0.0 %
25	-	11	0.5 %
30	-	160	7.0 %
35	-	7	0.3 %
40	-	254	11.1 %
44	-	1	0.0 %
45	-	17	0.7 %
47	-	1	0.0 %
48	-	3	0.1 %
49	-	3	0.1 %
50	-	390	17.0 %
51	-	4	0.2 %
55	-	14	0.6 %
56	-	1	0.0 %
60	-	144	6.3 %
65	-	8	0.3 %
66	-	2	0.1 %
70	-	126	5.5 %
72	-	1	0.0 %
74	-	1	0.0 %
75	-	26	1.1 %
76	-	1	0.0 %
77	-	1	0.0 %
80	-	23	1.0 %
85	-	68	3.0 %
90	-	7	0.3 %
95	-	3	0.1 %
99	-	1	0.0 %
100	-	56	2.4 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	105	4.6 %
998	Don't Recognize	129	5.6 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,060 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 36.75
- Median: 40.00

- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 100.00
- Standard Deviation: 27.57

Location: 784-786 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , 998

W1_N1N: How would you rate public school teachers?

How would you rate public school teachers?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	23	1.0 %
3	-	1	0.0 %
4	-	1	0.0 %
5	-	3	0.1 %
6	-	1	0.0 %
7	-	1	0.0 %
10	-	5	0.2 %
15	-	28	1.2 %
20	-	1	0.0 %
23	-	1	0.0 %
25	-	5	0.2 %
26	-	1	0.0 %
30	-	32	1.4 %
32	-	1	0.0 %
33	-	1	0.0 %
35	-	3	0.1 %
37	-	2	0.1 %
40	-	68	3.0 %
42	-	1	0.0 %
44	-	2	0.1 %
45	-	4	0.2 %
48	-	1	0.0 %
49	-	1	0.0 %
50	-	209	9.1 %
51	-	2	0.1 %
52	-	1	0.0 %
55	-	11	0.5 %
60	-	219	9.5 %
65	-	11	0.5 %
67	-	1	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
68	-	2	0.1 %
70	-	343	15.0 %
72	-	1	0.0 %
75	-	44	1.9 %
76	-	1	0.0 %
77	-	3	0.1 %
78	-	4	0.2 %
79	-	3	0.1 %
80	-	86	3.7 %
82	-	1	0.0 %
85	-	463	20.2 %
86	-	3	0.1 %
87	-	1	0.0 %
88	-	2	0.1 %
89	-	8	0.3 %
90	-	91	4.0 %
94	-	1	0.0 %
95	-	27	1.2 %
96	-	2	0.1 %
97	-	1	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	89	3.9 %
998	Don't Recognize	61	2.7 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 2,144 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 74.07
- Median: 80.00
- Mode: 85.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 100.00
- Standard Deviation: 21.72

Location: 787-789 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , 998

W1_O1: [Black people should teach their children to fight against racial discrimination as much as possible] How much emphasis or de-emphasis should Black people place on each statement in the education of their children?

[Black people should teach their children to fight against racial discrimination as much as possible] How much emphasis or de-emphasis should Black people place on each statement in the education of their children?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Strong de-emphasis	98	4.3 %
2	Some de-emphasis	94	4.1 %
3	No emphasis nor de-emphasis	564	24.6 %
4	Some emphasis	585	25.5 %
5	Strong emphasis	845	36.8 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	108	4.7 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,186 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 790-791 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

W1_O3: [Black people should teach their children not think that all Whites are racist] How much emphasis or de-emphasis should Black people place on each statement in the education of their children?

[Black people should teach their children not think that all Whites are racist] How much emphasis or de-emphasis should Black people place on each statement in the education of their children?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Strong de-emphasis	119	5.2 %
2	Some de-emphasis	69	3.0 %
3	No emphasis nor de-emphasis	416	18.1 %
4	Some emphasis	522	22.8 %
5	Strong emphasis	1061	46.3 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	107	4.7 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,187 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 792-793 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

W1_O4: [Black people should teach their children to avoid behaviors that are characteristic of Black stereotypes] How much emphasis or de-emphasis should Black people place on each statement in the education of their children?

[Black people should teach their children to avoid behaviors that are characteristic of Black stereotypes] How much emphasis or de-emphasis should Black people place on each statement in the education of their children?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Strong de-emphasis	109	4.8 %
2	Some de-emphasis	115	5.0 %
3	No emphasis nor de-emphasis	728	31.7 %
4	Some emphasis	586	25.5 %
5	Strong emphasis	641	27.9 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	115	5.0 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,179 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 794-795 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

W1_O5: [Black people should teach their children to be careful around the police] How much emphasis or de-emphasis should Black people place on each statement in the education of their children?

[Black people should teach their children to be careful around the police] How much emphasis or de-emphasis should Black people place on each statement in the education of their children?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Strong de-emphasis	178	7.8 %
2	Some de-emphasis	181	7.9 %
3	No emphasis nor de-emphasis	793	34.6 %
4	Some emphasis	554	24.1 %
5	Strong emphasis	476	20.7 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	112	4.9 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,182 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 796-797 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

W1_P2: People talk about social classes such as the poor, the working class, the middle class, the upper-middle class, and the upper class. Which of these classes would you say you belong to?

People talk about social classes such as the poor, the working class, the middle class, the upper-middle class, and the upper class. Which of these classes would you say you belong to?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Poor	258	11.2 %
2	Working class	755	32.9 %
3	Middle class	955	41.6 %
4	Upper-middle class	214	9.3 %
5	Upper class	25	1.1 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	87	3.8 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,207 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 798-799 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

W1_P3: Which of these classes would you say most memebers of your family belong to?

Which of these classes would you say most memebers of your family belong to?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Poor	154	6.7 %
2	Working class	906	39.5 %
3	Middle class	953	41.5 %
4	Upper-middle class	172	7.5 %
5	Upper class	18	0.8 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	91	4.0 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,203 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 800-801 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

W1_P4: Do you consider yourself to be...

Do you consider yourself to be...

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Heterosexual or straight	2142	93.4 %
2	Gay	40	1.7 %
3	Lesbian	17	0.7 %
4	Bisexual	46	2.0 %
5	Other	26	1.1 %
6	Refused	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	23	1.0 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,271 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 802-803 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

W1_P4_OTHER_TEXT: [Other] Do you consider yourself to be...

[Other] Do you consider yourself to be...

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	-	2292	99.9 %
Real Human	-	1	0.0 %
myself	-	1	0.0 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,294 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

Location: 804-813 (width: 10; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: character

W1_P5: Do you have any friends or relatives who you know to be gay, lesbian, bisexual, or transgender?

Do you have any friends or relatives who you know to be gay, lesbian, bisexual, or transgender?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	1489	64.9 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
2	No	788	34.4 %
3	Refused	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	17	0.7 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,277 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 814-815 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

W1_P5A: How long have you been living here in your current community?

How long have you been living here in your current community?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Less than one year	92	4.0 %
2	One to three years	298	13.0 %
3	Four to ten years	504	22.0 %
4	More than ten years but not entire life	980	42.7 %
5	Entire life	364	15.9 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	56	2.4 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,238 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 816-817 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

W1_P6: Is anyone in your household on active duty in the U.S. Armed Forces, Military Reserves, or National Guard?

Is anyone in your household on active duty in the U.S. Armed Forces, Military Reserves, or National Guard?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes, on active duty	115	5.0 %
2	No, but on active duty in the past	571	24.9 %
3	No, trained for the Reserves or National Guard, but never activated	72	3.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
4	No, no one in the household has ever served in the military	1462	63.7 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	74	3.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,220 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 818-819 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

W1_P8: Does anyone in your household currently belong to a union?

Does anyone in your household currently belong to a union?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	378	16.5 %
2	No	1869	81.5 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	47	2.0 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,247 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 820-821 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

W1_P9: Has anyone in your household ever been arrested for a crime?

Has anyone in your household ever been arrested for a crime?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	399	17.4 %
2	No	1822	79.4 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	73	3.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,221 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 822-823 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

W1_P10: Do you have any friends or relatives having a criminal conviction?

Do you have any friends or relatives having a criminal conviction?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	1013	44.2 %
2	No	1200	52.3 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	81	3.5 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,213 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 824-825 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

W1_P11: Is anyone in your household currently unemployed?

Is anyone in your household currently unemployed?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	867	37.8 %
2	No	1365	59.5 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	62	2.7 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,232 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 826-827 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

W1_P13: Are you a citizen of the United States?

Are you a citizen of the United States?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	2240	97.6 %
2	No	47	2.0 %
3	Refused	7	0.3 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,294 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 828-828 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

W1_P13A: Were you born a United States citizen or are you a naturalized U.S. citizen?

Were you born a United States citizen or are you a naturalized U.S. citizen?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Born a U.S. citizen	2107	91.8 %
2	Naturalized U.S. citizen	96	4.2 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	37	1.6 %
.	-	54	2.4 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,203 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 829-830 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

W1_P13B: In what year did you become a U.S. citizen? Please enter the full year when you became a citizen, for example 1962 or 2000.

In what year did you become a U.S. citizen? Please enter the full year when you became a citizen, for example 1962 or 2000.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Before 1960	5	0.2 %
2	1960 to 1969	7	0.3 %
3	1970 to 1979	12	0.5 %
4	1980 to 1989	8	0.3 %
5	1990 to 1999	23	1.0 %
6	2000 to 2009	27	1.2 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
7	2010 or later	5	0.2 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	9	0.4 %
.	-	2198	95.8 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 87 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 831-832 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

W1_P13B_TEXT: Codes

Codes

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	9	0.4 %
.	-	2285	99.6 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

Location: 833-834 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

W1_P14: Do you presently have any kind of health insurance?

Do you presently have any kind of health insurance?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	1851	80.7 %
2	No	393	17.1 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	50	2.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,244 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 835-836 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

W1_P15: Do you personally, or jointly with a spouse, have any money invested in the stock market right now either in an individual stock or in a mutual fund?

Do you personally, or jointly with a spouse, have any money invested in the stock market right now either in an individual stock or in a mutual fund?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	915	39.9 %
2	No	1300	56.7 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	79	3.4 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,215 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 2.00

Location: 837-838 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

W1_P16A: [Social security] Check any box to designate other sources of income you or anyone in your household may have received in 2011.

[Social security] Check any box to designate other sources of income you or anyone in your household may have received in 2011.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1618	70.5 %
1	Yes	676	29.5 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,294 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

• Minimum: 0.00
• Maximum: 1.00

Location: 839-839 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

W1_P16B: [Worker's Compensation] Check any box to designate other sources of income you or anyone in your household may have received in 2011.

[Worker's Compensation] Check any box to designate other sources of income you or anyone in your household may have received in 2011.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	2270	99.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	24	1.0 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,294 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 840-840 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

W1_P16C: [Unemployment compensation] Check any box to designate other sources of income you or anyone in your household may have received in 2011.

[Unemployment compensation] Check any box to designate other sources of income you or anyone in your household may have received in 2011.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	2109	91.9 %
1	Yes	185	8.1 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,294 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 841-841 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

W1_P16D: [TANF or Welfare] Check any box to designate other sources of income you or anyone in your household may have received in 2011.

[TANF or Welfare] Check any box to designate other sources of income you or anyone in your household may have received in 2011.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	2242	97.7 %
1	Yes	52	2.3 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,294 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 842-842 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

W1_P16E: [Food Stamps] Check any box to designate from other sources of income you or anyone in your household may have received in 2011.

[Food Stamps] Check any box to designate from other sources of income you or anyone in your household may have received in 2011.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1941	84.6 %
1	Yes	353	15.4 %
	Total	2,294	100%

Based upon 2,294 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 843-843 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

W1_P16F: [Free or Reduced School Lunch and/or Breakfast] Check any box to designate from other sources of income you or anyone in your household may have received in 2011.

[Free or Reduced School Lunch and/or Breakfast] Check any box to designate from other sources of income you or anyone in your household may have received in 2011.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	2147	93.6 %
1	Yes	147	6.4 %
	Total	2,294	100%

Based upon 2,294 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 844-844 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

W1_P16G: [Supplemental Security Income (SSI)] Check any box to designate from other sources of income you or anyone in your household may have received in 2011.

[Supplemental Security Income (SSI)] Check any box to designate from other sources of income you or anyone in your household may have received in 2011.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	2171	94.6 %
1	Yes	123	5.4 %
	Total	2,294	100%

Based upon 2,294 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 845-845 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

W1_P16H: [Energy Assistance] Check any box to designate from other sources of income you or anyone in your household may have received in 2011.

[Energy Assistance] Check any box to designate from other sources of income you or anyone in your household may have received in 2011.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	2174	94.8 %
1	Yes	120	5.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,294 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 846-846 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

W1_P16I: [Poor Housing Assistance] Check any box to designate from other sources of income you or anyone in your household may have received in 2011.

[Poor Housing Assistance] Check any box to designate from other sources of income you or anyone in your household may have received in 2011.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	2249	98.0 %
1	Yes	45	2.0 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,294 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 847-847 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

W1_P16J: [Disability Payments] Check any box to designate from other sources of income you or anyone in your household may have received in 2011.

[Disability Payments] Check any box to designate from other sources of income you or anyone in your household may have received in 2011.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	2147	93.6 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	147	6.4 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,294 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 848-848 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

W1_P16K: [Veterans Payments] Check any box to designate from other sources of income you or anyone in your household may have received in 2011

[Veterans Payments] Check any box to designate from other sources of income you or anyone in your household may have received in 2011.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	2198	95.8 %
1	Yes	96	4.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,294 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 849-849 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

W1_P16L: [Survivor Payments] Check any box to designate from other sources of income you or anyone in your household may have received in 2011.

[Survivor Payments] Check any box to designate from other sources of income you or anyone in your household may have received in 2011.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	2264	98.7 %
1	Yes	30	1.3 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,294 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 850-850 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

W1_P16M: [No one in my household received income from any of these sources] Check any box to designate from other sources of income you or anyone in your household may have received in 2011.

[No one in my household received income from any of these sources] Check any box to designate from other sources of income you or anyone in your household may have received in 2011.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1306	56.9 %
1	Yes	988	43.1 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,294 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 851-851 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

W1_P16_REFUSED: [Refused] Check any box to designate from other sources of income you or anyone in your household may have received in 2011.

[Refused] Check any box to designate from other sources of income you or anyone in your household may have received in 2011.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	2176	94.9 %
1	Yes	118	5.1 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,294 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 852-852 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

W1_P17: Do you have any biological or adopted children?

Do you have any biological or adopted children?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	1304	56.8 %
2	No	950	41.4 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	40	1.7 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,254 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 2.00

Location: 853-854 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

W1_P17A: How many biological or adopted children do you have?

How many biological or adopted children do you have?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	One	306	13.3 %
2	Two	481	21.0 %
3	Three	267	11.6 %
4	Four	138	6.0 %
5	Five	51	2.2 %
6	Six	25	1.1 %
7	Seven	11	0.5 %
8	Eight	5	0.2 %
9	Nine	1	0.0 %
10	Ten or More	10	0.4 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	9	0.4 %
.	-	990	43.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,295 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 10.00

Location: 855-856 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

W1_P18: Who provides 60% or more of the financial support for your children?

Who provides 60% or more of the financial support for your children?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	You	752	32.8 %
2	Your children's biological/adoptive father/mother	210	9.2 %
3	Your legal spouse (not the children's biological parent)	26	1.1 %
4	A relative	13	0.6 %
5	State provided support	24	1.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	279	12.2 %
.	-	990	43.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,025 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 857-858 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

W1_P19: Which of the following best describes where your minor children (under 18) live?

Which of the following best describes where your minor children (under 18) live?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	The children live with both biological/adoptive parents	414	18.0 %
2	The children live equally with me and their other biological/adoptive parent	74	3.2 %
3	The children live with me and a non-biological/adoptive parent	35	1.5 %
4	The children live only with me	206	9.0 %
5	The children live with relatives	36	1.6 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	539	23.5 %
.	-	990	43.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 765 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 859-860 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

W1_P20: Which of the following income groups includes YOUR personal annual income (Do not include the income of other members of your household)?

Which of the following income groups includes YOUR personal annual income (Do not include the income of other members of your household)?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Less than $5,000	261	11.4 %
2	$5,000 to $7,499	72	3.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
3	$7,500 to $9,999	58	2.5 %
4	$10,000 to $12,499	107	4.7 %
5	$12,500 to $14,999	87	3.8 %
6	$15,000 to $19,999	91	4.0 %
7	$20,000 to $24,999	149	6.5 %
8	$25,000 to $29,999	127	5.5 %
9	$30,000 to $34,999	118	5.1 %
10	$35,000 to $39,999	107	4.7 %
11	$40,000 to $49,999	174	7.6 %
12	$50,000 to $59,999	166	7.2 %
13	$60,000 to $74,999	185	8.1 %
14	$75,000 to $84,999	86	3.7 %
15	$85,000 to $99,999	81	3.5 %
16	$100,000 to $124,999	114	5.0 %
17	$125,000 to $149,999	38	1.7 %
18	$150,000 to $174,999	44	1.9 %
19	$175,000 or more	27	1.2 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	202	8.8 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,092 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 19.00

Location: 861-862 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

W1_P21: Does your household subscribe to a digital cable television service?

Does your household subscribe to a digital cable television service?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	1420	61.9 %
2	No	848	37.0 %
3	Not applicable	26	1.1 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,294 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

W1_ORDER_Q1: Forward or backward order of Q1

Forward or backward order of Q1

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1-4	1160	50.6 %
2	4-1	1134	49.4 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,294 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

W1_Q1_A: Would people in your neighborhood find taking a survey using a computer connected to Internet difficult or easy?

Would people in your neighborhood find taking a survey using a computer connected to Internet difficult or easy?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very difficult	41	1.8 %
2	Difficult	210	9.2 %
3	Easy	1236	53.9 %
4	Very easy	712	31.0 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	95	4.1 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,199 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

W1_Q1_B: Did you find taking this survey using a computer connected to the Internet difficult or easy?

Did you find taking this survey using a computer connected to the Internet difficult or easy?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very difficult	23	1.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
2	Difficult	89	3.9 %
3	Easy	1018	44.4 %
4	Very easy	1107	48.3 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	57	2.5 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,237 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 4.00

Location: 867-868 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1

W1_QA1: Would you say that you have been much more interested, somewhat more interested, or not much interested in following political campains which involve an African American candidate?

Would you say that you have been much more interested, somewhat more interested, or not much interested in following political campains which involve an African American candidate?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Much more interested	350	15.3 %
2	A little more interested	262	11.4 %
3	Equally interested	449	19.6 %
4	Somewhat interested	70	3.1 %
5	Not much interested	100	4.4 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	47	2.0 %
.	-	1016	44.3 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,231 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 5.00

Location: 869-870 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

W1_QA2: Do you think what happens generally to Black people in this country will have something to do with what happens in your life?

Do you think what happens generally to Black people in this country will have something to do with what happens in your life?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	747	32.6 %
2	No	472	20.6 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	59	2.6 %
.	-	1016	44.3 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,219 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 871-872 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

W1_QA2A: Will it affect you a lot, some, or not very much?

Will it affect you a lot, some, or not very much?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	A lot	229	10.0 %
2	Some	389	17.0 %
3	Not very much	74	3.2 %
4	Don't Know	52	2.3 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	3	0.1 %
.	-	1547	67.4 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 744 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 873-874 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

W1_QA4A: [Blacks elected to office really don't have the power to change things for Blacks in this country.] Indicate whether you agree strongly, agree somewhat, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with the statement.

[Blacks elected to office really don't have the power to change things for Blacks in this country.] Indicate whether you agree strongly, agree somewhat, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with the statement.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Agree strongly	150	6.5 %
2	Agree somewhat	433	18.9 %
3	Disagree somewhat	410	17.9 %
4	Disagree strongly	213	9.3 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	72	3.1 %
.	-	1016	44.3 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,206 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 875-876 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

W1_QA4B: [Blacks should always vote for Black candidates when they run.] Indicate whether you agree strongly, agree somewhat, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with the statement.

[Blacks should always vote for Black candidates when they run.] Indicate whether you agree strongly, agree somewhat, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with the statement.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Agree strongly	63	2.7 %
2	Agree somewhat	147	6.4 %
3	Disagree somewhat	459	20.0 %
4	Disagree strongly	536	23.4 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	73	3.2 %
.	-	1016	44.3 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,205 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 877-878 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

W1_QA4C: [Black people should shop in Black-owned stores whenever possible.] Indicate whether you agree strongly, agree somewhat, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with the statement.

[Black people should shop in Black-owned stores whenever possible.] Indicate whether you agree strongly, agree somewhat, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with the statement.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Agree strongly	217	9.5 %
2	Agree somewhat	455	19.8 %
3	Disagree somewhat	292	12.7 %
4	Disagree strongly	244	10.6 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	70	3.1 %
.	-	1016	44.3 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,208 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 879-880 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

W1_QA5D: [Blacks should not have anything to do with Whites if they can help it.] Indicate whether you agree strongly, agree somewhat, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with the statement.

[Blacks should not have anything to do with Whites if they can help it.] Indicate whether you agree strongly, agree somewhat, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with the statement.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Agree strongly	17	0.7 %
2	Agree somewhat	64	2.8 %
3	Disagree somewhat	181	7.9 %
4	Disagree strongly	945	41.2 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	71	3.1 %
.	-	1016	44.3 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,207 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 881-882 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

W1_QA6: Some people feel that the 2008 election of Barack Obama signaled a significant shift in U.S. race relations that showed Blacks now enjoy racial equality. To what extent do you agree or disagree with that perception?

Some people feel that the 2008 election of Barack Obama signaled a significant shift in U.S. race relations that showed blacks now enjoy racial equality. To what extent do you agree or disagree with that perception?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Agree strongly	136	5.9 %
2	Agree somewhat	397	17.3 %
3	Disagree somewhat	373	16.3 %
4	Disagree strongly	301	13.1 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	71	3.1 %
.	-	1016	44.3 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,207 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 883-884 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

W1_QB1: Some people say that over the last 20 years or so, there has been a lot of progress in getting rid of racial discrimination.Others say there hasn't been much real change for most Blacks over that time. Which do you agree with most?

Some people say that over the last 20 years or so, there has been a lot of progress in getting rid of racial discrimination. Others say there hasn?t been much real change for most Blacks over that time. Which do you agree with most?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	A lot of progress	511	22.3 %
2	Not much real change	696	30.3 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	71	3.1 %
.	-	1016	44.3 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,207 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 885-886 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

W1_QB2: Do you think that generally what happens in this country to Black men will have something to do with what happens in your life?

Do you think that generally what happens in this country to Black men will have something to do with what happens in your life?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	682	29.7 %
2	No	528	23.0 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	68	3.0 %
.	-	1016	44.3 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,210 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 887-888 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

W1_QB2A: Will it affect you a lot, some, or not very much?

Will it affect you a lot, some, or not very much?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	A lot	207	9.0 %
2	Some	418	18.2 %
3	Not very much	54	2.4 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	3	0.1 %
.	-	1612	70.3 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 679 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 889-890 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

W1_QB3: What about Black women? Do you think that generally what happens in this country to Black women will have something to do with what happens in your life?

What about Black women? Do you think that generally what happens in this country to Black women will have something to do with what happens in your life?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	735	32.0 %
2	No	480	20.9 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	63	2.7 %
.	-	1016	44.3 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,215 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 891-892 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

W1_QB3A: Will it affect you a lot, some, or not very much?

Will it affect you a lot, some, or not very much?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	A lot	270	11.8 %
2	Some	407	17.7 %
3	Not very much	56	2.4 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	2	0.1 %
.	-	1559	68.0 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 733 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 893-894 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

W1_ORDER_M13: Forward or backward order of B4

Forward or backward order of B4

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1-4	1137	49.6 %
2	4-1	1157	50.4 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,294 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 2.00

Location: 895-895 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

W1_M13_A: [God is removed from worldly affairs.] For believers and non-believers,based on your personal understanding, what do you think God is like

[God is removed from worldly affairs.] For believers and non-believers, based on your personal understanding, what do you think God is like?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Strongly agree	211	9.2 %
2	Somewhat agree	218	9.5 %
3	Somewhat disagree	294	12.8 %
4	Strongly disagree	444	19.4 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	111	4.8 %
.	-	1016	44.3 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,167 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 4.00

Location: 896-897 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

W1_M13_B: [God is angered by human sin.]For believers and non-believers,based on your personal understanding,what do you think God is like

[God?s plan is to make the United States successful.] For believers and non-believers, based on your personal understanding, what do you think God is like?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Strongly agree	300	13.1 %
2	Somewhat agree	331	14.4 %
3	Somewhat disagree	249	10.9 %
4	Strongly disagree	266	11.6 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	132	5.8 %
.	-	1016	44.3 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,146 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 898-899 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

W1_M13_C: [God is angered by human sin.] For believers and non-believers, based on your personal understanding, what do you think God is like

[God is angered by human sin.] For believers and non-believers, based on your personal understanding, what do you think God is like?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Strongly agree	562	24.5 %
2	Somewhat agree	296	12.9 %
3	Somewhat disagree	149	6.5 %
4	Strongly disagree	163	7.1 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	108	4.7 %
.	-	1016	44.3 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,170 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 900-901 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

W1_M13_D: [God has a plan and directs human life.]For believers and non-believers,based on your personal understanding,what do you think God is like

[God has a plan and directs human life.] For believers and non-believers, based on your personal understanding, what do you think God is like?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Strongly agree	690	30.1 %
2	Somewhat agree	275	12.0 %
3	Somewhat disagree	96	4.2 %
4	Strongly disagree	109	4.8 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	108	4.7 %
.	-	1016	44.3 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,170 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 902-903 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

W2_QB1A: Which one of the following best describes what you did in the elections that were held November 6th?

Which one of the following best describes what you did in the elections that were held November 6th?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Definitely did not vote in the elections	246	10.7 %
2	Definitely voted in person at a polling place on Election Day	749	32.7 %
3	Definitely voted in person at a polling place before Election Day	305	13.3 %
4	Definitely voted by mailing a ballot to elections officials before the election	243	10.6 %
5	Definitely voted in some other way	30	1.3 %
6	Not completely sure whether you voted or not	19	0.8 %
	Missing Data		
-1	REFUSED	9	0.4 %
.	-	693	30.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,592 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 6.00

Location: 904-905 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , -1 , .

W2_QB1B: If you had to guess, would you say that you probably did vote in the elections, or probably did not vote in the elections?

If you had to guess, would you say that you probably did vote in the elections, or probably did not vote in the elections?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Probably did vote in the elections	4	0.2 %
2	Probably did not vote in the elections	13	0.6 %
	Missing Data		
-1	REFUSED	2	0.1 %
.	-	2275	99.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 17 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 906-907 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , -1 , .

W2_QB1C: How about the election for President? Did you vote for a candidate for President?

How about the election for President? Did you vote for a candidate for President?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	3	0.1 %
2	No	1	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	2290	99.8 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 4 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 908-909 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , -1 , .

W2_QB1D: Who did you vote for?

Who did you vote for?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Democrat	1	0.0 %
2	Republican	1	0.0 %
3	Other; specify	1	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	2291	99.9 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 3 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 910-911 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , -1 , .

W2_QB1D_TEXT: [Text] Who did you vote for?

[Text] Who did you vote for?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	-	2293	100.0 %
dk	-	1	0.0 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,294 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

Location: 912-913 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: character

W2_QB2A: How about the elections for other state and local offices, did you vote a straight ticket or did you vote for candidates from different parties?

How about the elections for other state and local offices, did you vote a straight ticket or did you vote for candidates from different parties?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Straight ticket - Democratic	676	29.5 %
2	Split ticket - mostly Democratic	292	12.7 %
3	Split ticket - about half and half, NA how split	182	7.9 %
4	Split ticket - mostly Republican	164	7.1 %
5	Straight ticket - Republican	161	7.0 %
	Missing Data		
-1	REFUSED	126	5.5 %
.	-	693	30.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,475 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 5.00

Location: 914-915 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , -1 , .

W2_QB2B: Did you vote in person or by mail?

Did you vote in person or by mail?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	In person	2	0.1 %
2	Mail ballot	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-1	REFUSED	1	0.0 %
.	-	2291	99.9 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 916-917 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , -1 , .

W2_QB3: How often would you say you vote?

How often would you say you vote?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Always	851	37.1 %
2	Nearly Always	418	18.2 %
3	Part Of The Time	89	3.9 %
4	Seldom	200	8.7 %
	Missing Data		
-1	REFUSED	43	1.9 %
.	-	693	30.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,558 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 918-919 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , -1 , .

W2_QE1: When you think about your future, are you generally optimistic, pessimistic, or neither optimistic nor pessimistic?

When you think about your future, are you generally optimistic, pessimistic, or neither optimistic nor pessimistic?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Optimistic	880	38.4 %
2	Pessimistic	230	10.0 %
3	Neither	460	20.1 %
	Missing Data		
-1	REFUSED	31	1.4 %
.	-	693	30.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,570 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 920-921 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , -1 , .

W2_QE1A: Are you extremely [optimistic/pessimistic], moderately [optimistic/pessimistic], or slightly [optimistic/pessimistic]?

Are you extremely [optimistic/pessimistic], moderately [optimistic/pessimistic], or slightly [optimistic/pessimistic]?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Extremely	329	14.3 %
2	Moderately	655	28.6 %
3	Slightly	125	5.4 %
	Missing Data		
-1	REFUSED	1	0.0 %
.	-	1184	51.6 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,109 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 922-923 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , -1 , .

W2_QE2: And when you think about the future of the United States as a whole, are you generally optimistic, pessimistic, or neither optimistic nor pessimistic?

And when you think about the future of the United States as a whole, are you generally optimistic, pessimistic, or neither optimistic nor pessimistic?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Optimistic	739	32.2 %
2	Pessimistic	391	17.0 %
3	Neither	445	19.4 %
	Missing Data		
-1	REFUSED	26	1.1 %
.	-	693	30.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,575 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 924-925 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , -1 , .

W2_QE2A: Are you extremely [optimistic/pessimistic], moderately [optimistic/pessimistic], or slightly [optimistic/pessimistic]?

Are you extremely [optimistic/pessimistic], moderately [optimistic/pessimistic], or slightly [optimistic/pessimistic]?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Extremely	339	14.8 %
2	Moderately	611	26.6 %
3	Slightly	174	7.6 %
	Missing Data		
-1	REFUSED	6	0.3 %
.	-	1164	50.7 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,124 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 926-927 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , -1 , .

W2_QE3: How far along the road to your American Dream do you think you will ultimately get on a 10-point scale where 1 is not far at all and 10 nearly there?

How far along the road to your American Dream do you think you will ultimately get on a 10-point scale where 1 is not far at all and 10 nearly there?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Not far at all in achieving the American Dream	91	4.0 %
2	-	59	2.6 %
3	-	82	3.6 %
4	-	113	4.9 %
5	-	278	12.1 %
6	-	199	8.7 %
7	-	255	11.1 %
8	-	220	9.6 %
9	-	85	3.7 %
10	Nearly there in achieving the American Dream	183	8.0 %
	Missing Data		

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	36	1.6 %
.	-	693	30.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,565 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 6.15
- Median: 6.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 10.00
- Standard Deviation: 2.46

Location: 928-929 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

W2_QF1A: Where would you rate WHITE WOMEN in general on this scale?

Where would you rate WHITE WOMEN in general on this scale?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Disloyal to their racial group	12	0.5 %
2	-	21	0.9 %
3	-	57	2.5 %
4	Not close to one end or the other	494	21.5 %
5	-	354	15.4 %
6	-	366	16.0 %
7	Loyal to their racial group	240	10.5 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	57	2.5 %
.	-	693	30.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,544 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 5.08
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.25

Location: 930-931 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

W2_QF1B: Where would you rate BLACK WOMEN in general on this scale?

Where would you rate BLACK WOMEN in general on this scale?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Disloyal to their racial group	11	0.5 %
2	-	11	0.5 %
3	-	48	2.1 %
4	Not close to one end or the other	386	16.8 %
5	-	279	12.2 %
6	-	451	19.7 %
7	Loyal to their racial group	362	15.8 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	53	2.3 %
.	-	693	30.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,548 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 5.40
- Median: 6.00
- Mode: 6.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.27

Location: 932-933 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

W2_QF1C: Where would you rate WHITE MEN in general on this scale?

Where would you rate WHITE MEN in general on this scale?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Disloyal to their racial group	14	0.6 %
2	-	13	0.6 %
3	-	39	1.7 %
4	Not close to one end or the other	415	18.1 %
5	-	316	13.8 %
6	-	376	16.4 %
7	Loyal to their racial group	372	16.2 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	56	2.4 %
.	-	693	30.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,545 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 5.34
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.30

Location: 934-935 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

W2_QF1D: Where would you rate BLACK MEN in general on this scale?

Where would you rate BLACK MEN in general on this scale?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Disloyal to their racial group	17	0.7 %
2	-	33	1.4 %
3	-	71	3.1 %
4	Not close to one end or the other	417	18.2 %
5	-	316	13.8 %
6	-	379	16.5 %
7	Loyal to their racial group	310	13.5 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	58	2.5 %
.	-	693	30.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,543 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 5.18
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.36

Location: 936-937 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

W2_QF2A: Where would you rate WHITE WOMEN in general on this scale?

Where would you rate WHITE WOMEN in general on this scale?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Oversexed as a group	79	3.4 %
2	-	93	4.1 %
3	-	166	7.2 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
4	Not close to one end or the other	897	39.1 %
5	-	184	8.0 %
6	-	89	3.9 %
7	Undersexed as a group	33	1.4 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	60	2.6 %
.	-	693	30.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,541 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 3.92
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.16

Location: 938-939 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

W2_QF2B: Where would you rate BLACK WOMEN in general on this scale?

Where would you rate BLACK WOMEN in general on this scale?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Oversexed as a group	73	3.2 %
2	-	84	3.7 %
3	-	188	8.2 %
4	Not close to one end or the other	902	39.3 %
5	-	164	7.1 %
6	-	91	4.0 %
7	Undersexed as a group	38	1.7 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	61	2.7 %
.	-	693	30.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,540 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 3.93
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

- Standard Deviation: 1.15

Location: 940-941 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

W2_QF2C: Where would you rate WHITE MEN in general on this scale?

Where would you rate WHITE MEN in general on this scale?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Oversexed as a group	97	4.2 %
2	-	121	5.3 %
3	-	195	8.5 %
4	Not close to one end or the other	840	36.6 %
5	-	167	7.3 %
6	-	79	3.4 %
7	Undersexed as a group	42	1.8 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	60	2.6 %
.	-	693	30.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,541 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 3.82
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.24

Location: 942-943 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

W2_QF2D: Where would you rate BLACK MEN in general on this scale?

Where would you rate BLACK MEN in general on this scale?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Oversexed as a group	152	6.6 %
2	-	164	7.1 %
3	-	236	10.3 %
4	Not close to one end or the other	752	32.8 %
5	-	117	5.1 %
6	-	82	3.6 %
7	Undersexed as a group	37	1.6 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	61	2.7 %
.	-	693	30.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,540 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 3.59
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.34

Location: 944-945 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

W2_QF3A: Where would you rate WHITE WOMEN in general on this scale?

Where would you rate WHITE WOMEN in general on this scale?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Like men more than women	119	5.2 %
2	-	181	7.9 %
3	-	156	6.8 %
4	Not close to one end or the other	705	30.7 %
5	-	214	9.3 %
6	-	118	5.1 %
7	Like women more than men	45	2.0 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	63	2.7 %
.	-	693	30.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,538 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 3.81
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.39

Location: 946-947 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

W2_QF3B: Where would you rate BLACK WOMEN in general on this scale?

Where would you rate BLACK WOMEN in general on this scale?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Like men more than women	191	8.3 %
2	-	247	10.8 %
3	-	143	6.2 %
4	Not close to one end or the other	668	29.1 %
5	-	158	6.9 %
6	-	98	4.3 %
7	Like women more than men	36	1.6 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	60	2.6 %
.	-	693	30.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,541 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 3.51
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.48

Location: 948-949 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

W2_QF3C: Where would you rate WHITE MEN in general on this scale?

Where would you rate WHITE MEN in general on this scale?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Like men more than women	47	2.0 %
2	-	61	2.7 %
3	-	122	5.3 %
4	Not close to one end or the other	681	29.7 %
5	-	243	10.6 %
6	-	261	11.4 %
7	Like women more than men	127	5.5 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	59	2.6 %
.	-	693	30.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,542 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 4.49
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.36

Location: 950-951 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

W2_QF3D: Where would you rate BLACK MEN in general on this scale?

Where would you rate BLACK MEN in general on this scale?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Like men more than women	24	1.0 %
2	-	45	2.0 %
3	-	74	3.2 %
4	Not close to one end or the other	619	27.0 %
5	-	207	9.0 %
6	-	338	14.7 %
7	Like women more than men	231	10.1 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	63	2.7 %
.	-	693	30.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,538 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 4.87
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.38

Location: 952-953 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

W2_QF4A: Where would you rate WHITE WOMEN in general on this scale?

Where would you rate WHITE WOMEN in general on this scale?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Prefer to be Self-supporting	230	10.0 %
2	-	314	13.7 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
3	-	279	12.2 %
4	Not close to one end or the other	515	22.4 %
5	-	120	5.2 %
6	-	52	2.3 %
7	Prefer to live off welfare	34	1.5 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	57	2.5 %
.	-	693	30.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,544 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 3.18
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.44

Location: 954-955 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

W2_QF4B: Where would you rate BLACK WOMEN in general on this scale?

Where would you rate BLACK WOMEN in general on this scale?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Prefer to be Self-supporting	191	8.3 %
2	-	211	9.2 %
3	-	205	8.9 %
4	Not close to one end or the other	479	20.9 %
5	-	224	9.8 %
6	-	140	6.1 %
7	Prefer to live off welfare	98	4.3 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	53	2.3 %
.	-	693	30.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,548 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 3.74
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 4.00

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.67

Location: 956-957 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

W2_QF4C: Where would you rate WHITE MEN in general on this scale?

Where would you rate WHITE MEN in general on this scale?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Prefer to be Self-supporting	345	15.0 %
2	-	411	17.9 %
3	-	212	9.2 %
4	Not close to one end or the other	417	18.2 %
5	-	74	3.2 %
6	-	52	2.3 %
7	Prefer to live off welfare	37	1.6 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	53	2.3 %
.	-	693	30.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,548 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 2.85
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.51

Location: 958-959 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

W2_QF4D: Where would you rate BLACK MEN in general on this scale?

Where would you rate BLACK MEN in general on this scale?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Prefer to be Self-supporting	199	8.7 %
2	-	228	9.9 %
3	-	222	9.7 %
4	Not close to one end or the other	512	22.3 %
5	-	203	8.8 %
6	-	114	5.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
7	Prefer to live off welfare	74	3.2 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	49	2.1 %
.	-	693	30.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,552 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 3.60
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.61

Location: 960-961 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

W2_QF5A: Where would you rate WHITE WOMEN in general on this scale?

Where would you rate WHITE WOMEN in general on this scale?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Fragile	59	2.6 %
2	-	82	3.6 %
3	-	177	7.7 %
4	Not close to one end or the other	573	25.0 %
5	-	332	14.5 %
6	-	235	10.2 %
7	Strong	95	4.1 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	48	2.1 %
.	-	693	30.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,553 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 4.37
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.38

Location: 962-963 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

W2_QF5B: Where would you rate BLACK WOMEN in general on this scale?

Where would you rate BLACK WOMEN in general on this scale?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Fragile	11	0.5 %
2	-	19	0.8 %
3	-	57	2.5 %
4	Not close to one end or the other	489	21.3 %
5	-	321	14.0 %
6	-	337	14.7 %
7	Strong	313	13.6 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	54	2.4 %
.	-	693	30.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,547 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 5.17
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.30

Location: 964-965 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

W2_QF5C: Where would you rate WHITE MEN in general on this scale?

Where would you rate WHITE MEN in general on this scale?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Fragile	22	1.0 %
2	-	17	0.7 %
3	-	69	3.0 %
4	Not close to one end or the other	534	23.3 %
5	-	337	14.7 %
6	-	405	17.7 %
7	Strong	164	7.1 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	53	2.3 %
.	-	693	30.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,548 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 4.95
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.24

Location: 966-967 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

W2_QF5D: Where would you rate BLACK MEN in general on this scale?

Where would you rate BLACK MEN in general on this scale?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Fragile	14	0.6 %
2	-	12	0.5 %
3	-	61	2.7 %
4	Not close to one end or the other	486	21.2 %
5	-	321	14.0 %
6	-	408	17.8 %
7	Strong	244	10.6 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	55	2.4 %
.	-	693	30.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,546 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 5.13
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.25

Location: 968-969 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

W2_QF6A: Where would you rate WHITE WOMEN in general on this scale?

Where would you rate WHITE WOMEN in general on this scale?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Excellent Parents	121	5.3 %
2	-	335	14.6 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
3	-	317	13.8 %
4	Not close to one end or the other	616	26.9 %
5	-	89	3.9 %
6	-	61	2.7 %
7	Poor Parents	9	0.4 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	53	2.3 %
.	-	693	30.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,548 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 3.28
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.24

Location: 970-971 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

W2_QF6B: Where would you rate BLACK WOMEN in general on this scale?

Where would you rate BLACK WOMEN in general on this scale?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Excellent Parents	134	5.8 %
2	-	269	11.7 %
3	-	265	11.6 %
4	Not close to one end or the other	597	26.0 %
5	-	170	7.4 %
6	-	74	3.2 %
7	Poor Parents	39	1.7 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	53	2.3 %
.	-	693	30.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,548 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 3.50
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 4.00

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.39

Location: 972-973 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

W2_QF6C: Where would you rate WHITE MEN in general on this scale?

Where would you rate WHITE MEN in general on this scale?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Excellent Parents	81	3.5 %
2	-	211	9.2 %
3	-	350	15.3 %
4	Not close to one end or the other	701	30.6 %
5	-	140	6.1 %
6	-	53	2.3 %
7	Poor Parents	12	0.5 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	53	2.3 %
.	-	693	30.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,548 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 3.53
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.15

Location: 974-975 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

W2_QF6D: Where would you rate BLACK MEN in general on this scale?

Where would you rate BLACK MEN in general on this scale?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Excellent Parents	64	2.8 %
2	-	111	4.8 %
3	-	223	9.7 %
4	Not close to one end or the other	669	29.2 %
5	-	248	10.8 %
6	-	156	6.8 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
7	Poor Parents	81	3.5 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	49	2.1 %
.	-	693	30.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,552 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 4.11
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.35

Location: 976-977 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

W2_QF7A: Where would you rate WHITE WOMEN in general on this scale?

Where would you rate WHITE WOMEN in general on this scale?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Dissagreeable	20	0.9 %
2	-	21	0.9 %
3	-	109	4.8 %
4	Not close to one end or the other	768	33.5 %
5	-	341	14.9 %
6	-	212	9.2 %
7	Aggreeable	74	3.2 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	56	2.4 %
.	-	693	30.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,545 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 4.50
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.09

Location: 978-979 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

W2_QF7B: Where would you rate BLACK WOMEN in general on this scale?

Where would you rate BLACK WOMEN in general on this scale?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Dissagreeable	54	2.4 %
2	-	82	3.6 %
3	-	226	9.9 %
4	Not close to one end or the other	734	32.0 %
5	-	243	10.6 %
6	-	140	6.1 %
7	Aggreeable	60	2.6 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	62	2.7 %
.	-	693	30.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,539 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 4.10
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.24

Location: 980-981 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

W2_QF7C: Where would you rate WHITE MEN in general on this scale?

Where would you rate WHITE MEN in general on this scale?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Dissagreeable	28	1.2 %
2	-	41	1.8 %
3	-	112	4.9 %
4	Not close to one end or the other	805	35.1 %
5	-	327	14.3 %
6	-	172	7.5 %
7	Aggreeable	55	2.4 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	61	2.7 %
.	-	693	30.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,540 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 4.36
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.09

Location: 982-983 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

W2_QF7D: Where would you rate BLACK MEN in general on this scale?

Where would you rate BLACK MEN in general on this scale?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Dissagreeable	52	2.3 %
2	-	89	3.9 %
3	-	221	9.6 %
4	Not close to one end or the other	803	35.0 %
5	-	235	10.2 %
6	-	107	4.7 %
7	Aggreeable	42	1.8 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	52	2.3 %
.	-	693	30.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,549 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 4.01
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.16

Location: 984-985 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

W2_QF8: Do you think of yourself as a feminist or not?

Do you think of yourself as a feminist or not?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	288	12.6 %
2	No	1251	54.5 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Missing Data		
-1	REFUSED	62	2.7 %
.	-	693	30.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,539 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 986-987 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , -1 , .

W2_QF9: How concerned are you personally about women's rights?

How concerned are you personally about women's rights?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very Concerned	362	15.8 %
2	Somewhat Concerned	710	31.0 %
3	Not Very Concerned	358	15.6 %
4	Not Concerned At All	134	5.8 %
	Missing Data		
-1	REFUSED	37	1.6 %
.	-	693	30.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,564 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 988-989 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , -1 , .

W2_QF10A: Where would you rate WHITE WOMEN in general on this scale?

Where would you rate WHITE WOMEN in general on this scale?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Feminine	10	0.4 %
2	-	9	0.4 %
3	-	37	1.6 %
4	Not close to one end or the other	481	21.0 %
5	-	207	9.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
6	-	490	21.4 %
7	Masculine	313	13.6 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	54	2.4 %
.	-	693	30.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,547 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 5.32
- Median: 6.00
- Mode: 6.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.26

Location: 990-991 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

W2_QF10B: Where would you rate BLACK WOMEN in general on this scale?

Where would you rate BLACK WOMEN in general on this scale?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Feminine	12	0.5 %
2	-	13	0.6 %
3	-	60	2.6 %
4	Not close to one end or the other	531	23.1 %
5	-	306	13.3 %
6	-	430	18.7 %
7	Masculine	190	8.3 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	59	2.6 %
.	-	693	30.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,542 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 5.05
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.21

Location: 992-993 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

W2_QF10C: Where would you rate WHITE MEN in general on this scale?

Where would you rate WHITE MEN in general on this scale?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Feminine	262	11.4 %
2	-	464	20.2 %
3	-	260	11.3 %
4	Not close to one end or the other	490	21.4 %
5	-	54	2.4 %
6	-	7	0.3 %
7	Masculine	4	0.2 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	60	2.6 %
.	-	693	30.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,541 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 2.77
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.21

Location: 994-995 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

W2_QF10D: Where would you rate BLACK MEN in general on this scale?

Where would you rate BLACK MEN in general on this scale?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Feminine	203	8.8 %
2	-	376	16.4 %
3	-	290	12.6 %
4	Not close to one end or the other	535	23.3 %
5	-	104	4.5 %
6	-	18	0.8 %
7	Masculine	16	0.7 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	59	2.6 %
.	-	693	30.2 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,542 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 3.05
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.29

Location: 996-997 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

W2_QG_1: [More people of different races marrying each other.] Please indicate if you think each of the following trends is generally a good thing for our society, a bad thing for our society, or doesn't make much difference

[More people of different races marrying each other.] Please indicate if you think each of the following trends is generally a good thing for our society, a bad thing for our society, or doesn?t make much difference

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Good thing for our society	433	18.9 %
2	Doesn't make much difference	970	42.3 %
3	Bad thing for our society	158	6.9 %
	Missing Data		
-1	REFUSED	40	1.7 %
.	-	693	30.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,561 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 998-999 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , -1 , .

W2_QG_2: [More women not ever having children.] Please indicate if you think each of the following trends is generally a good thing for our society, a bad thing for our society, or doesn't make much difference

[More women not ever having children.] Please indicate if you think each of the following trends is generally a good thing for our society, a bad thing for our society, or doesn?t make much difference

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Good thing for our society	243	10.6 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
2	Doesn't make much difference	838	36.5 %
3	Bad thing for our society	473	20.6 %
	Missing Data		
-1	REFUSED	47	2.0 %
.	-	693	30.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,554 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 1000-1001 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , -1 , .

W2_QG_3: [More single women deciding to have children without a male partner to help raise them.] Please indicate if you think each of the following trends is generally a good thing for our society, a bad thing for our society, or doesn't make much difference

[More single women deciding to have children without a male partner to help raise them.] Please indicate if you think each of the following trends is generally a good thing for our society, a bad thing for our society, or doesn?t make much difference

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Good thing for our society	66	2.9 %
2	Doesn't make much difference	541	23.6 %
3	Bad thing for our society	946	41.2 %
	Missing Data		
-1	REFUSED	48	2.1 %
.	-	693	30.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,553 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 1002-1003 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , -1 , .

W2_QH1: Discrimination against women is no longer a problem in the U.S. Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly?

Discrimination against women is no longer a problem in the U.S. Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Agree strongly	103	4.5 %
2	Agree somewhat	310	13.5 %
3	Neither agree nor disagree	342	14.9 %
4	Disagree somewhat	580	25.3 %
5	Disagree strongly	230	10.0 %
	Missing Data		
-1	REFUSED	36	1.6 %
.	-	693	30.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,565 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 1004-1005 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , -1 , .

W2_QH2: Do you think what happens generally to WOMEN in this country will have something to do with what happens in your life?

Do you think what happens generally to WOMEN in this country will have something to do with what happens in your life?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	685	29.9 %
2	No	453	19.7 %
3	Don't know	426	18.6 %
	Missing Data		
-1	REFUSED	37	1.6 %
.	-	693	30.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,564 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 1006-1007 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , -1 , .

W2_QH2A: Will it affect you a lot, or not very much?

Will it affect you a lot, or not very much?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	A lot	141	6.1 %
2	Some	433	18.9 %
3	Not very much	84	3.7 %
4	Don't Know	24	1.0 %
	Missing Data		
-1	REFUSED	3	0.1 %
.	-	1609	70.1 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 682 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 1008-1009 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , -1 , .

W2_QI1: Which number from 1 to 7 best represents how you think government should work?

Which number from 1 to 7 best represents how you think government should work?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	People make all decisions on their own	93	4.1 %
2	-	139	6.1 %
3	-	250	10.9 %
4	-	739	32.2 %
5	-	247	10.8 %
6	-	59	2.6 %
7	Elected officials make all decisions on their own	27	1.2 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	47	2.0 %
.	-	693	30.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,554 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 3.77
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.21

Location: 1010-1011 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

W2_QI2: How does the government in Washington actually work?

How does the government in Washington actually work?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	People make all decisions on their own	32	1.4 %
2	-	22	1.0 %
3	-	68	3.0 %
4	-	399	17.4 %
5	-	358	15.6 %
6	-	425	18.5 %
7	Elected officials make all decisions on their own	250	10.9 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	47	2.0 %
.	-	693	30.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,554 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 5.13
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 6.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.34

Location: 1012-1013 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

W2_QI3: A law has been proposed that would limit members of Congress to no more than 12 consecutive years of service in that office. Do you favor or oppose such a law?

A law has been proposed that would limit members of Congress to no more than 12 consecutive years of service in that office. Do you favor or oppose such a law?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Favor	1271	55.4 %
2	Oppose	269	11.7 %
	Missing Data		
-1	REFUSED	61	2.7 %
.	-	693	30.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,540 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 1014-1015 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , -1 , .

W2_QI4_A: Our government would run better if decisions were left up to successful business people.

Our government would run better if decisions were left up to successful business people.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Agree Strongly	64	2.8 %
2	Agree Somewhat	293	12.8 %
3	Neither Agree or Disagree	537	23.4 %
4	Disagree Somewhat	358	15.6 %
5	Disagree Strongly	304	13.3 %
	Missing Data		
-1	REFUSED	45	2.0 %
.	-	693	30.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,556 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 1016-1017 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , -1 , .

W2_QI4_B: Our government would run better if decisions were left up to nonelected, independent experts rather than politicians or the people.

Our government would run better if decisions were left up to nonelected, independent experts rather than politicians or the people.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Agree Strongly	41	1.8 %
2	Agree Somewhat	319	13.9 %
3	Neither Agree or Disagree	608	26.5 %
4	Disagree Somewhat	346	15.1 %
5	Disagree Strongly	237	10.3 %
	Missing Data		
-1	REFUSED	50	2.2 %
.	-	693	30.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,551 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 1018-1019 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , -1 , .

W2_QI4_C: Our government would run better if decisions were left up to election officials representing ordinary citizens who come from both lower and upper classes.

Our government would run better if decisions were left up to election officials representing ordinary citizens who come from both lower and upper classes.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Agree Strongly	239	10.4 %
2	Agree Somewhat	640	27.9 %
3	Neither Agree or Disagree	488	21.3 %
4	Disagree Somewhat	118	5.1 %
5	Disagree Strongly	68	3.0 %
	Missing Data		
-1	REFUSED	48	2.1 %
.	-	693	30.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,553 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 1020-1021 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , -1 , .

W2_QI4_D: The U.S. government is largely responsible for many of the economic and social problems the poor experience today.

The U.S. government is largely responsible for many of the economic and social problems the poor experience today.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Agree Strongly	297	12.9 %
2	Agree Somewhat	485	21.1 %
3	Neither Agree or Disagree	483	21.1 %
4	Disagree Somewhat	202	8.8 %
5	Disagree Strongly	86	3.7 %
	Missing Data		
-1	REFUSED	48	2.1 %
.	-	693	30.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,553 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 1022-1023 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , -1 , .

W2_QDISP11: We'd like to get your feelings toward several groups of Americans.Please rate each group using the feeling thermometer. Ratings between 50 degrees and 100 degrees mean that you feel favorable, or warm, toward the group. Ratings between 0 degrees

We'd like to get your feelings toward several groups of Americans.Please rate each group using the feeling thermometer. Ratings between 50 degrees and 100 degrees mean that you feel favorable, or warm, toward the group. Ratings between 0 degrees

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	REFUSED	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	2294	100.0 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

Location: 1024-1025 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , .

W2_J1_A: [How would you rate people with AIDS/HIV?] Please rate each group using the feeling thermometer.

[How would you rate people with AIDS/HIV?] Please rate each group using the feeling thermometer.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	36	1.6 %
1	-	121	5.3 %
5	-	1	0.0 %
10	-	9	0.4 %
12	-	1	0.0 %
15	-	42	1.8 %
20	-	3	0.1 %
25	-	1	0.0 %
30	-	58	2.5 %
34	-	1	0.0 %
35	-	2	0.1 %
40	-	133	5.8 %
42	-	1	0.0 %
45	-	9	0.4 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
49	-	1	0.0 %
50	-	374	16.3 %
55	-	9	0.4 %
58	-	1	0.0 %
59	-	1	0.0 %
60	-	174	7.6 %
65	-	11	0.5 %
66	-	2	0.1 %
69	-	2	0.1 %
70	-	187	8.2 %
72	-	1	0.0 %
73	-	1	0.0 %
75	-	20	0.9 %
80	-	27	1.2 %
85	-	157	6.8 %
86	-	1	0.0 %
87	-	1	0.0 %
88	-	1	0.0 %
90	-	10	0.4 %
95	-	2	0.1 %
97	-	1	0.0 %
99	-	1	0.0 %
100	-	126	5.5 %
	Missing Data		
-1	REFUSED	72	3.1 %
.	-	693	30.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,529 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 54.76
- Median: 50.00
- Mode: 50.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 100.00
- Standard Deviation: 27.15

Location: 1026-1028 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , -1 , .

W2_J1_B: [How would you rate people in jail?] Please rate each group using the feeling thermometer.

[How would you rate people in jail?] Please rate each group using the feeling thermometer.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	137	6.0 %
1	-	97	4.2 %
2	-	3	0.1 %
5	-	4	0.2 %
6	-	1	0.0 %
10	-	20	0.9 %
14	-	3	0.1 %
15	-	215	9.4 %
16	-	1	0.0 %
18	-	2	0.1 %
20	-	30	1.3 %
21	-	1	0.0 %
25	-	11	0.5 %
26	-	1	0.0 %
29	-	1	0.0 %
30	-	217	9.5 %
35	-	11	0.5 %
39	-	1	0.0 %
40	-	234	10.2 %
42	-	1	0.0 %
45	-	18	0.8 %
49	-	3	0.1 %
50	-	252	11.0 %
55	-	6	0.3 %
58	-	2	0.1 %
60	-	104	4.5 %
65	-	5	0.2 %
68	-	1	0.0 %
69	-	2	0.1 %
70	-	81	3.5 %
72	-	1	0.0 %
75	-	7	0.3 %
77	-	1	0.0 %
80	-	9	0.4 %
85	-	28	1.2 %
86	-	1	0.0 %
90	-	2	0.1 %
95	-	1	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
99	-	1	0.0 %
100	-	22	1.0 %
	Missing Data		
-1	REFUSED	63	2.7 %
.	-	693	30.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,538 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 34.99
- Median: 40.00
- Mode: 50.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 100.00
- Standard Deviation: 23.58

Location: 1029-1031 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , -1 , .

W2_J1_C: [How would you rate gay males?] Please rate each group using the feeling thermometer.

[How would you rate gay males?] Please rate each group using the feeling thermometer.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	142	6.2 %
1	-	93	4.1 %
2	-	2	0.1 %
3	-	2	0.1 %
5	-	8	0.3 %
7	-	1	0.0 %
10	-	11	0.5 %
15	-	70	3.1 %
16	-	1	0.0 %
20	-	8	0.3 %
25	-	5	0.2 %
30	-	85	3.7 %
33	-	1	0.0 %
35	-	4	0.2 %
40	-	116	5.1 %
42	-	1	0.0 %
43	-	2	0.1 %
44	-	1	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
45	-	7	0.3 %
46	-	1	0.0 %
49	-	2	0.1 %
50	-	396	17.3 %
55	-	8	0.3 %
58	-	1	0.0 %
59	-	1	0.0 %
60	-	106	4.6 %
65	-	7	0.3 %
69	-	2	0.1 %
70	-	141	6.1 %
75	-	20	0.9 %
77	-	1	0.0 %
80	-	27	1.2 %
85	-	116	5.1 %
87	-	1	0.0 %
88	-	1	0.0 %
90	-	22	1.0 %
93	-	1	0.0 %
95	-	3	0.1 %
98	-	3	0.1 %
99	-	2	0.1 %
100	-	112	4.9 %
	Missing Data		
-1	REFUSED	67	2.9 %
.	-	693	30.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,534 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 48.64
- Median: 50.00
- Mode: 50.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 100.00
- Standard Deviation: 29.69

Location: 1032-1034 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , -1 , .

W2_J1_D: [How would you rate lesbian females?] Please rate each group using the feeling thermometer.

[How would you rate lesbian females?] Please rate each group using the feeling thermometer.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	134	5.8 %
1	-	101	4.4 %
2	-	3	0.1 %
4	-	1	0.0 %
5	-	4	0.2 %
7	-	1	0.0 %
10	-	13	0.6 %
12	-	1	0.0 %
13	-	1	0.0 %
15	-	77	3.4 %
16	-	2	0.1 %
20	-	8	0.3 %
25	-	8	0.3 %
30	-	80	3.5 %
31	-	1	0.0 %
34	-	1	0.0 %
35	-	6	0.3 %
40	-	115	5.0 %
42	-	1	0.0 %
43	-	1	0.0 %
45	-	11	0.5 %
47	-	1	0.0 %
49	-	3	0.1 %
50	-	382	16.7 %
55	-	8	0.3 %
57	-	1	0.0 %
58	-	2	0.1 %
60	-	116	5.1 %
65	-	3	0.1 %
69	-	2	0.1 %
70	-	148	6.5 %
72	-	1	0.0 %
75	-	20	0.9 %
80	-	25	1.1 %
85	-	104	4.5 %
87	-	1	0.0 %
88	-	1	0.0 %
90	-	24	1.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
93	-	1	0.0 %
95	-	5	0.2 %
98	-	1	0.0 %
99	-	2	0.1 %
100	-	115	5.0 %
	Missing Data		
-1	REFUSED	65	2.8 %
.	-	693	30.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,536 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 48.42
- Median: 50.00
- Mode: 50.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 100.00
- Standard Deviation: 29.70

Location: 1035-1037 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , -1 , .

W2_QK1: How widespread do you believe the problem of racism is against Blacks among police officers in this country?

How widespread do you believe the problem of racism is against blacks among police officers in this country?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very Common	423	18.4 %
2	Fairly Common	713	31.1 %
3	Fairly Rare	332	14.5 %
4	Very Rare	77	3.4 %
	Missing Data		
-1	REFUSED	56	2.4 %
.	-	693	30.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,545 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 1038-1039 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , -1 , .

W2_QK2: Would you favor or oppose a law requiring mandatory life imprisonment for anyone convicted of a serious crime for the third time?

Would you favor or oppose a law requiring mandatory life imprisonment for anyone convicted of a serious crime for the third time?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Strongly Favor	517	22.5 %
2	Somewhat Favor	450	19.6 %
3	Neither Favor nor Oppose	361	15.7 %
4	Somewhat Oppose	144	6.3 %
5	Strongly Oppose	73	3.2 %
	Missing Data		
-1	REFUSED	56	2.4 %
.	-	693	30.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,545 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 1040-1041 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , -1 , .

W2_QK3: If you could choose between the following two approaches, which one do you think is the better penalty for murder-the death penalty, or life imprisonment?

If you could choose between the following two approaches, which one do you think is the better penalty for murder-the death penalty, or life imprisonment?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Death penalty	744	32.4 %
2	Life imprisonment	791	34.5 %
	Missing Data		
-1	REFUSED	66	2.9 %
.	-	693	30.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,535 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 1042-1043 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , -1 , .

W2_QK3A: How strongly do you feel about [the death penalty/ life imprisonment]?

How strongly do you feel about [the death penalty/ life imprisonment]?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Very Strongly	682	29.7 %
2	Somewhat Strongly	702	30.6 %
3	Not Strongly At All	145	6.3 %
	Missing Data		
-1	REFUSED	6	0.3 %
.	-	759	33.1 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,529 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 3.00

Location: 1044-1045 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , -1 , .

W2_QL1A: [LESBIAN WOMEN] A score of 1 means that you think all the people in this group are feminine. A score of 7 means that you think most people in the group are masculine.

[LESBIAN WOMEN] A score of 1 means that you think all the people in this group are feminine. A score of 7 means that you think most people in the group are masculine.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Feminine	37	1.6 %
2	-	47	2.0 %
3	-	94	4.1 %
4	Not close to one end or the other	832	36.3 %
5	-	273	11.9 %
6	-	161	7.0 %
7	Masculine	98	4.3 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	59	2.6 %
.	-	693	30.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,542 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

• Mean: 4.38
• Median: 4.00
• Mode: 4.00
• Minimum: 1.00

- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.19

Location: 1046-1047 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

W2_QL1B: [GAY MEN] A score of 1 means that you think all the people in this group are feminine. A score of 7 means that you think most people in the group are masculine.

[GAY MEN] A score of 1 means that you think all the people in this group are feminine. A score of 7 means that you think most people in the group are masculine.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Feminine	155	6.8 %
2	-	234	10.2 %
3	-	331	14.4 %
4	Not close to one end or the other	729	31.8 %
5	-	66	2.9 %
6	-	21	0.9 %
7	Masculine	6	0.3 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	59	2.6 %
.	-	693	30.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,542 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 3.26
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.15

Location: 1048-1049 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

W2_QL2A: [Work with children] Gays and lesbians should be allowed to:

[Work with children] Gays and lesbians should be allowed to:

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Strongly Agree	447	19.5 %
2	Somewhat Agree	541	23.6 %
3	Somewhat Disagree	305	13.3 %
4	Strongly Disagree	237	10.3 %
	Missing Data		

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	REFUSED	71	3.1 %
.	-	693	30.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,530 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 1050-1051 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , -1 , .

W2_QL2B: [Become Clergy/Ministers/Priests/Rabbis/Imams] Gays and lesbians should be allowed to:

[Become Clergy/Ministers/Priests/Rabbis/Imams] Gays and lesbians should be allowed to:

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Strongly Agree	359	15.6 %
2	Somewhat Agree	392	17.1 %
3	Somewhat Disagree	304	13.3 %
4	Strongly Disagree	476	20.7 %
	Missing Data		
-1	REFUSED	70	3.1 %
.	-	693	30.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,531 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 1052-1053 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , -1 , .

W2_QL2C: [Have and Raise Children] Gays and lesbians should be allowed to:

[Have and Raise Children] Gays and lesbians should be allowed to:

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Strongly Agree	427	18.6 %
2	Somewhat Agree	477	20.8 %
3	Somewhat Disagree	310	13.5 %
4	Strongly Disagree	320	13.9 %
	Missing Data		

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	REFUSED	67	2.9 %
.	-	693	30.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,534 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 1054-1055 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , -1 , .

W2_QL3: Some people believe that homosexuality is immoral. To what extent do you agree or disagree with this perspective?

Some people believe that homosexuality is immoral. To what extent do you agree or disagree with this perspective?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Strongly Agree	430	18.7 %
2	Somewhat Agree	411	17.9 %
3	Somewhat Disagree	299	13.0 %
4	Strongly Disagree	382	16.7 %
	Missing Data		
-1	REFUSED	79	3.4 %
.	-	693	30.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,522 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 1056-1057 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , -1 , .

W2_QL4: Do you favor or oppose laws to protect homosexuals against job discrimination?

Do you favor or oppose laws to protect homosexuals against job discrimination?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Strongly Favor	565	24.6 %
2	Somewhat Favor	326	14.2 %
3	Neither Favor nor Oppose	469	20.4 %
4	Somewhat Oppose	67	2.9 %
5	Strongly Oppose	112	4.9 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Missing Data		
-1	REFUSED	62	2.7 %
.	-	693	30.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,539 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 1058-1059 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , -1 , .

W2_QM8: How concerned are you about the spread of HIV/AIDS in your community?

How concerned are you about the spread of HIV/AIDS in your community?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Extremely Concerned	300	13.1 %
2	Very Concerned	317	13.8 %
3	Somewhat Concerned	572	24.9 %
4	Not Concerned At All	353	15.4 %
	Missing Data		
-1	REFUSED	59	2.6 %
.	-	693	30.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,542 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 1060-1061 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , -1 , .

W2_QM9: Where would you place yourself on this scale?

Where would you place yourself on this scale?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Government sees to it every person has a job and a good standard of living	140	6.1 %
2	-	107	4.7 %
3	-	201	8.8 %
4	-	485	21.1 %
5	-	250	10.9 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
6	-	185	8.1 %
7	Government lets each person get ahead on her/his own	176	7.7 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	57	2.5 %
.	-	693	30.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,544 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 4.20
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.69

Location: 1062-1063 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

W2_QM10: Where would you place yourself on this scale?

Where would you place yourself on this scale?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Government should help minority groups	149	6.5 %
2	-	136	5.9 %
3	-	224	9.8 %
4	-	487	21.2 %
5	-	218	9.5 %
6	-	169	7.4 %
7	Minority groups should help themselves	148	6.5 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	70	3.1 %
.	-	693	30.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,531 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 4.04
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.68

Location: 1064-1065 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

W2_QM11: Where would you place yourself on this scale?

Where would you place yourself on this scale?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Government should help poor people	231	10.1 %
2	-	205	8.9 %
3	-	286	12.5 %
4	-	458	20.0 %
5	-	194	8.5 %
6	-	102	4.4 %
7	Poor people should help themselves	67	2.9 %
	Missing Data		
-1	Refused	58	2.5 %
.	-	693	30.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,543 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 3.49
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.61

Location: 1066-1067 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -1 , .

W2_QM12: In the future, how willing should the United States be to use military force to solve international problems?

In the future, how willing should the United States be to use military force to solve international problems?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Extremely Willing	80	3.5 %
2	Very Willing	172	7.5 %
3	Somewhat Willing	685	29.9 %
4	Not Very Willing	409	17.8 %
5	Never Willing	68	3.0 %
6	Don't Know	130	5.7 %
	Missing Data		
-1	REFUSED	57	2.5 %
.	-	693	30.2 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,544 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 6.00

Location: 1068-1069 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , -1 , .

W2_QN1: Generally speaking, would you say that most people can be trusted, or that you can't be too careful in dealing with people?

Generally speaking, would you say that most people can be trusted, or that you can't be too careful in dealing with people?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Most people can be trusted	598	26.1 %
2	Can't be too careful	947	41.3 %
	Missing Data		
-1	REFUSED	56	2.4 %
.	-	693	30.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,545 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 1070-1071 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , -1 , .

W2_QN2: Do you think more people would try to take advantage of you if they got the chance or would they try to be fair?

Do you think more people would try to take advantage of you if they got the chance or would they try to be fair?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Take advantage	818	35.7 %
2	Try to be fair	725	31.6 %
	Missing Data		
-1	REFUSED	58	2.5 %
.	-	693	30.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,543 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 1072-1073 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , -1 , .

W2_QN3: Do you have any neighbors that you know and talk to regularly?

Do you have any neighbors that you know and talk to regularly?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	1064	46.4 %
2	No	498	21.7 %
	Missing Data		
-1	REFUSED	39	1.7 %
.	-	693	30.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,562 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 1074-1075 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , -1 , .

W2_QN4: About how many neighbors do you talk to regularly? Would that be just one, two or three, four or five, or more than five?

About how many neighbors do you talk to regularly? Would that be just one, two or three, four or five, or more than five?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Just one	110	4.8 %
2	Two or three	538	23.5 %
3	Four or five	227	9.9 %
4	More than five	183	8.0 %
	Missing Data		
-1	REFUSED	6	0.3 %
.	-	1230	53.6 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,058 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 1076-1077 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , -1 , .

W2_QO1: President Obama's election as the nation's first African American president...

President Obama's election as the nation's first African American president...

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Improved American race relations	475	20.7 %
2	Worsened American race relations	227	9.9 %
3	Neither improved nor worsened America race relations	844	36.8 %
	Missing Data		
-1	REFUSED	55	2.4 %
.	-	693	30.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,546 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 3.00

Location: 1078-1079 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , -1 , .

W2_QO2: President Obama's election as the nation's first African American president...

President Obama's election as the nation's first African American president...

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Enhanced the public image of Blacks	716	31.2 %
2	Diminished the public image of Blacks	102	4.4 %
3	Neither enhanced nor diminished the public image of Blacks	722	31.5 %
	Missing Data		
-1	REFUSED	61	2.7 %
.	-	693	30.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,540 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 3.00

Location: 1080-1081 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , -1 , .

W2_QO3: President Obama's election as the nation's first African American president made government...

President Obama's election as the nation's first African American president made government...

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	More responsive to the problems of Blacks and other minorities	400	17.4 %
2	Less responsivcde to the problems of Blacks and other minorities	103	4.5 %
3	Neither more nor less responsive to the problems of Blacks and other minorities	1031	44.9 %
	Missing Data		
-1	REFUSED	67	2.9 %
.	-	693	30.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,534 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 1082-1083 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , -1 , .

W1_ORDER_A1: Forward or backward order of A1

Forward or backward order of A1

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1-5	1157	50.4 %
2	5-1	1137	49.6 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,294 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 1084-1084 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

W1_ORDER_A2: Forward or backward order of A2

Forward or backward order of A2

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1-5	1164	50.7 %
2	5-1	1130	49.3 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,294 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 1085-1085 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

W1_ORDER_A12: Forward or backward order of A12

Forward or backward order of A12

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1-2	1167	50.9 %
2	2-1	1127	49.1 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,294 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 1086-1086 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

W1_ORDER_B1: Forward or backward order of B1

Forward or backward order of B1

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1-5	1149	50.1 %
2	5-1	1145	49.9 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,294 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 1087-1087 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

W1_ORDER_B2: Forward or backward order of B2

Forward or backward order of B2

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1-5	1140	49.7 %
2	5-1	1154	50.3 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,294 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 1088-1088 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

W1_ORDER_B3: Forward or backward order of B3

Forward or backward order of B3

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1-5	1094	47.7 %
2	5-1	1200	52.3 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,294 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 1089-1089 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

W1_ORDER_B4: Forward or backward order of B4

Forward or backward order of B4

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1-5	1145	49.9 %
2	5-1	1149	50.1 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,294 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 1090-1090 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

W1_ORDER_C2: Forward or backward order of C2

Forward or backward order of C2

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1-7	1123	49.0 %
2	7-1	1171	51.0 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,294 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 1091-1091 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

W1_ORDER_E2: Forward or backward order of E2

Forward or backward order of E2

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1-3	1107	48.3 %
2	3-1	1187	51.7 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,294 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 1092-1092 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

W1_ORDER_E2A: Forward or backward order of E2A

Forward or backward order of E2A

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1-3	1118	48.7 %
2	3-1	1176	51.3 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,294 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 1093-1093 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

W1_ORDER_F1: Forward or backward order of F1

Forward or backward order of F1

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1-3	1100	48.0 %
2	3-1	1194	52.0 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,294 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 1094-1094 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

W1_ORDER_F1A: Forward or backward order of F1A

Forward or backward order of F1A

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1-3	1140	49.7 %
2	3-1	1154	50.3 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,294 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 1095-1095 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

W1_ORDER_F2: Forward or backward order of F2

Forward or backward order of F2

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1-3	1162	50.7 %
2	3-1	1132	49.3 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,294 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 1096-1096 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

W1_ORDER_F2A: Forward or backward order of F2A

Forward or backward order of F2A

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1-3	1161	50.6 %
2	3-1	1133	49.4 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,294 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 1097-1097 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

W1_ORDER_F3: Forward or backward order of F3

Forward or backward order of F3

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1-7	1183	51.6 %
2	7-1	1111	48.4 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,294 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 1098-1098 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

W1_ORDER_F4: Forward or backward order of F4

Forward or backward order of F4

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1-4	1137	49.6 %
2	4-1	1157	50.4 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,294 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 1099-1099 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

W1_ORDER_F5: Forward or backward order of F5

Forward or backward order of F5

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1-4	1143	49.8 %
2	4-1	1151	50.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,294 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 1100-1100 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

W1_ORDER_G2: Forward or backward order of G2

Forward or backward order of G2

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1-3	1145	49.9 %
2	3-1	1149	50.1 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,294 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 1101-1101 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

W1_ORDER_G3A: Forward or backward order of G3A

Forward or backward order of G3A

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1-3	1161	50.6 %
2	3-1	1133	49.4 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,294 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 1102-1102 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

W1_ORDER_H1: Forward or backward order of H1

Forward or backward order of H1

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1-5	1115	48.6 %
2	5-1	1179	51.4 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,294 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 1103-1103 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

W1_ORDER_I1: Forward or backward order of I1

Forward or backward order of I1

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1-5	1179	51.4 %
2	5-1	1115	48.6 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,294 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 1104-1104 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

W1_ORDER_J1: Forward or backward order of J1

Forward or backward order of J1

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1-11	1146	50.0 %
2	11-1	1148	50.0 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,294 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 1105-1105 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

W1_ORDER_J3A: Forward or backward order of J3A

Forward or backward order of J3A

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1-5	1148	50.0 %
2	5-1	1146	50.0 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,294 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 1106-1106 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

W1_ORDER_K1: Forward or backward order of K1

Forward or backward order of K1

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1-4	1145	49.9 %
2	4-1	1149	50.1 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,294 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 1107-1107 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

W1_ORDER_L4_A: Order of L4_a shown

Order of L4_a shown

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	-	564	24.6 %
2	-	584	25.5 %
3	-	580	25.3 %
4	-	566	24.7 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,294 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 2.50
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.11

Location: 1108-1108 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

W1_ORDER_L4_B: Order of L4_b shown

Order of L4_b shown

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	-	580	25.3 %
2	-	570	24.8 %
3	-	574	25.0 %
4	-	570	24.8 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Total	2,294	100%

Based upon 2,294 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 2.49
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.12

Location: 1109-1109 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

W1_ORDER_L4_C: Order of L4_c shown

Order of L4_c shown

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	-	550	24.0 %
2	-	578	25.2 %
3	-	579	25.2 %
4	-	587	25.6 %
	Total	2,294	100%

Based upon 2,294 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 2.52
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.11

Location: 1110-1110 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

W1_ORDER_L4_D: Order of L4_d shown

Order of L4_d shown

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	-	600	26.2 %
2	-	562	24.5 %
3	-	561	24.5 %
4	-	571	24.9 %
	Total	2,294	100%

Based upon 2,294 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 2.48
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.13

Location: 1111-1111 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

W1_ORDER_L5_A: Order of L5_a shown

Order of L5_a shown

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	-	371	16.2 %
2	-	401	17.5 %
3	-	378	16.5 %
4	-	395	17.2 %
5	-	383	16.7 %
6	-	366	16.0 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,294 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 3.49
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 6.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.69

Location: 1112-1112 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

W1_ORDER_L5_B: Order of L5_b shown

Order of L5_b shown

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	-	375	16.3 %
2	-	386	16.8 %
3	-	397	17.3 %
4	-	402	17.5 %
5	-	356	15.5 %
6	-	378	16.5 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,294 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 3.48
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 6.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.69

Location: 1113-1113 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

W1_ORDER_L5_C: Order of L5_c shown

Order of L5_c shown

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	-	388	16.9 %
2	-	382	16.7 %
3	-	380	16.6 %
4	-	377	16.4 %
5	-	397	17.3 %
6	-	370	16.1 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,294 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 3.49
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 6.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.71

Location: 1114-1114 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

W1_ORDER_L5_D: Order of L5_d shown

Order of L5_d shown

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	-	413	18.0 %
2	-	387	16.9 %
3	-	374	16.3 %
4	-	362	15.8 %
5	-	394	17.2 %
6	-	364	15.9 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,294 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 3.45
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 6.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.72

Location: 1115-1115 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

W1_ORDER_L5_E: Order of L5_e shown

Order of L5_e shown

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	-	362	15.8 %
2	-	362	15.8 %
3	-	386	16.8 %
4	-	382	16.7 %
5	-	366	16.0 %
6	-	436	19.0 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,294 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 3.58
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 6.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 6.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.72

Location: 1116-1116 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

W1_ORDER_L5_F: ORDER OF L5_F shown

Order of L5_f

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	-	385	16.8 %
2	-	376	16.4 %
3	-	379	16.5 %
4	-	376	16.4 %
5	-	398	17.3 %
6	-	380	16.6 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,294 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 3.51
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 5.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 6.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.71

Location: 1117-1117 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

W1_ORDER_M3: Forward or backward order of M3

Forward or backward order of M3

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1-4	1125	49.0 %
2	4-1	1169	51.0 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,294 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 1118-1118 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

W1_ORDER_O1: Forward or backward order of O1

Forward or backward order of O1

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1-5	1164	50.7 %
2	5-1	1130	49.3 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,294 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 1119-1119 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

W2_ORDER_QB3_1: [Always] Order of How often would you say you vote?

[Always] Order of How often would you say you vote?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	-	760	33.1 %
4	-	841	36.7 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Missing Data		
.	-	693	30.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,601 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 2.58
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.50

Location: 1120-1120 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

W2_ORDER_QB3_2: [Nearly Always] Order of How often would you say you vote?

[Nearly Always] Order of How often would you say you vote?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
2	-	760	33.1 %
3	-	841	36.7 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	693	30.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,601 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 2.53
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 2.00
- Maximum: 3.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.50

Location: 1121-1121 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

W2_ORDER_QB3_3: [Part Of The Time] Order of How often would you say you vote?

[Part Of The Time] Order of How often would you say you vote?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
2	-	841	36.7 %
3	-	760	33.1 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	693	30.2 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,601 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 2.47
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 2.00
- Maximum: 3.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.50

Location: 1122-1122 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

W2_ORDER_QB3_4: [Seldom] Order of How often would you say you vote?

[Seldom] Order of How often would you say you vote?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	-	841	36.7 %
4	-	760	33.1 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	693	30.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,601 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 2.42
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.50

Location: 1123-1123 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

W2_ORDER_QE1A: Order of Question for QE1A

Order of Question for QE1A

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	optimistic	880	38.4 %
2	pessimistic	230	10.0 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	1184	51.6 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,110 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 1124-1125 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , -1 , .

W2_ORDER_QE2A: Order of Question for QE2A

Order of Question for QE2A

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	optimistic	739	32.2 %
2	pessimistic	391	17.0 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	1164	50.7 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,130 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 1126-1127 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , -1 , .

W2_ORDER_QF1_A: Order of F1A shown

Order of F1A shown

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	-	361	15.7 %
2	-	375	16.3 %
3	-	427	18.6 %
4	-	438	19.1 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	693	30.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,601 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 2.59
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.11

Location: 1128-1129 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

W2_ORDER_QF1_B: Order of F1B shown

Order of F1B shown

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	-	405	17.7 %
2	-	392	17.1 %
3	-	407	17.7 %
4	-	397	17.3 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	693	30.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,601 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 2.50
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.12

Location: 1130-1131 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , -1 , .

W2_ORDER_QF1_C: Order of F1C shown

Order of F1C shown

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	-	399	17.4 %
2	-	414	18.0 %
3	-	371	16.2 %
4	-	417	18.2 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	693	30.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,601 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 2.50
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.13

W2_ORDER_QF1_D: Order of F1D shown

Order of F1D shown

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	-	436	19.0 %
2	-	420	18.3 %
3	-	396	17.3 %
4	-	349	15.2 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	693	30.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,601 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 2.41
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.11

W2_ORDER_QF2_A: Order of F2A shown

Order of F2A shown

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	-	392	17.1 %
2	-	404	17.6 %
3	-	413	18.0 %
4	-	392	17.1 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	693	30.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,601 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 2.50
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00

- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.11

Location: 1136-1137 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , -1 , .

W2_ORDER_QF2_B: Order of F2B shown

Order of F2B shown

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	-	367	16.0 %
2	-	409	17.8 %
3	-	412	18.0 %
4	-	413	18.0 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	693	30.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,601 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 2.54
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.11

Location: 1138-1139 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , -1 , .

W2_ORDER_QF2_C: Order of F2C shown

Order of F2C shown

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	-	422	18.4 %
2	-	392	17.1 %
3	-	382	16.7 %
4	-	405	17.7 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	693	30.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,601 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 2.48

- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.13

Location: 1140-1141 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , -1 , .

W2_ORDER_QF2_D: Order of F2D shown

Order of F2D shown

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	-	420	18.3 %
2	-	396	17.3 %
3	-	394	17.2 %
4	-	391	17.0 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	693	30.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,601 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 2.47
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.12

Location: 1142-1143 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , -1 , .

W2_ORDER_QF3_A: Order of F3A shown

Order of F3A shown

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	-	404	17.6 %
2	-	395	17.2 %
3	-	404	17.6 %
4	-	398	17.3 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	693	30.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,601 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 2.50
- Median: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.12

Location: 1144-1145 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , -1 , .

W2_ORDER_QF3_B: Order of F3B shown

Order of F3B shown

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	-	400	17.4 %
2	-	364	15.9 %
3	-	432	18.8 %
4	-	405	17.7 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	693	30.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,601 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 2.53
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.12

Location: 1146-1147 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , -1 , .

W2_ORDER_QF3_C: Order of F3C shown

Order of F3C shown

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	-	417	18.2 %
2	-	421	18.4 %
3	-	353	15.4 %
4	-	410	17.9 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	693	30.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,601 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 2.47
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.13

Location: 1148-1149 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , -1 , .

W2_ORDER_QF3_D: Order of F3D shown

Order of F3D shown

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	-	380	16.6 %
2	-	421	18.4 %
3	-	412	18.0 %
4	-	388	16.9 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	693	30.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,601 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 2.50
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.10

Location: 1150-1151 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , -1 , .

W2_ORDER_QF4_A: Order of F4A shown

Order of F4A shown

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	-	399	17.4 %
2	-	421	18.4 %
3	-	397	17.3 %
4	-	384	16.7 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	693	30.2 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,601 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 2.48
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.11

Location: 1152-1153 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , -1 , .

W2_ORDER_QF4_B: Order of F4B shown

Order of F4B shown

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	-	404	17.6 %
2	-	381	16.6 %
3	-	418	18.2 %
4	-	398	17.3 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	693	30.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,601 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 2.51
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.12

Location: 1154-1155 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , -1 , .

W2_ORDER_QF4_C: Order of F4C shown

Order of F4C shown

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	-	399	17.4 %
2	-	414	18.0 %
3	-	402	17.5 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
4	-	386	16.8 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	693	30.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,601 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 2.48
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.11

Location: 1156-1157 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , -1 , .

W2_ORDER_QF4_D: Order of F4D shown

Order of F4D shown

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	-	399	17.4 %
2	-	385	16.8 %
3	-	384	16.7 %
4	-	433	18.9 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	693	30.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,601 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 2.53
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.14

Location: 1158-1159 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , -1 , .

W2_ORDER_QF5_A: Order of F5A shown

Order of F5A shown

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	-	417	18.2 %
2	-	356	15.5 %
3	-	429	18.7 %
4	-	399	17.4 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	693	30.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,601 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 2.51
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.13

Location: 1160-1161 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , -1 , .

W2_ORDER_QF5_B: Order of F5B shown

Order of F5B shown

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	-	388	16.9 %
2	-	386	16.8 %
3	-	414	18.0 %
4	-	413	18.0 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	693	30.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,601 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 2.53
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.12

Location: 1162-1163 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , -1 , .

W2_ORDER_QF5_C: Order of F5C shown

Order of F5C shown

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	-	387	16.9 %
2	-	430	18.7 %
3	-	394	17.2 %
4	-	390	17.0 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	693	30.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,601 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 2.49
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.11

Location: 1164-1165 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , -1 , .

W2_ORDER_QF5_D: Order of F5D shown

Order of F5D shown

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	-	409	17.8 %
2	-	429	18.7 %
3	-	364	15.9 %
4	-	399	17.4 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	693	30.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,601 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 2.47
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.12

Location: 1166-1167 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , -1 , .

W2_ORDER_QF6_A: Order of F6A shown

Order of F6A shown

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	-	373	16.3 %
2	-	415	18.1 %
3	-	401	17.5 %
4	-	412	18.0 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	693	30.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,601 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 2.53
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.11

Location: 1168-1169 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , -1 , .

W2_ORDER_QF6_B: Order of F6B shown

Order of F6B shown

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	-	415	18.1 %
2	-	377	16.4 %
3	-	382	16.7 %
4	-	427	18.6 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	693	30.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,601 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 2.51
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.14

Location: 1170-1171 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

W2_ORDER_QF6_C: Order of F6C shown

Order of F6C shown

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	-	402	17.5 %
2	-	388	16.9 %
3	-	398	17.3 %
4	-	413	18.0 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	693	30.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,601 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 2.51
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.13

Location: 1172-1173 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , -1 , .

W2_ORDER_QF6_D: Order of F6D shown

Order of F6D shown

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	-	411	17.9 %
2	-	421	18.4 %
3	-	420	18.3 %
4	-	349	15.2 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	693	30.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,601 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 2.44
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.09

Location: 1174-1175 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , -1 , .

W2_ORDER_QF7_A: Order of F7A shown

Order of F7A shown

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	-	362	15.8 %
2	-	367	16.0 %
3	-	434	18.9 %
4	-	438	19.1 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	693	30.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,601 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 2.59
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.11

Location: 1176-1177 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , -1 , .

W2_ORDER_QF7_B: Order of F7B shown

Order of F7B shown

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	-	419	18.3 %
2	-	405	17.7 %
3	-	396	17.3 %
4	-	381	16.6 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	693	30.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,601 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 2.46
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00

- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.12

Location: 1178-1179 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , -1 , .

W2_ORDER_QF7_C: Order of F7C shown

Order of F7C shown

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	-	397	17.3 %
2	-	414	18.0 %
3	-	379	16.5 %
4	-	411	17.9 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	693	30.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,601 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 2.50
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.12

Location: 1180-1181 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , -1 , .

W2_ORDER_QF7_D: Order of F7D shown

Order of F7D shown

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	-	423	18.4 %
2	-	415	18.1 %
3	-	392	17.1 %
4	-	371	16.2 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	693	30.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,601 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 2.44

- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.11

Location: 1182-1183 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , -1 , .

W2_ORDER_QF10_A: Order of F10A shown

Order of F10A shown

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	-	393	17.1 %
2	-	407	17.7 %
3	-	412	18.0 %
4	-	389	17.0 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	693	30.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,601 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 2.50
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 3.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.11

Location: 1184-1185 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , -1 , .

W2_ORDER_QF10_B: Order of F10B shown

Order of F10B shown

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	-	393	17.1 %
2	-	400	17.4 %
3	-	396	17.3 %
4	-	412	18.0 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	693	30.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,601 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 2.52
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.12

Location: 1186-1187 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , -1 , .

W2_ORDER_QF10_C: Order of F10C shown

Order of F10C shown

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	-	424	18.5 %
2	-	413	18.0 %
3	-	390	17.0 %
4	-	374	16.3 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	693	30.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,601 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 2.45
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.12

Location: 1188-1189 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , -1 , .

W2_ORDER_QF10_D: Order of F10D shown

Order of F10D shown

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	-	391	17.0 %
2	-	381	16.6 %
3	-	403	17.6 %
4	-	426	18.6 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	693	30.2 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,601 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 2.54
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 4.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.13

Location: 1190-1191 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , -1 , .

W2_ORDER_M10_M11: Order of M10/M11 shown

Order of M10/M11 shown

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	M10/M11	794	34.6 %
2	M11/M10	807	35.2 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	693	30.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 1,601 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 1192-1193 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , -1 , .

PPAGE: Age

Age

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
18	-	36	1.6 %
19	-	22	1.0 %
20	-	35	1.5 %
21	-	35	1.5 %
22	-	40	1.7 %
23	-	26	1.1 %
24	-	43	1.9 %
25	-	39	1.7 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
26	-	27	1.2 %
27	-	31	1.4 %
28	-	26	1.1 %
29	-	37	1.6 %
30	-	26	1.1 %
31	-	30	1.3 %
32	-	27	1.2 %
33	-	22	1.0 %
34	-	24	1.0 %
35	-	30	1.3 %
36	-	27	1.2 %
37	-	33	1.4 %
38	-	30	1.3 %
39	-	40	1.7 %
40	-	33	1.4 %
41	-	44	1.9 %
42	-	50	2.2 %
43	-	29	1.3 %
44	-	44	1.9 %
45	-	34	1.5 %
46	-	42	1.8 %
47	-	37	1.6 %
48	-	45	2.0 %
49	-	44	1.9 %
50	-	54	2.4 %
51	-	37	1.6 %
52	-	55	2.4 %
53	-	53	2.3 %
54	-	56	2.4 %
55	-	63	2.7 %
56	-	51	2.2 %
57	-	49	2.1 %
58	-	42	1.8 %
59	-	48	2.1 %
60	-	55	2.4 %
61	-	54	2.4 %
62	-	49	2.1 %
63	-	54	2.4 %
64	-	49	2.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
65	-	54	2.4 %
66	-	39	1.7 %
67	-	46	2.0 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 2,294 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 48.90
- Median: 51.00
- Mode: 55.00
- Minimum: 18.00
- Maximum: 81.00
- Standard Deviation: 16.46

Location: 1194-1195 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

PPAGECAT: Age - 7 Categories

Age - 7 Categories

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	18-24	237	10.3 %
2	25-34	289	12.6 %
3	35-44	360	15.7 %
4	45-54	457	19.9 %
5	55-64	514	22.4 %
6	65-74	329	14.3 %
7	75+	108	4.7 %
99	Under 18	0	0.0 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,294 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 1196-1197 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

PPAGECT4: Age - 4 Categories

Age - 4 Categories

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	18-29	397	17.3 %
2	30-44	489	21.3 %
3	45-59	710	31.0 %
4	60+	698	30.4 %
99	Under 18	0	0.0 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,294 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 4.00

Location: 1198-1199 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

PPEDUC: Education (Highest Degree Received)

Education (Highest Degree Received)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	No formal education	6	0.3 %
2	1st, 2nd, 3rd, or 4th grade	1	0.0 %
3	5th or 6th grade	4	0.2 %
4	7th or 8th grade	22	1.0 %
5	9th grade	19	0.8 %
6	10th grade	35	1.5 %
7	11th grade	53	2.3 %
8	12th grade NO DIPLOMA	79	3.4 %
9	HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATE - high school DIPLOMA or the equivalent (GED)	700	30.5 %
10	Some college, no degree	490	21.4 %
11	Associate degree	192	8.4 %
12	Bachelors degree	414	18.0 %
13	Masters degree	215	9.4 %
14	Professional or Doctorate degree	64	2.8 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,294 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

• Minimum: 1.00
• Maximum: 14.00

Location: 1200-1201 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , -1

PPEDUCAT: Education (Categorical)

Education (Categorical)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Less than high school	219	9.5 %
2	High school	700	30.5 %
3	Some college	682	29.7 %
4	Bachelor's degree or higher	693	30.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,294 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 1202-1203 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , -1

PPETHM: Race / Ethnicity

Race / Ethnicity

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	White, Non-Hispanic	814	35.5 %
2	Black, Non-Hispanic	1278	55.7 %
3	Other, Non-Hispanic	46	2.0 %
4	Hispanic	123	5.4 %
5	2+ Races, Non-Hispanic	33	1.4 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,294 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 1204-1205 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , -1

PPGENDER: Gender

Gender

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Male	1032	45.0 %
2	Female	1262	55.0 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,294 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 2.00

Location: 1206-1207 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , -1

PPHHHEAD: Household Head

Household Head

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	422	18.4 %
1	Yes	1872	81.6 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,294 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 1208-1209 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , -1

PPHHSIZE: Household Size

Household Size

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	-	489	21.3 %
2	-	839	36.6 %
3	-	401	17.5 %
4	-	309	13.5 %
5	-	133	5.8 %
6	-	76	3.3 %
7	-	26	1.1 %
8	-	12	0.5 %
9	-	5	0.2 %
10	-	1	0.0 %
12	-	1	0.0 %
13	-	1	0.0 %
14	-	1	0.0 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,294 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 2.66
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 14.00
- Standard Deviation: 1.52

Location: 1210-1211 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , -1

PPHOUSE: Housing Type

Housing Type

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	A one-family house detached from any other house	1400	61.0 %
2	A one-family house attached to one or more houses	217	9.5 %
3	A building with 2 or more apartments	583	25.4 %
4	A mobile home	88	3.8 %
5	Boat, RV, van, etc.	6	0.3 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,294 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00

Location: 1212-1213 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , -1

PPINCIMP: Household Income

Household Income

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Less than $5,000	127	5.5 %
2	$5,000 to $7,499	64	2.8 %
3	$7,500 to $9,999	61	2.7 %
4	$10,000 to $12,499	68	3.0 %
5	$12,500 to $14,999	62	2.7 %
6	$15,000 to $19,999	98	4.3 %
7	$20,000 to $24,999	109	4.8 %
8	$25,000 to $29,999	140	6.1 %
9	$30,000 to $34,999	108	4.7 %
10	$35,000 to $39,999	132	5.8 %
11	$40,000 to $49,999	162	7.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
12	$50,000 to $59,999	181	7.9 %
13	$60,000 to $74,999	235	10.2 %
14	$75,000 to $84,999	129	5.6 %
15	$85,000 to $99,999	145	6.3 %
16	$100,000 to $124,999	200	8.7 %
17	$125,000 to $149,999	125	5.4 %
18	$150,000 to $174,999	63	2.7 %
19	$175,000 or more	85	3.7 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,294 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 19.00

Location: 1214-1215 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , -1

PPMARIT: Marital Status

Marital Status

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Married	1063	46.3 %
2	Widowed	118	5.1 %
3	Divorced	280	12.2 %
4	Separated	58	2.5 %
5	Never married	585	25.5 %
6	Living with partner	190	8.3 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,294 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 6.00

Location: 1216-1217 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , -1

PPMSACAT: MSA Status

MSA Status

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Non-Metro	268	11.7 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Metro	2026	88.3 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,294 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 1218-1219 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , -1

PPREG4: Region 4 - Based on State of Residence

Region 4 - Based on State of Residence

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Northeast	398	17.3 %
2	Midwest	499	21.8 %
3	South	994	43.3 %
4	West	403	17.6 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,294 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 4.00

Location: 1220-1221 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , -1

PPREG9: Region 9 - Based on State of Residence

Region 9 - Based on State of Residence

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	New England	82	3.6 %
2	Mid-Atlantic	316	13.8 %
3	East-North Central	366	16.0 %
4	West-North Central	133	5.8 %
5	South Atlantic	563	24.5 %
6	East-South Central	173	7.5 %
7	West-South Central	258	11.2 %
8	Mountain	102	4.4 %
9	Pacific	301	13.1 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,294 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 9.00

Location: 1222-1222 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

PPRENT: Ownership Status of Living Quarters

Ownership Status of Living Quarters

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Owned or being bought by you or someone in your household	1451	63.3 %
2	Rented for cash	781	34.0 %
3	Occupied without payment of cash rent	62	2.7 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,294 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 3.00

Location: 1223-1224 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , -1

PPSTATEN: State

State

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
11	ME	8	0.3 %
12	NH	3	0.1 %
13	VT	4	0.2 %
14	MA	39	1.7 %
15	RI	5	0.2 %
16	CT	23	1.0 %
21	NY	140	6.1 %
22	NJ	69	3.0 %
23	PA	107	4.7 %
31	OH	103	4.5 %
32	IN	38	1.7 %
33	IL	108	4.7 %
34	MI	80	3.5 %
35	WI	37	1.6 %
41	MN	26	1.1 %
42	IA	15	0.7 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
43	MO	55	2.4 %
44	ND	5	0.2 %
45	SD	5	0.2 %
46	NE	15	0.7 %
47	KS	12	0.5 %
51	DE	9	0.4 %
52	MD	77	3.4 %
53	DC	10	0.4 %
54	VA	71	3.1 %
55	WV	10	0.4 %
56	NC	88	3.8 %
57	SC	43	1.9 %
58	GA	123	5.4 %
59	FL	132	5.8 %
61	KY	23	1.0 %
62	TN	54	2.4 %
63	AL	61	2.7 %
64	MS	35	1.5 %
71	AR	14	0.6 %
72	LA	33	1.4 %
73	OK	22	1.0 %
74	TX	189	8.2 %
81	MT	2	0.1 %
82	ID	5	0.2 %
83	WY	5	0.2 %
84	CO	25	1.1 %
85	NM	3	0.1 %
86	AZ	30	1.3 %
87	UT	8	0.3 %
88	NV	24	1.0 %
91	WA	46	2.0 %
92	OR	21	0.9 %
93	CA	227	9.9 %
94	AK	2	0.1 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 2,294 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 11.00
- Maximum: 95.00

Location: 1225-1226 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , -1

PPT01: Presence of Household Members - Children 0-1

Presence of Household Members - Children 0-1

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	2186	95.3 %
1	-	102	4.4 %
2	-	6	0.3 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,294 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 0.05
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 2.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.23

Location: 1227-1228 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , -1

PPT1317: Presence of Household Members - Children 13-17

Presence of Household Members - Children 13-17

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	1969	85.8 %
1	-	256	11.2 %
2	-	55	2.4 %
3	3 or more	14	0.6 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,294 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 0.18
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 3.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.48

Location: 1229-1229 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

PPT18OV: Presence of Household Members - Adults 18+

Presence of Household Members - Adults 18+

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	-	626	27.3 %
2	-	1137	49.6 %
3	-	321	14.0 %
4	-	144	6.3 %
5	5 or more	66	2.9 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,294 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 2.08
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 5.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.96

Location: 1230-1230 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

PPT25: Presence of Household Members - Children 2-5

Presence of Household Members - Children 2-5

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	2057	89.7 %
1	-	197	8.6 %
2	-	35	1.5 %
3	3 or more	5	0.2 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,294 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 0.12
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 3.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.39

Location: 1231-1231 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

PPT612: Presence of Household Members - Children 6-12

Presence of Household Members - Children 6-12

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	1947	84.9 %
1	-	232	10.1 %
2	-	99	4.3 %
3	3 or more	16	0.7 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,294 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Mean: 0.21
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 3.00
- Standard Deviation: 0.54

Location: 1232-1232 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

PPWORK: Current Employment Status

Current Employment Status

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Working - as a paid employee	1050	45.8 %
2	Working - self-employed	142	6.2 %
3	Not working - on temporary layoff from a job	29	1.3 %
4	Not working - looking for work	249	10.9 %
5	Not working - retired	482	21.0 %
6	Not working - disabled	194	8.5 %
7	Not working - other	148	6.5 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,294 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 1.00
- Maximum: 7.00

Location: 1233-1234 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -2 , -1

PPNET: HH Internet Access

HH Internet Access

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	507	22.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	1787	77.9 %
	Total	**2,294**	**100%**

Based upon 2,294 valid cases out of 2,294 total cases.

- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 1.00

Location: 1235-1235 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

Appendix C: Unweighted Data Frequencies

NOTE: Variables which indicate the order of questions/response categories are not included in the following frequency listing.

W1_CaseID Case ID from wave 1

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Value				
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	100.0

W2_CaseID2 CaseID from wave 2

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Value	1601	69.8	100.0	100.0
Missing	System	693	30.2		
Total		2294	100.0		

W1_tm_start Interview start time (GMT)

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Value				
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	100.0

W1_tm_finish Interview finish time (GMT)

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Value				
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	100.0

W1_weight1 Post-Stratification weight: Total respondents

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Value				
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	100.0

W1_weight2 Post-Stratification weight: Total African American and total non-African American

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Value				
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	100.0

W1_weight3 Post-Stratification weight: Total African American/non-African American by Male/Female

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Value				
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	100.0

W2_tm_start Interview start time (GMT)

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Value	1601	69.8	100.0	100.0
Missing	System	693	30.2		
Total		2294	100.0		

W2_tm_finish Interview finish time (GMT)

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Value	1601	69.8	100.0	100.0
Missing	System	693	30.2		
Total		2294	100.0		

W2_duration Interview duration in minutes

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Value	1601	69.8	100.0	100.0
Missing	System	693	30.2		
Total		2294	100.0		

W2_QFLAG DATA ONLY: Qualification Flag

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Value	1601	69.8	100.0	100.0
Missing	System	693	30.2		
Total		2294	100.0		

W2_weight1 Post-Stratification weight: Total respondents

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Value	1601	69.8	100.0	100.0
Missing	System	693	30.2		
Total		2294	100.0		

W2_weight2 Post-Stratification weight: Total African American and total non-African American respondents separately

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Value	1601	69.8	100.0	100.0
Missing	System	693	30.2		
Total		2294	100.0		

W2_weight3 Post-Stratification weight: Total African American/non-African American by Male/Female separately

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Value	1601	69.8	100.0	100.0
Missing	System	693	30.2		
Total		2294	100.0		

W1_A1 How interested are you in what's going on in government and politics?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	16	.7	.7	.7
	1 Extremely interested	389	17.0	17.0	17.7
	2 Very interested	679	29.6	29.6	47.3
	3 Moderately interested	658	28.7	28.7	75.9
	4 Slightly interested	354	15.4	15.4	91.4
	5 Not interested at all	198	8.6	8.6	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_A2 How much have you thought about the upcoming election for president?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	10	.4	.4	.4
	1 Quite a lot	910	39.7	39.7	40.1
	2 Somewhat a lot	599	26.1	26.1	66.2
	3 Somewhat a little	423	18.4	18.4	84.7
	4 Only a little	236	10.3	10.3	94.9
	5 Not at all	116	5.1	5.1	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_A4 In 2008, John McCain ran on the Republican ticket against Barack Obama who ran on the Democratic ticket. Do you remember whether or not you voted in that election?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	9	.4	.4	.4
	1 Yes, voted	1783	77.7	77.7	78.1
	2 No, didn't vote	502	21.9	21.9	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_A5A Who did you vote for?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	30	1.3	1.7	1.7
	1 John McCain	389	17.0	21.8	23.5
	2 Barack Obama	1338	58.3	75.0	98.5
	3 Other, please specify:	26	1.1	1.5	100.0
	Total	1783	77.7	100.0	
Missing	System	511	22.3		
Total		2294	100.0		

W1_A5A_Other_TEXT [Other] Who did you vote for?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid		2277	99.3	99.3	99.3
	Barr	1	.0	.0	99.3
	Chuck Baldwin	1	.0	.0	99.3
	green party	1	.0	.0	99.4
	hillary clinton	1	.0	.0	99.4
	I voted against Obama I wasn;t for anyone the choices were SORRY	1	.0	.0	99.5
	independent third party	1	.0	.0	99.5
	Mitt Romney	1	.0	.0	99.6
	nader	1	.0	.0	99.6
	neither	1	.0	.0	99.7
	no comment	1	.0	.0	99.7
	none of your damn business	1	.0	.0	99.7
	prefer not to say	1	.0	.0	99.8
	Private	1	.0	.0	99.8
	Ron Paul	2	.1	.1	99.9
	The Constitution Party. (Bob Barr)	1	.0	.0	100.0
	voted for all other offices, didn't think either of these candidates were qualified for President, wrote in "none of the above"	1	.0	.0	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_A5B Who would you have voted for?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	3	.1	.6	.6
	1 John McCain	70	3.1	13.9	14.5
	2 Barack Obama	283	12.3	56.4	70.9
	3 Other, please specify:	13	.6	2.6	73.5
	4 No one/Refused to vote for a candidate	133	5.8	26.5	100.0
	Total	502	21.9	100.0	
Missing	System	1792	78.1		
Total		2294	100.0		

W1_A5B_Other_TEXT [Other] Who would you have voted for?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid		2281	99.4	99.4	99.4
	Didn't know anything	1	.0	.0	99.5
	God	1	.0	.0	99.5
	I can't vote	1	.0	.0	99.6
	i don't vote	1	.0	.0	99.6
	i had to be 18	1	.0	.0	99.7
	independit party	1	.0	.0	99.7
	michael savage	1	.0	.0	99.7
	MICHEL OBAMA	1	.0	.0	99.8
	not sure	1	.0	.0	99.8
	ron paul	2	.1	.1	99.9
	Ron Paul	1	.0	.0	100.0
	spongebob	1	.0	.0	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_A10 How often, if ever, do you discuss politics with your family or friends?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	15	.7	.7	.7
	1 Never	349	15.2	15.2	15.9
	2 Once or twice a month	796	34.7	34.7	50.6
	3 Once or twice a week	557	24.3	24.3	74.8
	4 Three or four times a week	344	15.0	15.0	89.8
	5 Everyday	233	10.2	10.2	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_A11 How many days in the past week did you watch national news programs on television or on the Internet?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	11	.5	.5	.5
	1 None	516	22.5	22.5	23.0
	2 One day	264	11.5	11.5	34.5
	3 Two days	284	12.4	12.4	46.9
	4 Three days	245	10.7	10.7	57.5
	5 Four days	146	6.4	6.4	63.9
	6 Five days	242	10.5	10.5	74.5
	7 Six days	123	5.4	5.4	79.8
	8 Seven days	463	20.2	20.2	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_A12 Do you approve or disapprove of the way Barack Obama is handling his job as President?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	58	2.5	2.5	2.5
	1 Approve	1570	68.4	68.4	71.0
	2 Disapprove	666	29.0	29.0	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_A12A Do you [approve/disapprove] strongly or not strongly?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	23	1.0	1.0	1.0
	1 Strongly	1559	68.0	69.7	70.8
	2 Not Strongly	654	28.5	29.2	100.0
	Total	2236	97.5	100.0	
Missing	System	58	2.5		
Total		2294	100.0		

W1_B1 How much do government officials care what people like you think?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	24	1.0	1.0	1.0
	1 A great deal	83	3.6	3.6	4.7
	2 A lot	203	8.8	8.8	13.5
	3 A moderate amount	672	29.3	29.3	42.8
	4 A little	780	34.0	34.0	76.8
	5 Not at all	532	23.2	23.2	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_B2 How much can people like you affect what the government does?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	35	1.5	1.5	1.5
	1 A great deal	245	10.7	10.7	12.2
	2 A lot	386	16.8	16.8	29.0
	3 A moderate amount	631	27.5	27.5	56.5
	4 A little	686	29.9	29.9	86.4
	5 Not at all	311	13.6	13.6	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_B3 How often does the federal government do what most Americans want it to do?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	35	1.5	1.5	1.5
	1 Always	19	.8	.8	2.4
	2 Most of the time	156	6.8	6.8	9.2
	3 About half the time	712	31.0	31.0	40.2
	4 Once in a while	1133	49.4	49.4	89.6
	5 Never	239	10.4	10.4	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_B4 Generally speaking, how angry do you feel about the way things are going in the country these days?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	27	1.2	1.2	1.2
	1 Extremely angry	243	10.6	10.6	11.8
	2 Very angry	437	19.0	19.0	30.8
	3 Somewhat angry	731	31.9	31.9	62.7
	4 A little angry	555	24.2	24.2	86.9
	5 Not angry at all	301	13.1	13.1	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_C1 Generally speaking, do you usually think of yourself as a Democrat, a Republican, an Independent, or something else?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	49	2.1	2.1	2.1
	1 Republican	331	14.4	14.4	16.6
	2 Democrat	1251	54.5	54.5	71.1
	3 Independent	555	24.2	24.2	95.3
	4 Something else, please specify	108	4.7	4.7	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_C1_Other_TEXT [Other] Generally speaking, do you usually think of yourself as a Democrat, a Republican, an Independent, or something else?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Response	107	4.7	100.0	100.0
Missing	System	2187	95.3		
	Total	2294	100.0		

W1_C1B Would you call yourself a strong [Democrat/Republican] or a not very strong [Democrat/Republican]?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	10	.4	.6	.6
	1 Strong	996	43.4	63.0	63.6
	2 Not very strong	576	25.1	36.4	100.0
	Total	1582	69.0	100.0	
Missing	System	712	31.0		
Total		2294	100.0		

W1_C1C Do you think of yourself as closer to the Republican Party or to the Democratic Party?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	2	.1	.3	.3
	1 Closer to the Republican Party	158	6.9	23.8	24.1
	2 Closer to the Democratic Party	209	9.1	31.5	55.7
	3 Neither	294	12.8	44.3	100.0
	Total	663	28.9	100.0	
Missing	System	1631	71.1		
Total		2294	100.0		

W1_C2 We hear a lot of talk these days about liberals and conservatives. Where would you place YOURSELF on this 7 point scale?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	60	2.6	2.6	2.6
	1 Extremely liberal	75	3.3	3.3	5.9
	2 Liberal	312	13.6	13.6	19.5
	3 Slightly liberal	286	12.5	12.5	32.0
	4 Moderate; middle of the road	874	38.1	38.1	70.1
	5 Slightly conservative	297	12.9	12.9	83.0
	6 Conservative	311	13.6	13.6	96.6
	7 Extremely conservative	79	3.4	3.4	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_D1 [Barack Obama] How would you rate:

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1	48	2.1	2.1	2.1
	0	211	9.2	9.2	11.3
	3	1	.0	.0	11.3
	4	1	.0	.0	11.4
	5	5	.2	.2	11.6
	7	3	.1	.1	11.7
	10	11	.5	.5	12.2
	15	113	4.9	4.9	17.1
	20	5	.2	.2	17.3
	22	1	.0	.0	17.4
	25	5	.2	.2	17.6
	27	1	.0	.0	17.7
	30	92	4.0	4.0	21.7
	32	1	.0	.0	21.7
	35	2	.1	.1	21.8
	36	1	.0	.0	21.8
	38	1	.0	.0	21.9
	39	1	.0	.0	21.9
	40	88	3.8	3.8	25.8
	45	5	.2	.2	26.0
	47	1	.0	.0	26.0
	48	1	.0	.0	26.1
	50	70	3.1	3.1	29.1
	55	6	.3	.3	29.4
	56	1	.0	.0	29.4
	60	135	5.9	5.9	35.3
	65	9	.4	.4	35.7
	68	1	.0	.0	35.7
	69	2	.1	.1	35.8
	70	236	10.3	10.3	46.1
	73	1	.0	.0	46.2
	75	31	1.4	1.4	47.5
	76	1	.0	.0	47.6
	78	1	.0	.0	47.6
	79	2	.1	.1	47.7
	80	31	1.4	1.4	49.0
	84	1	.0	.0	49.1
	85	383	16.7	16.7	65.8
	86	2	.1	.1	65.9
	87	2	.1	.1	66.0
	88	7	.3	.3	66.3

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
	89	8	.3	.3	66.6
	90	78	3.4	3.4	70.0
	92	1	.0	.0	70.1
	95	38	1.7	1.7	71.7
	96	2	.1	.1	71.8
	98	3	.1	.1	71.9
	99	3	.1	.1	72.1
	100	585	25.5	25.5	97.6
	998 Don't recognize	56	2.4	2.4	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_D2 [Mitt Romney] How would you rate:

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1	53	2.3	2.3	2.3
	0	630	27.5	27.5	29.8
	1	4	.2	.2	29.9
	2	8	.3	.3	30.3
	3	3	.1	.1	30.4
	4	3	.1	.1	30.6
	5	13	.6	.6	31.1
	7	2	.1	.1	31.2
	8	2	.1	.1	31.3
	10	16	.7	.7	32.0
	11	1	.0	.0	32.0
	12	2	.1	.1	32.1
	15	282	12.3	12.3	44.4
	18	1	.0	.0	44.5
	20	21	.9	.9	45.4
	22	2	.1	.1	45.5
	23	1	.0	.0	45.5
	25	12	.5	.5	46.0
	29	1	.0	.0	46.1
	30	182	7.9	7.9	54.0
	35	12	.5	.5	54.5
	37	1	.0	.0	54.6
	38	1	.0	.0	54.6
	39	2	.1	.1	54.7
	40	164	7.1	7.1	61.9
	45	16	.7	.7	62.6
	46	1	.0	.0	62.6
	48	1	.0	.0	62.6
	50	232	10.1	10.1	72.8
	54	1	.0	.0	72.8
	55	11	.5	.5	73.3

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
60	136	5.9	5.9	79.2
62	1	.0	.0	79.3
65	11	.5	.5	79.7
68	1	.0	.0	79.8
69	1	.0	.0	79.8
70	118	5.1	5.1	85.0
74	1	.0	.0	85.0
75	15	.7	.7	85.7
80	10	.4	.4	86.1
82	1	.0	.0	86.1
83	1	.0	.0	86.2
85	109	4.8	4.8	90.9
86	1	.0	.0	91.0
90	18	.8	.8	91.8
93	1	.0	.0	91.8
95	4	.2	.2	92.0
97	1	.0	.0	92.0
100	38	1.7	1.7	93.7
998 Don't recognize	145	6.3	6.3	100.0
Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_D3 [Michelle Obama] How would you rate:

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1	49	2.1	2.1	2.1
	0	148	6.5	6.5	8.6
	1	2	.1	.1	8.7
	2	1	.0	.0	8.7
	3	1	.0	.0	8.8
	4	1	.0	.0	8.8
	5	3	.1	.1	8.9
	7	2	.1	.1	9.0
	10	9	.4	.4	9.4
	12	1	.0	.0	9.5
	15	69	3.0	3.0	12.5
	16	2	.1	.1	12.6
	20	1	.0	.0	12.6
	21	1	.0	.0	12.6
	25	4	.2	.2	12.8
	26	1	.0	.0	12.9
	30	60	2.6	2.6	15.5
	35	3	.1	.1	15.6
	37	1	.0	.0	15.6
	40	63	2.7	2.7	18.4
	45	4	.2	.2	18.6

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
46	1	.0	.0	18.6
48	1	.0	.0	18.7
50	156	6.8	6.8	25.5
55	7	.3	.3	25.8
58	1	.0	.0	25.8
60	113	4.9	4.9	30.7
65	7	.3	.3	31.0
66	1	.0	.0	31.1
69	1	.0	.0	31.1
70	151	6.6	6.6	37.7
75	17	.7	.7	38.4
76	1	.0	.0	38.5
78	2	.1	.1	38.6
79	3	.1	.1	38.7
80	45	2.0	2.0	40.7
85	341	14.9	14.9	55.5
86	8	.3	.3	55.9
87	1	.0	.0	55.9
88	1	.0	.0	56.0
89	1	.0	.0	56.0
90	69	3.0	3.0	59.0
91	1	.0	.0	59.1
92	2	.1	.1	59.2
93	1	.0	.0	59.2
94	1	.0	.0	59.2
95	53	2.3	2.3	61.6
96	5	.2	.2	61.8
98	4	.2	.2	61.9
99	5	.2	.2	62.2
100	817	35.6	35.6	97.8
998 Don't recognize	51	2.2	2.2	100.0
Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_D4 [Ann Romney] How would you rate:

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1	51	2.2	2.2	2.2
	0	335	14.6	14.6	16.8
	1	2	.1	.1	16.9
	2	5	.2	.2	17.1
	5	6	.3	.3	17.4
	10	23	1.0	1.0	18.4
	12	1	.0	.0	18.4
	14	1	.0	.0	18.5
	15	165	7.2	7.2	25.7

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
19	1	.0	.0	25.7
20	19	.8	.8	26.5
21	1	.0	.0	26.6
23	1	.0	.0	26.6
25	10	.4	.4	27.1
30	105	4.6	4.6	31.6
35	5	.2	.2	31.9
37	1	.0	.0	31.9
38	1	.0	.0	32.0
40	112	4.9	4.9	36.8
42	1	.0	.0	36.9
45	12	.5	.5	37.4
49	1	.0	.0	37.4
50	598	26.1	26.1	63.5
54	1	.0	.0	63.6
55	4	.2	.2	63.7
58	1	.0	.0	63.8
60	116	5.1	5.1	68.8
65	6	.3	.3	69.1
70	113	4.9	4.9	74.0
74	1	.0	.0	74.1
75	9	.4	.4	74.5
76	1	.0	.0	74.5
77	1	.0	.0	74.5
79	3	.1	.1	74.7
80	16	.7	.7	75.4
85	85	3.7	3.7	79.1
87	1	.0	.0	79.1
89	1	.0	.0	79.2
90	9	.4	.4	79.6
99	1	.0	.0	79.6
100	43	1.9	1.9	81.5
998 Don't recognize	425	18.5	18.5	100.0
Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_D5 [Clarence Thomas] How would you rate:

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1	53	2.3	2.3	2.3
	0	332	14.5	14.5	16.8
	1	2	.1	.1	16.9
	2	3	.1	.1	17.0
	3	1	.0	.0	17.0
	4	4	.2	.2	17.2
	5	9	.4	.4	17.6

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
6	2	.1	.1	17.7
7	1	.0	.0	17.7
8	2	.1	.1	17.8
9	3	.1	.1	18.0
10	21	.9	.9	18.9
12	3	.1	.1	19.0
13	2	.1	.1	19.1
15	183	8.0	8.0	27.1
16	1	.0	.0	27.1
19	1	.0	.0	27.2
20	14	.6	.6	27.8
25	8	.3	.3	28.1
29	1	.0	.0	28.2
30	154	6.7	6.7	34.9
34	2	.1	.1	35.0
35	6	.3	.3	35.2
40	146	6.4	6.4	41.6
45	9	.4	.4	42.0
50	416	18.1	18.1	60.1
51	1	.0	.0	60.2
55	7	.3	.3	60.5
60	119	5.2	5.2	65.6
61	1	.0	.0	65.7
65	12	.5	.5	66.2
69	1	.0	.0	66.3
70	144	6.3	6.3	72.5
75	13	.6	.6	73.1
78	1	.0	.0	73.1
80	11	.5	.5	73.6
85	63	2.7	2.7	76.4
86	1	.0	.0	76.4
90	5	.2	.2	76.6
95	1	.0	.0	76.7
99	1	.0	.0	76.7
100	41	1.8	1.8	78.5
998 Don't recognize	493	21.5	21.5	100.0
Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_D6 [Paul Ryan] How would you rate:

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1	49	2.1	2.1	2.1
	0	433	18.9	18.9	21.0
	1	4	.2	.2	21.2
	2	6	.3	.3	21.4

3	3	.1	.1	21.6
4	2	.1	.1	21.7
5	10	.4	.4	22.1
6	2	.1	.1	22.2
8	1	.0	.0	22.2
10	23	1.0	1.0	23.2
12	1	.0	.0	23.3
14	1	.0	.0	23.3
15	202	8.8	8.8	32.1
17	1	.0	.0	32.2
19	1	.0	.0	32.2
20	13	.6	.6	32.8
21	1	.0	.0	32.8
23	2	.1	.1	32.9
25	14	.6	.6	33.5
30	131	5.7	5.7	39.2
32	1	.0	.0	39.3
33	1	.0	.0	39.3
34	1	.0	.0	39.4
35	5	.2	.2	39.6
37	2	.1	.1	39.7
39	1	.0	.0	39.7
40	115	5.0	5.0	44.7
45	5	.2	.2	44.9
50	318	13.9	13.9	58.8
55	5	.2	.2	59.0
59	1	.0	.0	59.1
60	89	3.9	3.9	62.9
62	1	.0	.0	63.0
64	1	.0	.0	63.0
65	6	.3	.3	63.3
69	1	.0	.0	63.3
70	87	3.8	3.8	67.1
72	1	.0	.0	67.2
75	7	.3	.3	67.5
77	1	.0	.0	67.5
79	1	.0	.0	67.6
80	8	.3	.3	67.9
81	1	.0	.0	68.0
85	109	4.8	4.8	72.7
90	16	.7	.7	73.4
95	8	.3	.3	73.8
99	1	.0	.0	73.8
100	54	2.4	2.4	76.2
998 Don't recognize	547	23.8	23.8	100.0

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_D7 [Condoleezza Rice] How would you rate:

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1	52	2.3	2.3	2.3
	0	105	4.6	4.6	6.8
	1	1	.0	.0	6.9
	2	3	.1	.1	7.0
	3	2	.1	.1	7.1
	4	3	.1	.1	7.2
	5	2	.1	.1	7.3
	7	3	.1	.1	7.5
	10	11	.5	.5	7.9
	15	117	5.1	5.1	13.0
	16	3	.1	.1	13.2
	17	1	.0	.0	13.2
	20	9	.4	.4	13.6
	23	1	.0	.0	13.6
	25	8	.3	.3	14.0
	30	113	4.9	4.9	18.9
	33	1	.0	.0	19.0
	35	6	.3	.3	19.2
	39	2	.1	.1	19.3
	40	139	6.1	6.1	25.4
	45	8	.3	.3	25.7
	46	1	.0	.0	25.8
	47	1	.0	.0	25.8
	48	1	.0	.0	25.9
	49	2	.1	.1	25.9
	50	383	16.7	16.7	42.6
	51	3	.1	.1	42.8
	52	2	.1	.1	42.9
	54	1	.0	.0	42.9
	55	8	.3	.3	43.2
	57	1	.0	.0	43.3
	60	271	11.8	11.8	55.1
	65	19	.8	.8	55.9
	66	1	.0	.0	56.0
	70	275	12.0	12.0	68.0
	71	1	.0	.0	68.0
	74	1	.0	.0	68.0
	75	32	1.4	1.4	69.4
	78	2	.1	.1	69.5
	79	1	.0	.0	69.6

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
80	50	2.2	2.2	71.8
82	1	.0	.0	71.8
85	255	11.1	11.1	82.9
87	2	.1	.1	83.0
89	1	.0	.0	83.0
90	22	1.0	1.0	84.0
92	1	.0	.0	84.0
95	11	.5	.5	84.5
97	1	.0	.0	84.6
98	1	.0	.0	84.6
100	117	5.1	5.1	89.7
998 Don't recognize	236	10.3	10.3	100.0
Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_D8 [Sarah Palin] How would you rate:

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1	50	2.2	2.2	2.2
	0	805	35.1	35.1	37.3
	1	7	.3	.3	37.6
	2	6	.3	.3	37.8
	3	3	.1	.1	38.0
	4	3	.1	.1	38.1
	5	12	.5	.5	38.6
	6	3	.1	.1	38.8
	7	1	.0	.0	38.8
	8	2	.1	.1	38.9
	10	31	1.4	1.4	40.2
	11	1	.0	.0	40.3
	12	3	.1	.1	40.4
	14	1	.0	.0	40.5
	15	256	11.2	11.2	51.6
	18	1	.0	.0	51.7
	20	15	.7	.7	52.3
	22	2	.1	.1	52.4
	25	12	.5	.5	52.9
	27	1	.0	.0	53.0
	30	145	6.3	6.3	59.3
	32	1	.0	.0	59.3
	33	1	.0	.0	59.4
	34	2	.1	.1	59.5
	35	8	.3	.3	59.8
	36	1	.0	.0	59.9
	39	1	.0	.0	59.9
	40	156	6.8	6.8	66.7

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
45	7	.3	.3	67.0
47	1	.0	.0	67.0
50	254	11.1	11.1	78.1
51	1	.0	.0	78.2
55	11	.5	.5	78.6
60	112	4.9	4.9	83.5
61	1	.0	.0	83.6
62	1	.0	.0	83.6
65	13	.6	.6	84.2
70	94	4.1	4.1	88.3
74	1	.0	.0	88.3
75	12	.5	.5	88.8
80	12	.5	.5	89.4
85	70	3.1	3.1	92.4
90	7	.3	.3	92.7
95	2	.1	.1	92.8
99	1	.0	.0	92.9
100	48	2.1	2.1	94.9
998 Don't recognize	116	5.1	5.1	100.0
Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_D9 [Hillary Clinton] How would you rate:

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1	51	2.2	2.2	2.2
	0	108	4.7	4.7	6.9
	2	3	.1	.1	7.1
	3	2	.1	.1	7.1
	4	2	.1	.1	7.2
	5	7	.3	.3	7.5
	6	1	.0	.0	7.6
	7	1	.0	.0	7.6
	10	8	.3	.3	8.0
	12	1	.0	.0	8.0
	15	94	4.1	4.1	12.1
	20	7	.3	.3	12.4
	22	1	.0	.0	12.5
	25	7	.3	.3	12.8
	29	1	.0	.0	12.8
	30	78	3.4	3.4	16.2
	35	5	.2	.2	16.4
	36	1	.0	.0	16.5
	37	1	.0	.0	16.5
	38	1	.0	.0	16.6
	40	94	4.1	4.1	20.7

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
	45	4	.2	.2	20.8
	50	188	8.2	8.2	29.0
	55	11	.5	.5	29.5
	57	1	.0	.0	29.6
	58	1	.0	.0	29.6
	59	2	.1	.1	29.7
	60	194	8.5	8.5	38.1
	62	3	.1	.1	38.3
	65	21	.9	.9	39.2
	68	1	.0	.0	39.2
	69	3	.1	.1	39.4
	70	314	13.7	13.7	53.1
	72	2	.1	.1	53.1
	74	1	.0	.0	53.2
	75	48	2.1	2.1	55.3
	76	1	.0	.0	55.3
	79	2	.1	.1	55.4
	80	51	2.2	2.2	57.6
	85	456	19.9	19.9	77.5
	87	3	.1	.1	77.6
	88	1	.0	.0	77.7
	89	2	.1	.1	77.8
	90	63	2.7	2.7	80.5
	91	1	.0	.0	80.6
	92	1	.0	.0	80.6
	93	1	.0	.0	80.6
	94	3	.1	.1	80.8
	95	27	1.2	1.2	82.0
	96	1	.0	.0	82.0
	97	1	.0	.0	82.0
	98	3	.1	.1	82.2
	99	4	.2	.2	82.3
	100	343	15.0	15.0	97.3
	998 Don't recognize	62	2.7	2.7	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_D10 [Jesse Jackson] How would you rate:

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1	59	2.6	2.6	2.6
	0	309	13.5	13.5	16.0
	1	5	.2	.2	16.3
	2	3	.1	.1	16.4
	3	2	.1	.1	16.5
	5	11	.5	.5	17.0

6	1	.0	.0	17.0
7	1	.0	.0	17.0
9	2	.1	.1	17.1
10	17	.7	.7	17.9
12	1	.0	.0	17.9
13	1	.0	.0	18.0
15	178	7.8	7.8	25.7
18	1	.0	.0	25.8
20	11	.5	.5	26.2
22	2	.1	.1	26.3
25	7	.3	.3	26.6
30	148	6.5	6.5	33.1
35	2	.1	.1	33.2
40	135	5.9	5.9	39.1
44	2	.1	.1	39.1
45	12	.5	.5	39.7
46	1	.0	.0	39.7
48	3	.1	.1	39.8
49	2	.1	.1	39.9
50	383	16.7	16.7	56.6
51	1	.0	.0	56.7
53	1	.0	.0	56.7
55	14	.6	.6	57.3
56	1	.0	.0	57.4
59	1	.0	.0	57.4
60	214	9.3	9.3	66.7
63	1	.0	.0	66.8
64	1	.0	.0	66.8
65	14	.6	.6	67.4
66	1	.0	.0	67.5
67	3	.1	.1	67.6
70	253	11.0	11.0	78.6
72	1	.0	.0	78.7
73	1	.0	.0	78.7
75	27	1.2	1.2	79.9
78	1	.0	.0	79.9
79	2	.1	.1	80.0
80	30	1.3	1.3	81.3
83	1	.0	.0	81.4
85	170	7.4	7.4	88.8
86	4	.2	.2	89.0
87	1	.0	.0	89.0
88	2	.1	.1	89.1
90	8	.3	.3	89.5
93	1	.0	.0	89.5

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
	95	3	.1	.1	89.6
	96	1	.0	.0	89.7
	98	1	.0	.0	89.7
	99	1	.0	.0	89.8
	100	105	4.6	4.6	94.3
	998 Don't recognize	130	5.7	5.7	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_D11 [The Republican Party] How would you rate:

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1	67	2.9	2.9	2.9
	0	562	24.5	24.5	27.4
	1	5	.2	.2	27.6
	2	3	.1	.1	27.8
	3	4	.2	.2	27.9
	4	1	.0	.0	28.0
	5	18	.8	.8	28.8
	7	1	.0	.0	28.8
	8	1	.0	.0	28.9
	9	1	.0	.0	28.9
	10	33	1.4	1.4	30.3
	14	1	.0	.0	30.4
	15	255	11.1	11.1	41.5
	16	1	.0	.0	41.5
	20	22	1.0	1.0	42.5
	21	1	.0	.0	42.5
	25	14	.6	.6	43.2
	27	1	.0	.0	43.2
	28	1	.0	.0	43.2
	29	1	.0	.0	43.3
	30	172	7.5	7.5	50.8
	33	1	.0	.0	50.8
	34	2	.1	.1	50.9
	35	8	.3	.3	51.3
	36	1	.0	.0	51.3
	38	4	.2	.2	51.5
	40	193	8.4	8.4	59.9
	42	2	.1	.1	60.0
	44	2	.1	.1	60.1
	45	15	.7	.7	60.7
	47	1	.0	.0	60.8
	48	1	.0	.0	60.8
	50	284	12.4	12.4	73.2
	51	2	.1	.1	73.3

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
54	1	.0	.0	73.3
55	10	.4	.4	73.8
60	176	7.7	7.7	81.4
62	1	.0	.0	81.5
65	10	.4	.4	81.9
68	1	.0	.0	82.0
70	160	7.0	7.0	88.9
75	21	.9	.9	89.8
78	1	.0	.0	89.9
80	14	.6	.6	90.5
82	1	.0	.0	90.5
85	72	3.1	3.1	93.7
90	9	.4	.4	94.1
95	2	.1	.1	94.2
99	1	.0	.0	94.2
100	22	1.0	1.0	95.2
998 Don't recognize	111	4.8	4.8	100.0
Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_D12 [The Democratic Party] How would you rate:

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1	61	2.7	2.7	2.7
	0	135	5.9	5.9	8.5
	1	3	.1	.1	8.7
	2	1	.0	.0	8.7
	4	1	.0	.0	8.8
	5	8	.3	.3	9.1
	7	3	.1	.1	9.2
	10	12	.5	.5	9.8
	12	1	.0	.0	9.8
	15	114	5.0	5.0	14.8
	20	14	.6	.6	15.4
	21	1	.0	.0	15.4
	25	5	.2	.2	15.6
	29	2	.1	.1	15.7
	30	103	4.5	4.5	20.2
	34	1	.0	.0	20.3
	35	3	.1	.1	20.4
	36	1	.0	.0	20.4
	40	130	5.7	5.7	26.1
	44	1	.0	.0	26.2
	45	12	.5	.5	26.7
	46	1	.0	.0	26.7
	50	205	8.9	8.9	35.7

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
51	4	.2	.2	35.8
55	14	.6	.6	36.4
56	1	.0	.0	36.5
57	1	.0	.0	36.5
60	243	10.6	10.6	47.1
62	1	.0	.0	47.2
63	1	.0	.0	47.2
65	18	.8	.8	48.0
67	1	.0	.0	48.0
68	1	.0	.0	48.1
69	1	.0	.0	48.1
70	283	12.3	12.3	60.5
73	1	.0	.0	60.5
75	50	2.2	2.2	62.7
76	1	.0	.0	62.7
77	2	.1	.1	62.8
79	2	.1	.1	62.9
80	62	2.7	2.7	65.6
84	2	.1	.1	65.7
85	330	14.4	14.4	80.1
86	1	.0	.0	80.1
87	2	.1	.1	80.2
88	1	.0	.0	80.3
90	32	1.4	1.4	81.6
91	2	.1	.1	81.7
92	1	.0	.0	81.8
94	1	.0	.0	81.8
95	20	.9	.9	82.7
97	1	.0	.0	82.7
99	2	.1	.1	82.8
100	306	13.3	13.3	96.2
998 Don't recognize	88	3.8	3.8	100.0
Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_D13 [Socialists] How would you rate:

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1	72	3.1	3.1	3.1
	0	406	17.7	17.7	20.8
	1	4	.2	.2	21.0
	2	2	.1	.1	21.1
	3	1	.0	.0	21.1
	5	5	.2	.2	21.4
	6	1	.0	.0	21.4
	7	1	.0	.0	21.4

9	1	.0	.0	21.5
10	23	1.0	1.0	22.5
13	1	.0	.0	22.5
15	185	8.1	8.1	30.6
20	22	1.0	1.0	31.6
21	1	.0	.0	31.6
22	1	.0	.0	31.6
25	10	.4	.4	32.1
30	111	4.8	4.8	36.9
33	1	.0	.0	37.0
35	8	.3	.3	37.3
38	1	.0	.0	37.4
40	129	5.6	5.6	43.0
45	9	.4	.4	43.4
47	1	.0	.0	43.4
50	554	24.1	24.1	67.6
51	1	.0	.0	67.6
55	12	.5	.5	68.1
60	101	4.4	4.4	72.5
62	1	.0	.0	72.6
64	1	.0	.0	72.6
65	9	.4	.4	73.0
69	1	.0	.0	73.1
70	80	3.5	3.5	76.5
71	1	.0	.0	76.6
75	6	.3	.3	76.9
77	1	.0	.0	76.9
78	2	.1	.1	77.0
79	1	.0	.0	77.0
80	11	.5	.5	77.5
82	1	.0	.0	77.6
84	1	.0	.0	77.6
85	37	1.6	1.6	79.2
87	1	.0	.0	79.3
89	1	.0	.0	79.3
90	5	.2	.2	79.5
92	1	.0	.0	79.6
95	2	.1	.1	79.6
100	16	.7	.7	80.3
998 Don't recognize	451	19.7	19.7	100.0
Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_D14 [Libertarians] How would you rate:

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1	73	3.2	3.2	3.2
	0	191	8.3	8.3	11.5
	1	1	.0	.0	11.6
	2	2	.1	.1	11.6
	3	1	.0	.0	11.7
	4	2	.1	.1	11.8
	5	5	.2	.2	12.0
	6	2	.1	.1	12.1
	7	1	.0	.0	12.1
	10	17	.7	.7	12.9
	13	1	.0	.0	12.9
	15	126	5.5	5.5	18.4
	16	1	.0	.0	18.4
	19	1	.0	.0	18.5
	20	18	.8	.8	19.3
	21	1	.0	.0	19.3
	25	18	.8	.8	20.1
	30	116	5.1	5.1	25.2
	32	1	.0	.0	25.2
	33	3	.1	.1	25.3
	35	9	.4	.4	25.7
	36	1	.0	.0	25.8
	38	1	.0	.0	25.8
	40	123	5.4	5.4	31.2
	43	1	.0	.0	31.2
	44	1	.0	.0	31.3
	45	15	.7	.7	31.9
	48	1	.0	.0	32.0
	50	621	27.1	27.1	59.0
	51	4	.2	.2	59.2
	52	1	.0	.0	59.2
	55	16	.7	.7	59.9
	56	1	.0	.0	60.0
	60	162	7.1	7.1	67.0
	65	6	.3	.3	67.3
	66	3	.1	.1	67.4
	69	1	.0	.0	67.5
	70	121	5.3	5.3	72.8
	74	1	.0	.0	72.8
	75	17	.7	.7	73.5
	76	1	.0	.0	73.6

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
	80	14	.6	.6	74.2
	85	54	2.4	2.4	76.5
	86	1	.0	.0	76.6
	90	7	.3	.3	76.9
	95	1	.0	.0	76.9
	98	1	.0	.0	77.0
	100	26	1.1	1.1	78.1
	998 Don't recognize	502	21.9	21.9	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_D15 [Tea Party Activists] How would you rate:

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1	66	2.9	2.9	2.9
	0	638	27.8	27.8	30.7
	1	3	.1	.1	30.8
	2	3	.1	.1	31.0
	3	2	.1	.1	31.0
	4	3	.1	.1	31.2
	5	13	.6	.6	31.7
	7	2	.1	.1	31.8
	9	1	.0	.0	31.9
	10	27	1.2	1.2	33.0
	13	1	.0	.0	33.1
	14	1	.0	.0	33.1
	15	197	8.6	8.6	41.7
	16	1	.0	.0	41.8
	20	20	.9	.9	42.6
	22	1	.0	.0	42.7
	23	2	.1	.1	42.8
	25	9	.4	.4	43.2
	30	129	5.6	5.6	48.8
	31	1	.0	.0	48.8
	32	1	.0	.0	48.9
	34	1	.0	.0	48.9
	35	9	.4	.4	49.3
	37	1	.0	.0	49.3
	40	117	5.1	5.1	54.4
	41	1	.0	.0	54.5
	42	2	.1	.1	54.6
	44	2	.1	.1	54.7
	45	12	.5	.5	55.2
	47	3	.1	.1	55.3
	48	1	.0	.0	55.4
	49	1	.0	.0	55.4

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
50	352	15.3	15.3	70.7
52	1	.0	.0	70.8
55	10	.4	.4	71.2
60	115	5.0	5.0	76.2
65	8	.3	.3	76.6
68	1	.0	.0	76.6
70	89	3.9	3.9	80.5
75	10	.4	.4	81.0
76	1	.0	.0	81.0
77	1	.0	.0	81.0
80	11	.5	.5	81.5
85	67	2.9	2.9	84.4
86	1	.0	.0	84.5
87	2	.1	.1	84.6
90	4	.2	.2	84.7
95	6	.3	.3	85.0
99	2	.1	.1	85.1
100	47	2.0	2.0	87.1
998 Don't recognize	295	12.9	12.9	100.0
Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_D16 [Occupy Wall Street Activists] How would you rate:

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1	76	3.3	3.3	3.3
	0	338	14.7	14.7	18.0
	1	3	.1	.1	18.2
	2	3	.1	.1	18.3
	3	1	.0	.0	18.4
	4	5	.2	.2	18.6
	5	12	.5	.5	19.1
	6	1	.0	.0	19.1
	7	1	.0	.0	19.2
	9	1	.0	.0	19.2
	10	13	.6	.6	19.8
	15	142	6.2	6.2	26.0
	16	1	.0	.0	26.0
	20	17	.7	.7	26.8
	25	9	.4	.4	27.2
	30	109	4.8	4.8	31.9
	33	1	.0	.0	32.0
	35	6	.3	.3	32.2
	40	132	5.8	5.8	38.0
	44	1	.0	.0	38.0
	45	10	.4	.4	38.4

	Frequency		Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
47	1	.0	.0	38.5
49	1	.0	.0	38.5
50	390	17.0	17.0	55.5
51	2	.1	.1	55.6
55	15	.7	.7	56.3
56	1	.0	.0	56.3
60	181	7.9	7.9	64.2
64	1	.0	.0	64.3
65	15	.7	.7	64.9
67	1	.0	.0	65.0
68	2	.1	.1	65.0
69	1	.0	.0	65.1
70	142	6.2	6.2	71.3
71	1	.0	.0	71.3
73	1	.0	.0	71.4
75	27	1.2	1.2	72.5
76	2	.1	.1	72.6
77	1	.0	.0	72.7
78	2	.1	.1	72.8
79	1	.0	.0	72.8
80	28	1.2	1.2	74.0
81	1	.0	.0	74.1
82	1	.0	.0	74.1
85	113	4.9	4.9	79.0
86	1	.0	.0	79.1
87	1	.0	.0	79.1
88	1	.0	.0	79.2
90	18	.8	.8	79.9
95	5	.2	.2	80.2
99	1	.0	.0	80.2
100	60	2.6	2.6	82.8
998 Don't recognize	394	17.2	17.2	100.0
Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_D17 [Black Nationalists] How would you rate:

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1	78	3.4	3.4	3.4
	0	203	8.8	8.8	12.2
	1	1	.0	.0	12.3
	2	1	.0	.0	12.3
	3	1	.0	.0	12.4
	5	9	.4	.4	12.8
	7	2	.1	.1	12.9
	9	2	.1	.1	12.9

10	12	.5	.5	13.5
15	88	3.8	3.8	17.3
20	11	.5	.5	17.8
21	1	.0	.0	17.8
25	5	.2	.2	18.0
30	76	3.3	3.3	21.4
35	7	.3	.3	21.7
36	1	.0	.0	21.7
40	66	2.9	2.9	24.6
43	1	.0	.0	24.6
45	7	.3	.3	24.9
49	1	.0	.0	25.0
50	436	19.0	19.0	44.0
51	2	.1	.1	44.1
53	1	.0	.0	44.1
55	10	.4	.4	44.6
57	1	.0	.0	44.6
58	2	.1	.1	44.7
59	1	.0	.0	44.7
60	105	4.6	4.6	49.3
65	8	.3	.3	49.7
66	1	.0	.0	49.7
67	1	.0	.0	49.7
69	1	.0	.0	49.8
70	121	5.3	5.3	55.1
74	1	.0	.0	55.1
75	13	.6	.6	55.7
78	2	.1	.1	55.8
80	23	1.0	1.0	56.8
83	1	.0	.0	56.8
85	105	4.6	4.6	61.4
87	1	.0	.0	61.4
88	2	.1	.1	61.5
90	8	.3	.3	61.9
93	1	.0	.0	61.9
95	2	.1	.1	62.0
100	85	3.7	3.7	65.7
998 Don't recognize	787	34.3	34.3	100.0
Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_D18 [Feminists] How would you rate:

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1	75	3.3	3.3	3.3
	0	180	7.8	7.8	11.1
	1	2	.1	.1	11.2
	2	4	.2	.2	11.4
	5	3	.1	.1	11.5
	6	2	.1	.1	11.6
	7	1	.0	.0	11.6
	9	2	.1	.1	11.7
	10	12	.5	.5	12.2
	12	1	.0	.0	12.3
	15	109	4.8	4.8	17.0
	17	1	.0	.0	17.1
	19	1	.0	.0	17.1
	20	18	.8	.8	17.9
	22	1	.0	.0	18.0
	25	9	.4	.4	18.4
	30	95	4.1	4.1	22.5
	35	7	.3	.3	22.8
	36	1	.0	.0	22.8
	40	119	5.2	5.2	28.0
	41	1	.0	.0	28.1
	44	1	.0	.0	28.1
	45	13	.6	.6	28.7
	49	1	.0	.0	28.7
	50	558	24.3	24.3	53.1
	51	1	.0	.0	53.1
	52	1	.0	.0	53.1
	55	15	.7	.7	53.8
	56	1	.0	.0	53.8
	58	1	.0	.0	53.9
	59	2	.1	.1	54.0
	60	227	9.9	9.9	63.9
	63	1	.0	.0	63.9
	64	1	.0	.0	63.9
	65	14	.6	.6	64.6
	66	1	.0	.0	64.6
	67	1	.0	.0	64.6
	70	216	9.4	9.4	74.1
	75	35	1.5	1.5	75.6
	76	1	.0	.0	75.6
	78	2	.1	.1	75.7

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
	79	1	.0	.0	75.8
	80	35	1.5	1.5	77.3
	82	1	.0	.0	77.3
	85	157	6.8	6.8	84.2
	87	1	.0	.0	84.2
	89	2	.1	.1	84.3
	90	19	.8	.8	85.1
	95	7	.3	.3	85.4
	98	1	.0	.0	85.5
	99	3	.1	.1	85.6
	100	76	3.3	3.3	88.9
	998 Don't recognize	254	11.1	11.1	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_D19 [Congressional Black Caucus] How would you rate:

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1	70	3.1	3.1	3.1
	0	151	6.6	6.6	9.6
	2	3	.1	.1	9.8
	4	1	.0	.0	9.8
	5	6	.3	.3	10.1
	7	2	.1	.1	10.2
	8	1	.0	.0	10.2
	10	15	.7	.7	10.9
	12	1	.0	.0	10.9
	15	68	3.0	3.0	13.9
	20	10	.4	.4	14.3
	21	1	.0	.0	14.3
	25	8	.3	.3	14.7
	28	1	.0	.0	14.7
	30	70	3.1	3.1	17.8
	35	5	.2	.2	18.0
	37	1	.0	.0	18.0
	38	1	.0	.0	18.1
	39	1	.0	.0	18.1
	40	67	2.9	2.9	21.1
	43	1	.0	.0	21.1
	45	5	.2	.2	21.3
	50	471	20.5	20.5	41.8
	51	1	.0	.0	41.9
	52	2	.1	.1	42.0
	55	8	.3	.3	42.3
	59	2	.1	.1	42.4
	60	155	6.8	6.8	49.2

	Frequency			
65	17	.7	.7	49.9
67	1	.0	.0	50.0
70	204	8.9	8.9	58.8
72	1	.0	.0	58.9
73	1	.0	.0	58.9
75	33	1.4	1.4	60.4
76	1	.0	.0	60.4
77	1	.0	.0	60.5
78	1	.0	.0	60.5
79	2	.1	.1	60.6
80	31	1.4	1.4	61.9
81	2	.1	.1	62.0
85	199	8.7	8.7	70.7
87	1	.0	.0	70.7
88	2	.1	.1	70.8
89	3	.1	.1	71.0
90	23	1.0	1.0	72.0
92	1	.0	.0	72.0
94	1	.0	.0	72.1
95	5	.2	.2	72.3
96	1	.0	.0	72.3
100	106	4.6	4.6	76.9
998 Don't recognize	529	23.1	23.1	100.0
Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_D20 [NAACP] How would you rate:

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1	69	3.0	3.0	3.0
	0	136	5.9	5.9	8.9
	1	4	.2	.2	9.1
	2	2	.1	.1	9.2
	3	1	.0	.0	9.2
	4	2	.1	.1	9.3
	5	6	.3	.3	9.6
	7	2	.1	.1	9.7
	9	1	.0	.0	9.7
	10	10	.4	.4	10.2
	15	73	3.2	3.2	13.3
	20	16	.7	.7	14.0
	21	2	.1	.1	14.1
	23	1	.0	.0	14.2
	25	8	.3	.3	14.5
	30	76	3.3	3.3	17.8
	35	9	.4	.4	18.2

37	1	.0	.0	18.3
40	88	3.8	3.8	22.1
45	9	.4	.4	22.5
49	1	.0	.0	22.5
50	365	15.9	15.9	38.4
52	3	.1	.1	38.6
54	1	.0	.0	38.6
55	14	.6	.6	39.2
60	206	9.0	9.0	48.2
65	11	.5	.5	48.7
66	2	.1	.1	48.8
67	2	.1	.1	48.9
68	1	.0	.0	48.9
70	259	11.3	11.3	60.2
72	1	.0	.0	60.2
75	34	1.5	1.5	61.7
77	1	.0	.0	61.8
78	2	.1	.1	61.9
79	2	.1	.1	61.9
80	56	2.4	2.4	64.4
85	282	12.3	12.3	76.7
86	2	.1	.1	76.8
87	1	.0	.0	76.8
88	1	.0	.0	76.9
89	1	.0	.0	76.9
90	37	1.6	1.6	78.5
93	1	.0	.0	78.6
94	1	.0	.0	78.6
95	14	.6	.6	79.2
96	2	.1	.1	79.3
97	1	.0	.0	79.3
99	3	.1	.1	79.5
100	314	13.7	13.7	93.2
998 Don't recognize	157	6.8	6.8	100.0
Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_E1 Which of the following most characterizes your relationship status at this time?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	37	1.6	1.6	1.6
	1 In a relationship or married and not dating	1398	60.9	60.9	62.6
	2 Dating and looking for serious relationship and/or marital partner	168	7.3	7.3	69.9
	3 Casual dating that is not serious only	119	5.2	5.2	75.1
	4 Friends with benefits	66	2.9	2.9	77.9
	5 One-night stands	14	.6	.6	78.6
	6 Not interested in dating or pursuing a relationship at this time	492	21.4	21.4	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_E2 How optimistic are you that you will develop a serious and/or marital relationship?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	5	.2	3.0	3.0
	1 Optimistic	98	4.3	58.3	61.3
	2 Neither	56	2.4	33.3	94.6
	3 Pessimistic	9	.4	5.4	100.0
	Total	168	7.3	100.0	
Missing	System	2126	92.7		
Total		2294	100.0		

W1_E2a Are you extremely [optimistic/pessimistic], moderately [optimistic/pessimistic], or slightly [optimistic/pessimistic]?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Extremely	44	1.9	41.1	41.1
	2 Moderately	59	2.6	55.1	96.3
	3 Slightly	4	.2	3.7	100.0
	Total	107	4.7	100.0	
Missing	System	2187	95.3		
Total		2294	100.0		

W1_E3 Some people engage in interracial relationships, while others do not. Have you dated outside of your racial group?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	41	1.8	1.8	1.8
	1 Yes	956	41.7	41.7	43.5
	2 No	1297	56.5	56.5	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_E4 Were you ever willing to date outside of your racial group?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	23	1.0	1.8	1.8
	1 Yes	671	29.3	51.7	53.5
	2 No	603	26.3	46.5	100.0
	Total	1297	56.5	100.0	
Missing	System	997	43.5		
Total		2294	100.0		

W1_E5_1 [African/African American/Black] Which racial and ethnic groups would you or have you dated?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	0 No	651	28.4	40.0	40.0
	1 Yes	976	42.5	60.0	100.0
	Total	1627	70.9	100.0	
Missing	System	667	29.1		
Total		2294	100.0		

W1_E5_2 [Asian/Asian Americans] Which racial and ethnic groups would you or have you dated?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	0 No	1074	46.8	66.0	66.0
	1 Yes	553	24.1	34.0	100.0
	Total	1627	70.9	100.0	
Missing	System	667	29.1		
Total		2294	100.0		

W1_E5_3 [Latin American/Latino/Hispanic] Which racial and ethnic groups would you or have you dated?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	0 No	703	30.6	43.2	43.2
	1 Yes	924	40.3	56.8	100.0
	Total	1627	70.9	100.0	
Missing	System	667	29.1		
Total		2294	100.0		

W1_E5_4 [Middle Easterner/Arab American] Which racial and ethnic groups would you or have you dated?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	0 No	1297	56.5	79.7	79.7
	1 Yes	330	14.4	20.3	100.0
	Total	1627	70.9	100.0	
Missing	System	667	29.1		
Total		2294	100.0		

W1_E5_5 [South Asian/South Asian American] Which racial and ethnic groups would you or have you dated?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	0 No	1271	55.4	78.1	78.1
	1 Yes	356	15.5	21.9	100.0
	Total	1627	70.9	100.0	
Missing	System	667	29.1		
Total		2294	100.0		

W1_E5_6 [White/Caucasian (non-Hispanic)] Which racial and ethnic groups would you or have you dated?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	0 No	470	20.5	28.9	28.9
	1 Yes	1157	50.4	71.1	100.0
	Total	1627	70.9	100.0	
Missing	System	667	29.1		
Total		2294	100.0		

W1_E5_7 [Other race] Which racial and ethnic groups would you or have you dated?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	0 No	1416	61.7	87.0	87.0
	1 Yes	211	9.2	13.0	100.0
	Total	1627	70.9	100.0	
Missing	System	667	29.1		
Total		2294	100.0		

W1_E61_A [African/African American/Black: They are physically attractive] How do you rate each of the following groups on these characteristics?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	92	4.0	4.0	4.0
	1 Agree Strongly	959	41.8	41.8	45.8
	2 Agree Somewhat	908	39.6	39.6	85.4
	3 Disagree Somewhat	222	9.7	9.7	95.1
	4 Disagree Strongly	113	4.9	4.9	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_E61_B [African/African American/Black: My family, friends, relatives would not approve] How do you rate each of the following groups on these characteristics?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	99	4.3	4.3	4.3
	1 Agree Strongly	229	10.0	10.0	14.3
	2 Agree Somewhat	419	18.3	18.3	32.6
	3 Disagree Somewhat	501	21.8	21.8	54.4
	4 Disagree Strongly	1046	45.6	45.6	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_E61_C [African/African American/Black: I have concerns about having bi-racial/ethnic children] How do you rate each of the following groups on these characteristics?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	103	4.5	4.5	4.5
	1 Agree Strongly	214	9.3	9.3	13.8
	2 Agree Somewhat	377	16.4	16.4	30.3
	3 Disagree Somewhat	436	19.0	19.0	49.3
	4 Disagree Strongly	1164	50.7	50.7	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_E61_D [African/African American/Black: I would only want to date this group for sexual reasons rather than for a serious or potential marital relationship] How do you rate each of the following groups on these characteristics?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	104	4.5	4.5	4.5
	1 Agree Strongly	67	2.9	2.9	7.5
	2 Agree Somewhat	177	7.7	7.7	15.2
	3 Disagree Somewhat	323	14.1	14.1	29.3
	4 Disagree Strongly	1623	70.7	70.7	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_E62_A [Latin American/Latino/Hispanic: They are physically attractive] How do you rate each of the following groups on these characteristics?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	134	5.8	5.8	5.8
	1 Agree Strongly	800	34.9	34.9	40.7
	2 Agree Somewhat	1037	45.2	45.2	85.9
	3 Disagree Somewhat	203	8.8	8.8	94.8
	4 Disagree Strongly	120	5.2	5.2	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_E62_B [Latin American/Latino/Hispanic: My family, friends, relatives would not approve] How do you rate each of the following groups on these characteristics?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	139	6.1	6.1	6.1
	1 Agree Strongly	133	5.8	5.8	11.9
	2 Agree Somewhat	381	16.6	16.6	28.5
	3 Disagree Somewhat	645	28.1	28.1	56.6
	4 Disagree Strongly	996	43.4	43.4	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_E62_C [Latin American/Latino/Hispanic: I have concerns about having bi-racial/ethnic children] How do you rate each of the following groups on these characteristics?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	145	6.3	6.3	6.3
	1 Agree Strongly	129	5.6	5.6	11.9
	2 Agree Somewhat	339	14.8	14.8	26.7
	3 Disagree Somewhat	465	20.3	20.3	47.0
	4 Disagree Strongly	1216	53.0	53.0	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_E62_D [Latin American/Latino/Hispanic: I would only want to date this group for sexual reasons rather than for a serious or potential marital relationship] How do you rate each of

the following groups on these characteristics?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	147	6.4	6.4	6.4
	1 Agree Strongly	58	2.5	2.5	8.9
	2 Agree Somewhat	176	7.7	7.7	16.6
	3 Disagree Somewhat	355	15.5	15.5	32.1
	4 Disagree Strongly	1558	67.9	67.9	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_E63_A [Caucasian/White (non-Hispanic): They are physically attractive] How do you rate each of the following groups on these characteristics?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	126	5.5	5.5	5.5
	1 Agree Strongly	837	36.5	36.5	42.0
	2 Agree Somewhat	1063	46.3	46.3	88.3
	3 Disagree Somewhat	176	7.7	7.7	96.0
	4 Disagree Strongly	92	4.0	4.0	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_E63_B [Caucasian/White (non-Hispanic): My family, friends, relatives would not approve] How do you rate each of the following groups on these characteristics?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	128	5.6	5.6	5.6
	1 Agree Strongly	123	5.4	5.4	10.9
	2 Agree Somewhat	337	14.7	14.7	25.6
	3 Disagree Somewhat	478	20.8	20.8	46.5
	4 Disagree Strongly	1228	53.5	53.5	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_E63_C [Caucasian/White (non-Hispanic): I have concerns about having bi-racial/ethnic children] How do you rate each of the following groups on these characteristics?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	142	6.2	6.2	6.2
	1 Agree Strongly	117	5.1	5.1	11.3
	2 Agree Somewhat	278	12.1	12.1	23.4
	3 Disagree Somewhat	375	16.3	16.3	39.8
	4 Disagree Strongly	1382	60.2	60.2	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_E63_D [Caucasian/White (non-Hispanic): I would only want to date this group for sexual reasons rather than for a serious or potential marital relationship] How do you rate each of the following groups on these characteristics?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	138	6.0	6.0	6.0
	1 Agree Strongly	78	3.4	3.4	9.4
	2 Agree Somewhat	166	7.2	7.2	16.7
	3 Disagree Somewhat	313	13.6	13.6	30.3
	4 Disagree Strongly	1599	69.7	69.7	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_E64_A [Asian/Asian Americans: They are physically attractive] How do you rate each of the following groups on these characteristics?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	118	5.1	5.1	5.1
	1 Agree Strongly	527	23.0	23.0	28.1
	2 Agree Somewhat	1062	46.3	46.3	74.4
	3 Disagree Somewhat	384	16.7	16.7	91.2
	4 Disagree Strongly	203	8.8	8.8	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_E64_B [Asian/Asian Americans: My family, friends, relatives would not approve] How do you rate each of the following groups on these characteristics?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	129	5.6	5.6	5.6
	1 Agree Strongly	155	6.8	6.8	12.4
	2 Agree Somewhat	393	17.1	17.1	29.5
	3 Disagree Somewhat	665	29.0	29.0	58.5
	4 Disagree Strongly	952	41.5	41.5	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_E64_C [Asian/Asian Americans: I have concerns about having bi-racial/ethnic children] How do you rate each of the following groups on these characteristics?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	135	5.9	5.9	5.9
	1 Agree Strongly	145	6.3	6.3	12.2
	2 Agree Somewhat	354	15.4	15.4	27.6
	3 Disagree Somewhat	475	20.7	20.7	48.3
	4 Disagree Strongly	1185	51.7	51.7	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_E64_D [Asian/Asian Americans: I would only want to date this group for sexual reasons rather than for a serious or potential marital relationship] How do you rate each of the

following groups on these characteristics?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	134	5.8	5.8	5.8
	1 Agree Strongly	73	3.2	3.2	9.0
	2 Agree Somewhat	181	7.9	7.9	16.9
	3 Disagree Somewhat	360	15.7	15.7	32.6
	4 Disagree Strongly	1546	67.4	67.4	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_E7 Have you had sex with someone outside of your racial group?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	69	3.0	3.0	3.0
	1 Yes	980	42.7	42.7	45.7
	2 No	1245	54.3	54.3	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_E8 Was this in the context of :

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	13	.6	1.3	1.3
	1 A committed relationship	404	17.6	41.2	42.6
	2 A casual, uncommitted relationship	400	17.4	40.8	83.4
	3 A one-night stand	126	5.5	12.9	96.2
	4 Other	37	1.6	3.8	100.0
	Total	980	42.7	100.0	
Missing	System	1314	57.3		
Total		2294	100.0		

W1_E9_a [African/African American/Black] Have you had sex with any of the following groups?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	0 No	1038	45.2	45.2	45.2
	1 Yes	1256	54.8	54.8	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_E9_b [Latin American/Latino/Hispanic] Have you had sex with any of the following groups?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	0 No	1700	74.1	74.1	74.1
	1 Yes	594	25.9	25.9	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_E9_c [Asian/Asian American] Have you had sex with any of the following groups?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	0 No	1996	87.0	87.0	87.0
	1 Yes	298	13.0	13.0	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_E9_d [Caucasian/White (non-Hispanic)] Have you had sex with any of the following groups?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	0 No	957	41.7	41.7	41.7
	1 Yes	1337	58.3	58.3	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_E9_Refused [Refused] Have you had sex with any of the following groups?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	0 No	2049	89.3	89.3	89.3
	1 Yes	245	10.7	10.7	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_F1 When you think about your future, are you generally optimistic, pessimistic, or neither optimistic nor pessimistic?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	55	2.4	2.4	2.4
	1 Optimistic	1225	53.4	53.4	55.8
	2 Neither	796	34.7	34.7	90.5
	3 Pessimistic	218	9.5	9.5	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_F1A Are you extremely [optimistic/pessimistic], moderately [optimistic/pessimistic], or slightly [optimistic/pessimistic]?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	9	.4	.6	.6
	1 Extremely	421	18.4	29.2	29.8
	2 Moderately	843	36.7	58.4	88.2
	3 Slightly	170	7.4	11.8	100.0
	Total	1443	62.9	100.0	
Missing	System	851	37.1		
Total		2294	100.0		

W1_F2 And when you think about the future of the United States as a whole, are you generally optimistic, pessimistic, or neither optimistic nor pessimistic?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	55	2.4	2.4	2.4
	1 Optimistic	851	37.1	37.1	39.5
	2 Neither	823	35.9	35.9	75.4
	3 Pessimistic	565	24.6	24.6	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_F2A Are you extremely [optimistic/pessimistic], moderately [optimistic/pessimistic], or slightly [optimistic/pessimistic]?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	10	.4	.7	.7
	1 Extremely	354	15.4	25.0	25.7
	2 Moderately	781	34.0	55.2	80.9
	3 Slightly	271	11.8	19.1	100.0
	Total	1416	61.7	100.0	
Missing	System	878	38.3		
Total		2294	100.0		

W1_F3 A basic American belief has been that if you work hard you can get ahead and reach the goals you set and more. Is this true or false today?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	44	1.9	1.9	1.9
	1 Extremely true	331	14.4	14.4	16.3
	2 Moderately true	641	27.9	27.9	44.3
	3 Slightly true	521	22.7	22.7	67.0
	4 Neither	275	12.0	12.0	79.0
	5 Slightly false	214	9.3	9.3	88.3
	6 Moderately false	157	6.8	6.8	95.2
	7 Extremely false	111	4.8	4.8	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_F4_A [To own a home] For yourself and people like you, how easy or hard is it to reach these goals?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	62	2.7	2.7	2.7
	1 Very hard	404	17.6	17.6	20.3
	2 Somewhat hard	946	41.2	41.2	61.6
	3 Somewhat easy	643	28.0	28.0	89.6
	4 Very easy	239	10.4	10.4	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_F4_B [To have a financially secure retirement] For yourself and people like you, how easy or hard is it to reach these goals?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	67	2.9	2.9	2.9
	1 Very hard	733	32.0	32.0	34.9
	2 Somewhat hard	1012	44.1	44.1	79.0
	3 Somewhat easy	390	17.0	17.0	96.0
	4 Very easy	92	4.0	4.0	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_F4_C [To send one's children to college] For yourself and people like you, how easy or hard is it to reach these goals?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	71	3.1	3.1	3.1
	1 Very hard	660	28.8	28.8	31.9
	2 Somewhat hard	1004	43.8	43.8	75.6
	3 Somewhat easy	440	19.2	19.2	94.8
	4 Very easy	119	5.2	5.2	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_F4_D [To become wealthy] For yourself and people like you, how easy or hard is it to reach these goals?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	65	2.8	2.8	2.8
	1 Very hard	1258	54.8	54.8	57.7
	2 Somewhat hard	755	32.9	32.9	90.6
	3 Somewhat easy	164	7.1	7.1	97.7
	4 Very easy	52	2.3	2.3	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_F4_E [To do better than one's parents did] For yourself and people like you, how easy or hard is it to reach these goals?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	64	2.8	2.8	2.8
	1 Very hard	290	12.6	12.6	15.4
	2 Somewhat hard	869	37.9	37.9	53.3
	3 Somewhat easy	801	34.9	34.9	88.2
	4 Very easy	270	11.8	11.8	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_F5_A [To own a home] For your children or the children of people like yourself, how easy or hard will it be for your children to reach these goals in the future?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	84	3.7	3.7	3.7
	1 Very hard	507	22.1	22.1	25.8
	2 Somewhat hard	940	41.0	41.0	66.7
	3 Somewhat easy	578	25.2	25.2	91.9
	4 Very easy	185	8.1	8.1	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_F5_B [To have a financially secure retirement] For your children or the children of people like yourself, how easy or hard will it be for your children to reach these goals in the future?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	84	3.7	3.7	3.7
	1 Very hard	755	32.9	32.9	36.6
	2 Somewhat hard	927	40.4	40.4	77.0
	3 Somewhat easy	411	17.9	17.9	94.9
	4 Very easy	117	5.1	5.1	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_F5_C [To send one's children to college] For your children or the children of people like yourself, how easy or hard will it be for your children to reach these goals in the future?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	80	3.5	3.5	3.5
	1 Very hard	645	28.1	28.1	31.6
	2 Somewhat hard	968	42.2	42.2	73.8
	3 Somewhat easy	459	20.0	20.0	93.8
	4 Very easy	142	6.2	6.2	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_F5_D [To become wealthy] For your children or the children of people like yourself, how easy or hard will it be for your children to reach these goals in the future?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	81	3.5	3.5	3.5
	1 Very hard	963	42.0	42.0	45.5
	2 Somewhat hard	845	36.8	36.8	82.3
	3 Somewhat easy	298	13.0	13.0	95.3
	4 Very easy	107	4.7	4.7	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_F5_E [To do better than one's parents did] For your children or the children of people like yourself, how easy or hard will it be for your children to reach these goals in the future?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	84	3.7	3.7	3.7
	1 Very hard	484	21.1	21.1	24.8
	2 Somewhat hard	893	38.9	38.9	63.7
	3 Somewhat easy	647	28.2	28.2	91.9
	4 Very easy	186	8.1	8.1	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_F6 How far along the road to your American Dream do you think you will ultimately get on a 10-point scale where 1 is not far at all and 10 nearly there?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	59	2.6	2.6	2.6
	1 1 - Not far at all in achieving the American Dream	70	3.1	3.1	5.6
	2 2	59	2.6	2.6	8.2
	3 3	98	4.3	4.3	12.5
	4 4	152	6.6	6.6	19.1
	5 5	362	15.8	15.8	34.9
	6 6	336	14.6	14.6	49.5
	7 7	440	19.2	19.2	68.7
	8 8	350	15.3	15.3	84.0
	9 9	165	7.2	7.2	91.2
	10 10 - Nearly there in achieving the American Dream	203	8.8	8.8	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_G2 Now thinking about the country's economy, would you say that compared to one year ago, the nation's economy is now better, about the same, or worse?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	51	2.2	2.2	2.2
	1 Better	545	23.8	23.8	26.0
	2 About the same	1108	48.3	48.3	74.3
	3 Worse	590	25.7	25.7	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_G2B Much better or somewhat better?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Much better	88	3.8	16.1	16.1
	2 Somewhat better	457	19.9	83.9	100.0
	Total	545	23.8	100.0	
Missing	System	1749	76.2		
Total		2294	100.0		

W1_G2C Much worse or somewhat worse?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	2	.1	.3	.3
	1 Much worse	299	13.0	50.7	51.0
	2 Somewhat worse	289	12.6	49.0	100.0
	Total	590	25.7	100.0	
Missing	System	1704	74.3		
Total		2294	100.0		

W1_G3A Do you think the economy, in the country as a whole, will be better, about the same, or worse in 12 months?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	56	2.4	2.4	2.4
	1 Better	751	32.7	32.7	35.2
	2 About the same	1106	48.2	48.2	83.4
	3 Worse	381	16.6	16.6	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_G3B Much better or somewhat better?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	3	.1	.4	.4
	1 Much better	175	7.6	23.3	23.7
	2 Somewhat better	573	25.0	76.3	100.0
	Total	751	32.7	100.0	
Missing	System	1543	67.3		
Total		2294	100.0		

W1_G3C Much worse or somewhat worse?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Much worse	175	7.6	45.9	45.9
	2 Somewhat worse	206	9.0	54.1	100.0
	Total	381	16.6	100.0	
Missing	System	1913	83.4		
Total		2294	100.0		

W1_H1 Society has reached the point where blacks and whites have equal opportunities for achievement.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	77	3.4	3.4	3.4
	1 Agree strongly	237	10.3	10.3	13.7
	2 Agree somewhat	465	20.3	20.3	34.0
	3 Neither agree nor disagree	499	21.8	21.8	55.7
	4 Disagree somewhat	561	24.5	24.5	80.2
	5 Disagree strongly	455	19.8	19.8	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_H2 In comparison to other minority groups, American Indians are well represented and have advanced a lot.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	87	3.8	3.8	3.8
	1 Agree strongly	110	4.8	4.8	8.6
	2 Agree somewhat	318	13.9	13.9	22.4
	3 Neither agree nor disagree	767	33.4	33.4	55.9
	4 Disagree somewhat	508	22.1	22.1	78.0
	5 Disagree strongly	504	22.0	22.0	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_H3 The U.S. government is largely responsible for many of the economic and social problems American Indians experience today.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	82	3.6	3.6	3.6
	1 Agree strongly	449	19.6	19.6	23.1
	2 Agree somewhat	708	30.9	30.9	54.0
	3 Neither agree nor disagree	724	31.6	31.6	85.6
	4 Disagree somewhat	203	8.8	8.8	94.4
	5 Disagree strongly	128	5.6	5.6	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_H4 Over the past few years, Blacks have gotten less than they deserve.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	87	3.8	3.8	3.8
	1 Agree strongly	203	8.8	8.8	12.6
	2 Agree somewhat	478	20.8	20.8	33.5
	3 Neither agree nor disagree	803	35.0	35.0	68.5
	4 Disagree somewhat	381	16.6	16.6	85.1
	5 Disagree strongly	342	14.9	14.9	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_H5 Irish, Italians, Jews, and many other minorities overcame prejudice and worked their way up. Blacks should do the same without any special favors.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	76	3.3	3.3	3.3
	1 Agree strongly	338	14.7	14.7	18.0
	2 Agree somewhat	497	21.7	21.7	39.7
	3 Neither agree nor disagree	661	28.8	28.8	68.5
	4 Disagree somewhat	389	17.0	17.0	85.5
	5 Disagree strongly	333	14.5	14.5	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_H6 It's really a matter of some people not trying hard enough; if blacks would only try harder they could be just as well off as whites.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	75	3.3	3.3	3.3
	1 Agree strongly	198	8.6	8.6	11.9
	2 Agree somewhat	435	19.0	19.0	30.9
	3 Neither agree nor disagree	630	27.5	27.5	58.3
	4 Disagree somewhat	423	18.4	18.4	76.8
	5 Disagree strongly	533	23.2	23.2	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_H7 Generations of slavery and discrimination have created conditions that make it difficult for blacks to work their way out of the lower class.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	77	3.4	3.4	3.4
	1 Agree strongly	278	12.1	12.1	15.5
	2 Agree somewhat	600	26.2	26.2	41.6
	3 Neither agree nor disagree	544	23.7	23.7	65.3
	4 Disagree somewhat	413	18.0	18.0	83.3
	5 Disagree strongly	382	16.7	16.7	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_H8 Discrimination against blacks is no longer a problem in the U.S.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	83	3.6	3.6	3.6
	1 Agree strongly	104	4.5	4.5	8.2
	2 Agree somewhat	238	10.4	10.4	18.5
	3 Neither agree nor disagree	458	20.0	20.0	38.5
	4 Disagree somewhat	564	24.6	24.6	63.1
	5 Disagree strongly	847	36.9	36.9	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_I1 How well does the U.S. Congress represent all Americans?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	63	2.7	2.7	2.7
	1 Extremely well	26	1.1	1.1	3.9
	2 Very well	73	3.2	3.2	7.1
	3 Moderately well	650	28.3	28.3	35.4
	4 A little well	696	30.3	30.3	65.7
	5 Not well at all	786	34.3	34.3	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_I2 How well does the U.S. Congress represent you?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	60	2.6	2.6	2.6
	1 Extremely well	21	.9	.9	3.5
	2 Very well	57	2.5	2.5	6.0
	3 Moderately well	571	24.9	24.9	30.9
	4 A little well	709	30.9	30.9	61.8
	5 Not well at all	876	38.2	38.2	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_J1_A [Provide a guaranteed one year of paid parental leave for mothers and fathers on the birth or adoption of a child?] What is your opinion about each of them?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	93	4.1	4.1	4.1
	1 0 - Very bad proposal	391	17.0	17.0	21.1
	2 1	109	4.8	4.8	25.9
	3 2	135	5.9	5.9	31.7
	4 3	149	6.5	6.5	38.2
	5 4	146	6.4	6.4	44.6
	6 5	397	17.3	17.3	61.9
	7 6	140	6.1	6.1	68.0
	8 7	165	7.2	7.2	75.2
	9 8	143	6.2	6.2	81.4
	10 9	110	4.8	4.8	86.2
	11 10 - Very good proposal	316	13.8	13.8	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_J1_B [Increase legal penalties for sexual harassment?] What is your opinion about each of them?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	91	4.0	4.0	4.0
	1 0 - Very bad proposal	80	3.5	3.5	7.5
	2 1	40	1.7	1.7	9.2
	3 2	54	2.4	2.4	11.6
	4 3	79	3.4	3.4	15.0
	5 4	86	3.7	3.7	18.7
	6 5	418	18.2	18.2	37.0
	7 6	204	8.9	8.9	45.9
	8 7	241	10.5	10.5	56.4
	9 8	260	11.3	11.3	67.7
	10 9	183	8.0	8.0	75.7
	11 10 - Very good proposal	558	24.3	24.3	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_J1_C [Provide every child until 18 years of age free health insurance?] What is your opinion about each of them?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	95	4.1	4.1	4.1
	1 0 - Very bad proposal	182	7.9	7.9	12.1
	2 1	38	1.7	1.7	13.7
	3 2	58	2.5	2.5	16.3
	4 3	92	4.0	4.0	20.3
	5 4	87	3.8	3.8	24.1
	6 5	291	12.7	12.7	36.7
	7 6	159	6.9	6.9	43.7
	8 7	176	7.7	7.7	51.4
	9 8	203	8.8	8.8	60.2
	10 9	190	8.3	8.3	68.5
	11 10 - Very good proposal	723	31.5	31.5	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_J1_D [Require that an equal number of the top leadership positions in government go to women?] What is your opinion about each of them?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	96	4.2	4.2	4.2
	1 0 - Very bad proposal	267	11.6	11.6	15.8
	2 1	70	3.1	3.1	18.9
	3 2	102	4.4	4.4	23.3
	4 3	124	5.4	5.4	28.7
	5 4	150	6.5	6.5	35.3
	6 5	520	22.7	22.7	57.9
	7 6	224	9.8	9.8	67.7
	8 7	204	8.9	8.9	76.6
	9 8	186	8.1	8.1	84.7
	10 9	96	4.2	4.2	88.9
	11 10 - Very good proposal	255	11.1	11.1	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_J1_E [Require that American children attend public school for 12 months instead of 9 months?] What is your opinion about each of them?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	88	3.8	3.8	3.8
	1 0 - Very bad proposal	299	13.0	13.0	16.9
	2 1	86	3.7	3.7	20.6
	3 2	140	6.1	6.1	26.7
	4 3	182	7.9	7.9	34.7
	5 4	132	5.8	5.8	40.4
	6 5	407	17.7	17.7	58.2
	7 6	191	8.3	8.3	66.5
	8 7	196	8.5	8.5	75.0
	9 8	193	8.4	8.4	83.4
	10 9	114	5.0	5.0	88.4
	11 10 - Very good proposal	266	11.6	11.6	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_J1_F [Make families with dependent children permanently eligible for welfare any time they fall below the poverty line?] What is your opinion about each of them?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	97	4.2	4.2	4.2
	1 0 - Very bad proposal	364	15.9	15.9	20.1
	2 1	129	5.6	5.6	25.7
	3 2	136	5.9	5.9	31.6
	4 3	162	7.1	7.1	38.7
	5 4	159	6.9	6.9	45.6
	6 5	391	17.0	17.0	62.7
	7 6	171	7.5	7.5	70.1
	8 7	141	6.1	6.1	76.3
	9 8	162	7.1	7.1	83.3
	10 9	96	4.2	4.2	87.5
	11 10 - Very good proposal	286	12.5	12.5	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_J1_G [Provide free or heavily government subsidized childcare/preschool for poor families?] What is your opinion about each of them?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	94	4.1	4.1	4.1
	1 0 - Very bad proposal	167	7.3	7.3	11.4
	2 1	56	2.4	2.4	13.8
	3 2	85	3.7	3.7	17.5
	4 3	118	5.1	5.1	22.7
	5 4	127	5.5	5.5	28.2
	6 5	387	16.9	16.9	45.1
	7 6	200	8.7	8.7	53.8
	8 7	215	9.4	9.4	63.2
	9 8	218	9.5	9.5	72.7
	10 9	165	7.2	7.2	79.9
	11 10 - Very good proposal	462	20.1	20.1	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_J2 Which of the following statements comes closest to your view concerning same-sex couples?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	110	4.8	4.8	4.8
	1 They should be allowed to legally marry	835	36.4	36.4	41.2
	2 They should be allowed to legally form civil unions, but not marry	726	31.6	31.6	72.8
	3 They should not be allowed to marry or form civil unions	623	27.2	27.2	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_J3A_A [The federal budget deficit] Compared to 2008 would you say the following is now better or worse?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	60	2.6	2.6	2.6
	1 Much better	33	1.4	1.4	4.1
	2 Somewhat better	188	8.2	8.2	12.2
	3 About the same	576	25.1	25.1	37.4
	4 Somewhat worse	665	29.0	29.0	66.3
	5 Much worse	772	33.7	33.7	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_J3A_B [Poverty in the U.S.] Compared to 2008 would you say the following is now better or worse?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	66	2.9	2.9	2.9
	1 Much better	25	1.1	1.1	4.0
	2 Somewhat better	198	8.6	8.6	12.6
	3 About the same	797	34.7	34.7	47.3
	4 Somewhat worse	715	31.2	31.2	78.5
	5 Much worse	493	21.5	21.5	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_J3A_C [Unemployment in the U.S.] Compared to 2008 would you say the following is now better or worse?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	57	2.5	2.5	2.5
	1 Much better	53	2.3	2.3	4.8
	2 Somewhat better	517	22.5	22.5	27.3
	3 About the same	557	24.3	24.3	51.6
	4 Somewhat worse	543	23.7	23.7	75.3
	5 Much worse	567	24.7	24.7	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_K1_A [The government in Washington] How much do you think you can trust the following institutions?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	70	3.1	3.1	3.1
	1 Just about always	37	1.6	1.6	4.7
	2 Most of the time	475	20.7	20.7	25.4
	3 Only some of the time	1286	56.1	56.1	81.4
	4 Never	426	18.6	18.6	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_K1_B [The police] How much do you think you can trust the following institutions?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	71	3.1	3.1	3.1
	1 Just about always	163	7.1	7.1	10.2
	2 Most of the time	981	42.8	42.8	53.0
	3 Only some of the time	864	37.7	37.7	90.6
	4 Never	215	9.4	9.4	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_K1_C [The legal system] How much do you think you can trust the following institutions?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	64	2.8	2.8	2.8
	1 Just about always	63	2.7	2.7	5.5
	2 Most of the time	749	32.7	32.7	38.2
	3 Only some of the time	1172	51.1	51.1	89.3
	4 Never	246	10.7	10.7	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_K1_D [Public schools] How much do you think you can trust the following institutions?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	66	2.9	2.9	2.9
	1 Just about always	143	6.2	6.2	9.1
	2 Most of the time	1044	45.5	45.5	54.6
	3 Only some of the time	920	40.1	40.1	94.7
	4 Never	121	5.3	5.3	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_K4 On a seven-point scale, do you think that blacks and other minorities are treated the same as whites in the criminal justice system or do not receive equal treatment?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	55	2.4	2.4	2.4
	1 1 - Receive equal treatment	170	7.4	7.4	9.8
	2 2	112	4.9	4.9	14.7
	3 3	91	4.0	4.0	18.7
	4 4	165	7.2	7.2	25.9
	5 5	343	15.0	15.0	40.8
	6 6	317	13.8	13.8	54.6
	7 7 - Do not receive equal treatment	800	34.9	34.9	89.5
	9 Not sure.	241	10.5	10.5	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_K5 On a scale from 1 to 10, do you think President Obama has done more or less than other presidents to help Black Americans?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	91	4.0	4.0	4.0
	1 1 - President Obama has done less than other presidents to help blacks	90	3.9	3.9	7.9
	2 2	37	1.6	1.6	9.5
	3 3	73	3.2	3.2	12.7
	4 4	65	2.8	2.8	15.5
	5 5	610	26.6	26.6	42.1
	6 6	390	17.0	17.0	59.1
	7 7	287	12.5	12.5	71.6
	8 8	250	10.9	10.9	82.5
	9 9	132	5.8	5.8	88.3
	10 10 - President Obama has done more than other presidents to help blacks	269	11.7	11.7	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_L1_a [A professional association] Indicate whether you have participated in the activities of this group in the past 12 months.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	65	2.8	2.8	2.8
	1 I have participated more than twice	189	8.2	8.2	11.1
	2 I have participated once or twice	259	11.3	11.3	22.4
	3 I belong to such a group but never participate	124	5.4	5.4	27.8
	4 I do not belong to such a group	1657	72.2	72.2	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_L1_b [A political party, club, or association] Indicate whether you have participated in the activities of this group in the past 12 months.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	71	3.1	3.1	3.1
	1 I have participated more than twice	106	4.6	4.6	7.7
	2 I have participated once or twice	214	9.3	9.3	17.0
	3 I belong to such a group but never participate	196	8.5	8.5	25.6
	4 I do not belong to such a group	1707	74.4	74.4	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_L1_c [A cultural organization that puts on plays, music or dance concerts, attends concerts, theater or museums together] Indicate whether you have participated in the activities of this group in the past 12 months.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	77	3.4	3.4	3.4
	1 I have participated more than twice	176	7.7	7.7	11.0
	2 I have participated once or twice	211	9.2	9.2	20.2
	3 I belong to such a group but never participate	54	2.4	2.4	22.6
	4 I do not belong to such a group	1776	77.4	77.4	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_L2_1 [NAACP] Are you a member of any of the following groups/organizations/movements?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	0 No	2117	92.3	92.3	92.3
	1 Yes	177	7.7	7.7	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_L2_2 [National Urban League] Are you a member of any of the following groups/organizations/movements?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	0 No	2233	97.3	97.3	97.3
	1 Yes	61	2.7	2.7	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_L2_3 [Southern Christian Leadership Conference] Are you a member of any of the following groups/organizations/movements?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	0 No	2243	97.8	97.8	97.8
	1 Yes	51	2.2	2.2	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_L2_4 [Tea Party Movement] Are you a member of any of the following groups/organizations/movements?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	0 No	2249	98.0	98.0	98.0
	1 Yes	45	2.0	2.0	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_L2_5 [Occupy Wall Street Movement] Are you a member of any of the following groups/organizations/movements?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	0 No	2270	99.0	99.0	99.0
	1 Yes	24	1.0	1.0	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_L2_Refused [Refused] Are you a member of any of the following groups/organizations/movements?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	0 No	293	12.8	12.8	12.8
	1 Yes	2001	87.2	87.2	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_L3 In the last twelve months, have you worked with others or joined an organization in your community to do something about some community problem?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	59	2.6	2.6	2.6
	1 Yes	469	20.4	20.4	23.0
	2 No	1766	77.0	77.0	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_L4_a [Contacted a public official or agency] Please indicate if you have done any of the following activities in the last 2 years.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	68	3.0	3.0	3.0
	1 Yes	581	25.3	25.3	28.3
	2 No	1645	71.7	71.7	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_L4_b [Attended a protest meeting or demonstration] Please indicate if you have done any of the following activites in the last 2 years.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	79	3.4	3.4	3.4
	1 Yes	197	8.6	8.6	12.0
	2 No	2018	88.0	88.0	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_L4_c [Taken part in a neighborhood march] Please indicate if you have done any of the following activites in the last 2 years.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	68	3.0	3.0	3.0
	1 Yes	116	5.1	5.1	8.0
	2 No	2110	92.0	92.0	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_L4_d [Signed a petition in support of something or against something] Please indicate if you have done any of the following activites in the last 2 years.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	71	3.1	3.1	3.1
	1 Yes	919	40.1	40.1	43.2
	2 No	1304	56.8	56.8	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_L5_a [Helped in a voter registration drive] Please indicate whether or not you have engaged in these political activities in the last TWO years? Have you...

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	61	2.7	2.7	2.7
	1 Have	209	9.1	9.1	11.8
	2 Have not	2024	88.2	88.2	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_L5_b [Given people a ride to the polls on election day] Please indicate whether or not you have engaged in these political activities in the last TWO years? Have you..

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	70	3.1	3.1	3.1
	1 Have	200	8.7	8.7	11.8
	2 Have not	2024	88.2	88.2	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_L5_c [Given money to a political candidate] Please indicate whether or not you have engaged in these political activities in the last TWO years? Have you....

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	60	2.6	2.6	2.6
	1 Have	468	20.4	20.4	23.0
	2 Have not	1766	77.0	77.0	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_L5_d [Attended a fund raiser for a candidate] Please indicate whether or not you have engaged in these political activities in the last TWO years? Have you...

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	60	2.6	2.6	2.6
	1 Have	211	9.2	9.2	11.8
	2 Have not	2023	88.2	88.2	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_L5_e [Handed out campaign material or placed campaign material on cars] Please indicate whether or not you have engaged in these political activities in the last TWO years ? Have you...

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	63	2.7	2.7	2.7
	1 Have	185	8.1	8.1	10.8
	2 Have not	2046	89.2	89.2	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_L5_f [Signed a petition supporting a candidate who was running for office] Please indicate whether or not you have engaged in these political activities in the last TWO years? Have you...

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	61	2.7	2.7	2.7
	1 Have	578	25.2	25.2	27.9
	2 Have not	1655	72.1	72.1	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_M1 What is your religion?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	19	.8	9.6	9.6
	1 Baptist—any denomination	48	2.1	24.4	34.0
	2 Protestant (e.g., Methodist, Lutheran, Presbyterian, Episcopal)	28	1.2	14.2	48.2
	3 Catholic	24	1.0	12.2	60.4
	4 Mormon	2	.1	1.0	61.4
	6 Muslim	1	.0	.5	61.9
	9 Pentecostal	6	.3	3.0	65.0
	10 Eastern Orthodox	2	.1	1.0	66.0
	11 Other Christian	31	1.4	15.7	81.7
	12 Other non-Christian, please specify:	6	.3	3.0	84.8
	13 None	30	1.3	15.2	100.0
	Total	197	8.6	100.0	
Missing	System	2097	91.4		
Total		2294	100.0		

W1_M1_Other_TEXT [Other] What is your religion?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid		2288	99.7	99.7	99.7
	born again christian	1	.0	.0	99.8
	isarelite	1	.0	.0	99.8
	Jehovah's Witness	1	.0	.0	99.9
	jehovahs witness	1	.0	.0	99.9
	non denominational	1	.0	.0	100.0
	Open to all possibilities. OTAP	1	.0	.0	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_M1a Would you describe yourself as a born-again or evangelical Christian?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	7	.3	3.7	3.7
	1 Yes	61	2.7	32.6	36.4
	2 No	119	5.2	63.6	100.0
	Total	187	8.2	100.0	
Missing	System	2107	91.8		
Total		2294	100.0		

W1_M5 How often do you attend religious services?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	17	.7	7.9	7.9
	1 More than once a week	24	1.0	11.2	19.1
	2 Once a week	36	1.6	16.7	35.8
	3 Once or twice a month	21	.9	9.8	45.6
	4 A few times a year	45	2.0	20.9	66.5
	5 Once a year or less	27	1.2	12.6	79.1
	6 Never	45	2.0	20.9	100.0
	Total	215	9.4	100.0	
Missing	System	2079	90.6		
Total		2294	100.0		

W1_M2 What was the racial makeup of your place of worship?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	66	2.9	3.6	3.6
	1 Mostly Black	736	32.1	39.7	43.2
	2 Mostly White	644	28.1	34.7	77.9
	3 Mostly Latino	49	2.1	2.6	80.5
	4 Mostly Asian	11	.5	.6	81.1
	5 Something else	108	4.7	5.8	87.0
	6 Don't know	242	10.5	13.0	100.0
	Total	1856	80.9	100.0	
Missing	System	438	19.1		
Total		2294	100.0		

W1_M3 Churches or places of worship should be involved in political matters.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	99	4.3	4.3	4.3
	1 Strongly agree	185	8.1	8.1	12.4
	2 Somewhat agree	532	23.2	23.2	35.6
	3 Somewhat disagree	595	25.9	25.9	61.5
	4 Strongly disagree	883	38.5	38.5	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_M4 Churches or places of worship should allow more women to become members of the clergy.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	117	5.1	5.1	5.1
	1 Strongly agree	648	28.2	28.2	33.3
	2 Somewhat agree	892	38.9	38.9	72.2
	3 Somewhat disagree	356	15.5	15.5	87.8
	4 Strongly disagree	281	12.2	12.2	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_M6 Aside from attending regular services, in the past 12 months have you been an active member of your church or place of worship?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	73	3.2	3.9	3.9
	1 Yes	602	26.2	32.4	36.4
	2 No	1181	51.5	63.6	100.0
	Total	1856	80.9	100.0	
Missing	System	438	19.1		
Total		2294	100.0		

W1_M7 In the last year, have you heard any discussions of politics at your church or place of worship?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	79	3.4	4.3	4.3
	1 Yes	561	24.5	30.2	34.5
	2 No	1216	53.0	65.5	100.0
	Total	1856	80.9	100.0	
Missing	System	438	19.1		
Total		2294	100.0		

W1_M8 Have you talked to people about political matters at your church or place of worship?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	77	3.4	4.1	4.1
	1 Yes	426	18.6	23.0	27.1
	2 No	1353	59.0	72.9	100.0
	Total	1856	80.9	100.0	
Missing	System	438	19.1		
Total		2294	100.0		

W1_M9 Has a member of the clergy or someone in an official position at your church or place of worship talked about the need for people to become more involved in politics?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	87	3.8	4.7	4.7
	1 Yes	511	22.3	27.5	32.2
	2 No	1258	54.8	67.8	100.0
	Total	1856	80.9	100.0	
Missing	System	438	19.1		
Total		2294	100.0		

W1_M10 Has any local or national political leader spoken at a regular religious service at your church or place of worship?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	82	3.6	4.4	4.4
	1 Yes	269	11.7	14.5	18.9
	2 No	1505	65.6	81.1	100.0
	Total	1856	80.9	100.0	
Missing	System	438	19.1		
Total		2294	100.0		

W1_M11 Has a member of the clergy, or someone in an official position at your church or place of worship, ever suggested that you vote for or against certain candidates in an election?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	78	3.4	4.2	4.2
	1 Yes	194	8.5	10.5	14.7
	2 No	1584	69.0	85.3	100.0
	Total	1856	80.9	100.0	
Missing	System	438	19.1		
Total		2294	100.0		

W1_M12 What about suggesting that you take action on a political issue such as sign a petition or write a letter? Did this happen in the last two years?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	78	3.4	4.2	4.2
	1 Yes	359	15.6	19.3	23.5
	2 No	1419	61.9	76.5	100.0
	Total	1856	80.9	100.0	
Missing	System	438	19.1		
Total		2294	100.0		

W1_N1a How would you rate people on welfare?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1	84	3.7	3.7	3.7
	0	100	4.4	4.4	8.0
	1	5	.2	.2	8.2
	3	1	.0	.0	8.3
	5	8	.3	.3	8.6
	9	1	.0	.0	8.7
	10	14	.6	.6	9.3
	12	1	.0	.0	9.3
	15	129	5.6	5.6	15.0
	20	9	.4	.4	15.3
	25	20	.9	.9	16.2
	27	2	.1	.1	16.3
	30	135	5.9	5.9	22.2
	34	1	.0	.0	22.2
	35	17	.7	.7	23.0
	39	1	.0	.0	23.0
	40	225	9.8	9.8	32.8
	45	15	.7	.7	33.5
	47	1	.0	.0	33.5
	48	1	.0	.0	33.6
	49	2	.1	.1	33.7
	50	585	25.5	25.5	59.2
	51	2	.1	.1	59.2
	52	1	.0	.0	59.3
	55	19	.8	.8	60.1
	57	1	.0	.0	60.2
	59	1	.0	.0	60.2
	60	248	10.8	10.8	71.0
	65	14	.6	.6	71.6
	66	3	.1	.1	71.8
	67	3	.1	.1	71.9
	68	1	.0	.0	71.9
	70	232	10.1	10.1	82.0
	71	1	.0	.0	82.1
	72	1	.0	.0	82.1
	74	1	.0	.0	82.2
	75	30	1.3	1.3	83.5
	78	2	.1	.1	83.6
	79	2	.1	.1	83.7
	80	27	1.2	1.2	84.8
	85	122	5.3	5.3	90.1

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
	88	1	.0	.0	90.2
	89	1	.0	.0	90.2
	90	14	.6	.6	90.8
	95	3	.1	.1	91.0
	100	125	5.4	5.4	96.4
	998 Don't recognize	82	3.6	3.6	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_N1b How would you rate American Indians or Native Americans?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1	103	4.5	4.5	4.5
	0	20	.9	.9	5.4
	2	1	.0	.0	5.4
	5	4	.2	.2	5.6
	7	2	.1	.1	5.7
	8	2	.1	.1	5.8
	10	1	.0	.0	5.8
	15	19	.8	.8	6.6
	20	6	.3	.3	6.9
	25	2	.1	.1	7.0
	30	25	1.1	1.1	8.1
	35	1	.0	.0	8.1
	39	1	.0	.0	8.2
	40	40	1.7	1.7	9.9
	45	3	.1	.1	10.0
	48	1	.0	.0	10.1
	50	519	22.6	22.6	32.7
	51	1	.0	.0	32.7
	52	1	.0	.0	32.8
	55	8	.3	.3	33.1
	60	175	7.6	7.6	40.8
	65	20	.9	.9	41.6
	66	2	.1	.1	41.7
	69	1	.0	.0	41.8
	70	326	14.2	14.2	56.0
	71	1	.0	.0	56.0
	74	2	.1	.1	56.1
	75	48	2.1	2.1	58.2
	76	1	.0	.0	58.2
	77	1	.0	.0	58.3
	78	3	.1	.1	58.4
	79	1	.0	.0	58.5
	80	54	2.4	2.4	60.8
	83	1	.0	.0	60.9

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
	85	359	15.6	15.6	76.5
	87	3	.1	.1	76.6
	89	1	.0	.0	76.7
	90	47	2.0	2.0	78.7
	95	13	.6	.6	79.3
	96	1	.0	.0	79.3
	97	1	.0	.0	79.4
	98	2	.1	.1	79.5
	99	3	.1	.1	79.6
	100	344	15.0	15.0	94.6
	998 Don't recognize	124	5.4	5.4	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_N1c How would you rate Latinos?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1	108	4.7	4.7	4.7
	0	36	1.6	1.6	6.3
	1	1	.0	.0	6.3
	2	1	.0	.0	6.4
	3	1	.0	.0	6.4
	4	1	.0	.0	6.5
	5	2	.1	.1	6.5
	9	1	.0	.0	6.6
	10	4	.2	.2	6.8
	12	1	.0	.0	6.8
	15	35	1.5	1.5	8.3
	20	10	.4	.4	8.8
	25	2	.1	.1	8.8
	26	1	.0	.0	8.9
	28	1	.0	.0	8.9
	30	43	1.9	1.9	10.8
	35	2	.1	.1	10.9
	40	81	3.5	3.5	14.4
	45	7	.3	.3	14.7
	49	1	.0	.0	14.8
	50	501	21.8	21.8	36.6
	51	1	.0	.0	36.7
	53	1	.0	.0	36.7
	55	10	.4	.4	37.1
	58	1	.0	.0	37.2
	59	1	.0	.0	37.2
	60	218	9.5	9.5	46.7
	65	20	.9	.9	47.6
	66	1	.0	.0	47.6

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
69	1	.0	.0	47.7
70	342	14.9	14.9	62.6
75	41	1.8	1.8	64.4
76	1	.0	.0	64.4
77	1	.0	.0	64.5
78	2	.1	.1	64.6
79	3	.1	.1	64.7
80	66	2.9	2.9	67.6
82	2	.1	.1	67.7
84	1	.0	.0	67.7
85	292	12.7	12.7	80.4
86	5	.2	.2	80.6
87	4	.2	.2	80.8
88	1	.0	.0	80.9
90	39	1.7	1.7	82.6
95	9	.4	.4	83.0
98	3	.1	.1	83.1
99	3	.1	.1	83.2
100	273	11.9	11.9	95.1
998 Don't recognize	112	4.9	4.9	100.0
Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_N1d How would you rate Whites?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1	108	4.7	4.7	4.7
	0	33	1.4	1.4	6.1
	2	2	.1	.1	6.2
	3	2	.1	.1	6.3
	5	4	.2	.2	6.5
	6	2	.1	.1	6.6
	7	2	.1	.1	6.7
	8	1	.0	.0	6.7
	10	1	.0	.0	6.8
	12	1	.0	.0	6.8
	15	28	1.2	1.2	8.0
	20	2	.1	.1	8.1
	25	3	.1	.1	8.2
	30	26	1.1	1.1	9.4
	34	1	.0	.0	9.4
	35	1	.0	.0	9.5
	39	1	.0	.0	9.5
	40	48	2.1	2.1	11.6
	45	5	.2	.2	11.8
	46	1	.0	.0	11.9

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
50	452	19.7	19.7	31.6
51	5	.2	.2	31.8
52	2	.1	.1	31.9
55	7	.3	.3	32.2
59	2	.1	.1	32.3
60	178	7.8	7.8	40.0
64	1	.0	.0	40.1
65	19	.8	.8	40.9
68	1	.0	.0	40.9
69	2	.1	.1	41.0
70	354	15.4	15.4	56.5
71	2	.1	.1	56.5
72	2	.1	.1	56.6
74	2	.1	.1	56.7
75	58	2.5	2.5	59.2
76	2	.1	.1	59.3
78	1	.0	.0	59.4
79	1	.0	.0	59.4
80	72	3.1	3.1	62.6
81	1	.0	.0	62.6
84	1	.0	.0	62.6
85	411	17.9	17.9	80.6
87	3	.1	.1	80.7
88	1	.0	.0	80.7
90	63	2.7	2.7	83.5
95	13	.6	.6	84.0
98	2	.1	.1	84.1
99	2	.1	.1	84.2
100	289	12.6	12.6	96.8
998 Don't recognize	73	3.2	3.2	100.0
Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_N1e How would you rate Blacks?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1	107	4.7	4.7	4.7
	0	29	1.3	1.3	5.9
	1	1	.0	.0	6.0
	2	2	.1	.1	6.1
	5	4	.2	.2	6.2
	7	2	.1	.1	6.3
	8	1	.0	.0	6.4
	10	8	.3	.3	6.7
	15	22	1.0	1.0	7.7
	20	8	.3	.3	8.0

24	1	.0	.0	8.1
25	1	.0	.0	8.1
30	25	1.1	1.1	9.2
35	3	.1	.1	9.3
40	60	2.6	2.6	11.9
43	1	.0	.0	12.0
44	1	.0	.0	12.0
45	9	.4	.4	12.4
49	2	.1	.1	12.5
50	403	17.6	17.6	30.1
51	2	.1	.1	30.2
55	8	.3	.3	30.5
56	1	.0	.0	30.6
59	2	.1	.1	30.6
60	152	6.6	6.6	37.3
62	1	.0	.0	37.3
65	14	.6	.6	37.9
67	1	.0	.0	38.0
68	1	.0	.0	38.0
69	1	.0	.0	38.1
70	274	11.9	11.9	50.0
72	1	.0	.0	50.0
73	1	.0	.0	50.1
74	2	.1	.1	50.2
75	47	2.0	2.0	52.2
76	2	.1	.1	52.3
80	56	2.4	2.4	54.8
85	358	15.6	15.6	70.4
88	3	.1	.1	70.5
89	3	.1	.1	70.6
90	56	2.4	2.4	73.1
92	2	.1	.1	73.1
93	1	.0	.0	73.2
95	23	1.0	1.0	74.2
96	1	.0	.0	74.2
98	6	.3	.3	74.5
99	2	.1	.1	74.6
100	518	22.6	22.6	97.2
998 Don't recognize	65	2.8	2.8	100.0
Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_N1f How would you rate Asians?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1	109	4.8	4.8	4.8
	0	29	1.3	1.3	6.0
	1	1	.0	.0	6.1
	2	1	.0	.0	6.1
	4	2	.1	.1	6.2
	5	2	.1	.1	6.3
	6	3	.1	.1	6.4
	7	1	.0	.0	6.5
	10	4	.2	.2	6.6
	12	1	.0	.0	6.7
	15	32	1.4	1.4	8.1
	20	5	.2	.2	8.3
	25	3	.1	.1	8.4
	28	1	.0	.0	8.5
	30	30	1.3	1.3	9.8
	36	1	.0	.0	9.8
	39	1	.0	.0	9.9
	40	61	2.7	2.7	12.5
	45	6	.3	.3	12.8
	47	1	.0	.0	12.8
	50	565	24.6	24.6	37.4
	52	1	.0	.0	37.5
	55	6	.3	.3	37.8
	59	1	.0	.0	37.8
	60	198	8.6	8.6	46.4
	62	1	.0	.0	46.5
	65	15	.7	.7	47.1
	69	2	.1	.1	47.2
	70	343	15.0	15.0	62.2
	72	2	.1	.1	62.2
	75	52	2.3	2.3	64.5
	77	1	.0	.0	64.6
	78	2	.1	.1	64.6
	79	3	.1	.1	64.8
	80	63	2.7	2.7	67.5
	81	1	.0	.0	67.6
	82	1	.0	.0	67.6
	85	289	12.6	12.6	80.2
	86	1	.0	.0	80.3
	88	2	.1	.1	80.3
	89	1	.0	.0	80.4

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
	90	44	1.9	1.9	82.3
	95	11	.5	.5	82.8
	97	1	.0	.0	82.8
	98	3	.1	.1	83.0
	99	2	.1	.1	83.0
	100	262	11.4	11.4	94.5
	998 Don't recognize	127	5.5	5.5	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_N1g How would you rate gays/lesbians?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1	106	4.6	4.6	4.6
	0	242	10.5	10.5	15.2
	1	2	.1	.1	15.3
	2	3	.1	.1	15.4
	3	3	.1	.1	15.5
	5	7	.3	.3	15.8
	6	1	.0	.0	15.9
	7	1	.0	.0	15.9
	10	10	.4	.4	16.3
	15	101	4.4	4.4	20.7
	19	1	.0	.0	20.8
	20	10	.4	.4	21.2
	23	1	.0	.0	21.3
	25	5	.2	.2	21.5
	30	69	3.0	3.0	24.5
	32	1	.0	.0	24.5
	35	2	.1	.1	24.6
	40	126	5.5	5.5	30.1
	42	1	.0	.0	30.2
	45	5	.2	.2	30.4
	47	2	.1	.1	30.5
	49	3	.1	.1	30.6
	50	570	24.8	24.8	55.4
	51	2	.1	.1	55.5
	53	1	.0	.0	55.6
	55	12	.5	.5	56.1
	59	1	.0	.0	56.1
	60	138	6.0	6.0	62.2
	62	1	.0	.0	62.2
	65	17	.7	.7	62.9
	66	1	.0	.0	63.0
	69	2	.1	.1	63.1
	70	196	8.5	8.5	71.6

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
	75	38	1.7	1.7	73.3
	77	1	.0	.0	73.3
	78	1	.0	.0	73.4
	79	2	.1	.1	73.5
	80	46	2.0	2.0	75.5
	85	194	8.5	8.5	83.9
	87	1	.0	.0	84.0
	88	1	.0	.0	84.0
	90	33	1.4	1.4	85.4
	95	7	.3	.3	85.7
	98	2	.1	.1	85.8
	99	2	.1	.1	85.9
	100	214	9.3	9.3	95.2
	998 Don't recognize	109	4.8	4.8	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_N1h How would you rate unionized workers?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1	101	4.4	4.4	4.4
	0	79	3.4	3.4	7.8
	1	3	.1	.1	8.0
	2	2	.1	.1	8.1
	3	1	.0	.0	8.1
	5	4	.2	.2	8.3
	10	9	.4	.4	8.7
	12	1	.0	.0	8.7
	15	64	2.8	2.8	11.5
	20	9	.4	.4	11.9
	22	1	.0	.0	11.9
	25	12	.5	.5	12.5
	30	62	2.7	2.7	15.2
	35	8	.3	.3	15.5
	39	1	.0	.0	15.6
	40	125	5.4	5.4	21.0
	45	6	.3	.3	21.3
	48	1	.0	.0	21.3
	49	2	.1	.1	21.4
	50	518	22.6	22.6	44.0
	53	1	.0	.0	44.0
	55	6	.3	.3	44.3
	56	2	.1	.1	44.4
	58	1	.0	.0	44.4
	60	168	7.3	7.3	51.7
	63	1	.0	.0	51.8

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
64	2	.1	.1	51.9
65	10	.4	.4	52.3
68	1	.0	.0	52.4
69	1	.0	.0	52.4
70	226	9.9	9.9	62.2
72	1	.0	.0	62.3
74	1	.0	.0	62.3
75	29	1.3	1.3	63.6
77	1	.0	.0	63.6
78	1	.0	.0	63.7
79	1	.0	.0	63.7
80	54	2.4	2.4	66.1
81	1	.0	.0	66.1
82	1	.0	.0	66.2
85	257	11.2	11.2	77.4
88	3	.1	.1	77.5
89	2	.1	.1	77.6
90	38	1.7	1.7	79.3
91	1	.0	.0	79.3
95	13	.6	.6	79.9
98	1	.0	.0	79.9
99	3	.1	.1	80.0
100	238	10.4	10.4	90.4
998 Don't recognize	220	9.6	9.6	100.0
Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_N1i How would you rate Congress?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1	96	4.2	4.2	4.2
	0	312	13.6	13.6	17.8
	1	2	.1	.1	17.9
	2	5	.2	.2	18.1
	3	1	.0	.0	18.1
	5	11	.5	.5	18.6
	6	1	.0	.0	18.7
	7	1	.0	.0	18.7
	9	2	.1	.1	18.8
	10	42	1.8	1.8	20.6
	12	1	.0	.0	20.7
	13	2	.1	.1	20.7
	15	260	11.3	11.3	32.1
	19	1	.0	.0	32.1
	20	35	1.5	1.5	33.7
	22	2	.1	.1	33.7

23	1	.0	.0	33.8
25	26	1.1	1.1	34.9
28	1	.0	.0	35.0
30	216	9.4	9.4	44.4
32	1	.0	.0	44.4
34	1	.0	.0	44.5
35	17	.7	.7	45.2
40	295	12.9	12.9	58.1
43	1	.0	.0	58.1
44	2	.1	.1	58.2
45	25	1.1	1.1	59.3
47	1	.0	.0	59.3
48	1	.0	.0	59.4
49	3	.1	.1	59.5
50	369	16.1	16.1	75.6
55	14	.6	.6	76.2
57	2	.1	.1	76.3
58	1	.0	.0	76.3
59	2	.1	.1	76.4
60	165	7.2	7.2	83.6
62	1	.0	.0	83.7
64	1	.0	.0	83.7
65	9	.4	.4	84.1
66	3	.1	.1	84.2
69	1	.0	.0	84.3
70	139	6.1	6.1	90.3
71	1	.0	.0	90.4
73	1	.0	.0	90.4
74	2	.1	.1	90.5
75	12	.5	.5	91.0
76	1	.0	.0	91.1
78	1	.0	.0	91.1
79	2	.1	.1	91.2
80	17	.7	.7	91.9
82	1	.0	.0	92.0
85	57	2.5	2.5	94.5
89	2	.1	.1	94.6
90	3	.1	.1	94.7
91	1	.0	.0	94.7
95	1	.0	.0	94.8
100	23	1.0	1.0	95.8
998 Don't recognize	97	4.2	4.2	100.0
Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_N1j How would you rate the wealthiest 1%?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1	109	4.8	4.8	4.8
	0	318	13.9	13.9	18.6
	1	13	.6	.6	19.2
	2	4	.2	.2	19.4
	3	1	.0	.0	19.4
	4	1	.0	.0	19.4
	5	29	1.3	1.3	20.7
	6	3	.1	.1	20.8
	8	1	.0	.0	20.9
	10	30	1.3	1.3	22.2
	11	1	.0	.0	22.2
	12	1	.0	.0	22.3
	13	1	.0	.0	22.3
	14	1	.0	.0	22.4
	15	194	8.5	8.5	30.8
	17	1	.0	.0	30.9
	18	1	.0	.0	30.9
	20	35	1.5	1.5	32.4
	25	12	.5	.5	33.0
	28	1	.0	.0	33.0
	30	144	6.3	6.3	39.3
	32	1	.0	.0	39.3
	35	4	.2	.2	39.5
	38	1	.0	.0	39.5
	40	147	6.4	6.4	45.9
	41	1	.0	.0	46.0
	44	1	.0	.0	46.0
	45	14	.6	.6	46.6
	49	3	.1	.1	46.8
	50	567	24.7	24.7	71.5
	51	1	.0	.0	71.5
	55	12	.5	.5	72.1
	60	117	5.1	5.1	77.2
	65	7	.3	.3	77.5
	67	1	.0	.0	77.5
	69	3	.1	.1	77.6
	70	122	5.3	5.3	83.0
	72	1	.0	.0	83.0
	73	1	.0	.0	83.0
	75	17	.7	.7	83.8
	78	3	.1	.1	83.9
	80	17	.7	.7	84.7
	83	1	.0	.0	84.7

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
85	99	4.3	4.3	89.0
86	1	.0	.0	89.1
88	1	.0	.0	89.1
90	10	.4	.4	89.5
95	1	.0	.0	89.6
99	1	.0	.0	89.6
100	86	3.7	3.7	93.4
998 Don't recognize	152	6.6	6.6	100.0
Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_N1k How would you rate the unemployed?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1	109	4.8	4.8	4.8
	0	89	3.9	3.9	8.6
	1	2	.1	.1	8.7
	2	2	.1	.1	8.8
	5	6	.3	.3	9.1
	10	10	.4	.4	9.5
	15	47	2.0	2.0	11.6
	20	6	.3	.3	11.8
	25	7	.3	.3	12.1
	30	56	2.4	2.4	14.6
	35	8	.3	.3	14.9
	39	1	.0	.0	15.0
	40	99	4.3	4.3	19.3
	41	1	.0	.0	19.3
	45	13	.6	.6	19.9
	47	1	.0	.0	19.9
	50	573	25.0	25.0	44.9
	52	2	.1	.1	45.0
	55	17	.7	.7	45.7
	56	1	.0	.0	45.8
	59	1	.0	.0	45.8
	60	263	11.5	11.5	57.3
	62	2	.1	.1	57.4
	64	2	.1	.1	57.5
	65	12	.5	.5	58.0
	66	1	.0	.0	58.0
	67	1	.0	.0	58.1
	70	300	13.1	13.1	71.1
	71	1	.0	.0	71.2
	75	49	2.1	2.1	73.3
	76	2	.1	.1	73.4
	78	1	.0	.0	73.5

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
	79	2	.1	.1	73.5
	80	43	1.9	1.9	75.4
	81	1	.0	.0	75.5
	85	244	10.6	10.6	86.1
	88	1	.0	.0	86.1
	89	1	.0	.0	86.2
	90	30	1.3	1.3	87.5
	95	15	.7	.7	88.1
	98	1	.0	.0	88.2
	99	4	.2	.2	88.4
	100	174	7.6	7.6	95.9
	998 Don't recognize	93	4.1	4.1	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_N1l How would you rate unmarried mothers?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1	95	4.1	4.1	4.1
	0	36	1.6	1.6	5.7
	1	1	.0	.0	5.8
	2	1	.0	.0	5.8
	3	2	.1	.1	5.9
	5	3	.1	.1	6.0
	7	1	.0	.0	6.1
	8	1	.0	.0	6.1
	10	6	.3	.3	6.4
	15	59	2.6	2.6	8.9
	16	1	.0	.0	9.0
	20	10	.4	.4	9.4
	23	1	.0	.0	9.5
	25	7	.3	.3	9.8
	30	61	2.7	2.7	12.4
	32	1	.0	.0	12.5
	33	3	.1	.1	12.6
	35	4	.2	.2	12.8
	37	2	.1	.1	12.9
	40	140	6.1	6.1	19.0
	45	10	.4	.4	19.4
	46	1	.0	.0	19.4
	48	1	.0	.0	19.5
	49	3	.1	.1	19.6
	50	558	24.3	24.3	43.9
	51	1	.0	.0	44.0
	55	10	.4	.4	44.4
	59	1	.0	.0	44.5

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
60	203	8.8	8.8	53.3
61	1	.0	.0	53.4
65	11	.5	.5	53.8
67	1	.0	.0	53.9
70	280	12.2	12.2	66.1
73	1	.0	.0	66.1
75	42	1.8	1.8	68.0
76	1	.0	.0	68.0
78	2	.1	.1	68.1
79	2	.1	.1	68.2
80	56	2.4	2.4	70.6
85	258	11.2	11.2	81.9
87	2	.1	.1	82.0
88	4	.2	.2	82.1
89	1	.0	.0	82.2
90	39	1.7	1.7	83.9
91	2	.1	.1	84.0
95	12	.5	.5	84.5
98	2	.1	.1	84.6
99	4	.2	.2	84.7
100	266	11.6	11.6	96.3
998 Don't recognize	84	3.7	3.7	100.0
Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_N1m How would you rate undocumented immigrants?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1	105	4.6	4.6	4.6
	0	444	19.4	19.4	23.9
	1	5	.2	.2	24.1
	2	2	.1	.1	24.2
	3	1	.0	.0	24.3
	5	9	.4	.4	24.7
	6	1	.0	.0	24.7
	7	2	.1	.1	24.8
	10	27	1.2	1.2	26.0
	12	1	.0	.0	26.0
	14	1	.0	.0	26.1
	15	216	9.4	9.4	35.5
	16	1	.0	.0	35.5
	17	2	.1	.1	35.6
	20	13	.6	.6	36.2
	22	1	.0	.0	36.2
	25	11	.5	.5	36.7
	30	160	7.0	7.0	43.7

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
	35	7	.3	.3	44.0
	40	254	11.1	11.1	55.1
	44	1	.0	.0	55.1
	45	17	.7	.7	55.8
	47	1	.0	.0	55.9
	48	3	.1	.1	56.0
	49	3	.1	.1	56.1
	50	390	17.0	17.0	73.1
	51	4	.2	.2	73.3
	55	14	.6	.6	73.9
	56	1	.0	.0	74.0
	60	144	6.3	6.3	80.3
	65	8	.3	.3	80.6
	66	2	.1	.1	80.7
	70	126	5.5	5.5	86.2
	72	1	.0	.0	86.2
	74	1	.0	.0	86.3
	75	26	1.1	1.1	87.4
	76	1	.0	.0	87.4
	77	1	.0	.0	87.5
	80	23	1.0	1.0	88.5
	85	68	3.0	3.0	91.5
	90	7	.3	.3	91.8
	95	3	.1	.1	91.9
	99	1	.0	.0	91.9
	100	56	2.4	2.4	94.4
	998 Don't recognize	129	5.6	5.6	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_N1n How would you rate public school teachers?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1	89	3.9	3.9	3.9
	0	23	1.0	1.0	4.9
	3	1	.0	.0	4.9
	4	1	.0	.0	5.0
	5	3	.1	.1	5.1
	6	1	.0	.0	5.1
	7	1	.0	.0	5.2
	10	5	.2	.2	5.4
	15	28	1.2	1.2	6.6
	20	1	.0	.0	6.7
	23	1	.0	.0	6.7
	25	5	.2	.2	6.9
	26	1	.0	.0	7.0

30	32	1.4	1.4	8.4
32	1	.0	.0	8.4
33	1	.0	.0	8.5
35	3	.1	.1	8.6
37	2	.1	.1	8.7
40	68	3.0	3.0	11.6
42	1	.0	.0	11.7
44	2	.1	.1	11.8
45	4	.2	.2	11.9
48	1	.0	.0	12.0
49	1	.0	.0	12.0
50	209	9.1	9.1	21.1
51	2	.1	.1	21.2
52	1	.0	.0	21.3
55	11	.5	.5	21.8
60	219	9.5	9.5	31.3
65	11	.5	.5	31.8
67	1	.0	.0	31.8
68	2	.1	.1	31.9
70	343	15.0	15.0	46.9
72	1	.0	.0	46.9
75	44	1.9	1.9	48.8
76	1	.0	.0	48.9
77	3	.1	.1	49.0
78	4	.2	.2	49.2
79	3	.1	.1	49.3
80	86	3.7	3.7	53.1
82	1	.0	.0	53.1
85	463	20.2	20.2	73.3
86	3	.1	.1	73.4
87	1	.0	.0	73.5
88	2	.1	.1	73.5
89	8	.3	.3	73.9
90	91	4.0	4.0	77.9
94	1	.0	.0	77.9
95	27	1.2	1.2	79.1
96	2	.1	.1	79.2
97	1	.0	.0	79.2
98	4	.2	.2	79.4
99	3	.1	.1	79.5
100	409	17.8	17.8	97.3
998 Don't recognize	61	2.7	2.7	100.0
Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_O1 [Black people should teach their children to fight against racial discrimination as much as possible] How much emphasis or de-emphasis should Black people place on each

statement in the education of their children?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	108	4.7	4.7	4.7
	1 Strong de-emphasis	98	4.3	4.3	9.0
	2 Some de-emphasis	94	4.1	4.1	13.1
	3 No emphasis nor de-emphasis	564	24.6	24.6	37.7
	4 Some emphasis	585	25.5	25.5	63.2
	5 Strong emphasis	845	36.8	36.8	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_O3 [Black people should teach their children not think that all Whites are racist] How much emphasis or de-emphasis should Black people place on each statement in the education of their children?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	107	4.7	4.7	4.7
	1 Strong de-emphasis	119	5.2	5.2	9.9
	2 Some de-emphasis	69	3.0	3.0	12.9
	3 No emphasis nor de-emphasis	416	18.1	18.1	31.0
	4 Some emphasis	522	22.8	22.8	53.7
	5 Strong emphasis	1061	46.3	46.3	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_O4 [Black people should teach their children to avoid behaviors that are characteristic of Black stereotypes] How much emphasis or de-emphasis should Black people place on each statement in the education of their children?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	115	5.0	5.0	5.0
	1 Strong de-emphasis	109	4.8	4.8	9.8
	2 Some de-emphasis	115	5.0	5.0	14.8
	3 No emphasis nor de-emphasis	728	31.7	31.7	46.5
	4 Some emphasis	586	25.5	25.5	72.1
	5 Strong emphasis	641	27.9	27.9	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_O5 [Black people should teach their children to be careful around the police] How much emphasis or de-emphasis should Black people place on each statement in the education of their children?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	112	4.9	4.9	4.9
	1 Strong de-emphasis	178	7.8	7.8	12.6
	2 Some de-emphasis	181	7.9	7.9	20.5
	3 No emphasis nor de-emphasis	793	34.6	34.6	55.1
	4 Some emphasis	554	24.1	24.1	79.3
	5 Strong emphasis	476	20.7	20.7	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_P2 People talk about social classes such as the poor, the working class, the middle class, the upper-middle class, and the upper class. Which of these classes would you say you belong to?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	87	3.8	3.8	3.8
	1 Poor	258	11.2	11.2	15.0
	2 Working class	755	32.9	32.9	48.0
	3 Middle class	955	41.6	41.6	89.6
	4 Upper-middle class	214	9.3	9.3	98.9
	5 Upper class	25	1.1	1.1	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_P3 Which of these classes would you say most memebers of your family belong to?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	91	4.0	4.0	4.0
	1 Poor	154	6.7	6.7	10.7
	2 Working class	906	39.5	39.5	50.2
	3 Middle class	953	41.5	41.5	91.7
	4 Upper-middle class	172	7.5	7.5	99.2
	5 Upper class	18	.8	.8	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_P4 Do you consider yourself to be...

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	23	1.0	11.7	11.7
	1 Heterosexual or straight	162	7.1	82.2	93.9
	2 Gay	3	.1	1.5	95.4
	3 Lesbian	3	.1	1.5	97.0
	4 Bisexual	2	.1	1.0	98.0
	5 Other, please specify:	4	.2	2.0	100.0
	Total	197	8.6	100.0	
Missing	System	2097	91.4		
Total		2294	100.0		

W1_P4_Other_Text [Other] Do you consider yourself to be...

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid		2292	99.9	99.9	99.9
	myself	1	.0	.0	100.0
	Real Human	1	.0	.0	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_P5 Do you have any friends or relatives who you know to be gay, lesbian, bisexual, or transgender?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	17	.7	8.7	8.7
	1 Yes	143	6.2	73.3	82.1
	2 No	35	1.5	17.9	100.0
	Total	195	8.5	100.0	
Missing	System	2099	91.5		
Total		2294	100.0		

W1_P5A How long have you been living here in your current community?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	56	2.4	2.4	2.4
	1 Less than one year	92	4.0	4.0	6.5
	2 One to three years	298	13.0	13.0	19.4
	3 Four to ten years	504	22.0	22.0	41.4
	4 More than ten years but not entire life	980	42.7	42.7	84.1
	5 Entire life	364	15.9	15.9	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_P6 Is anyone in your household on active duty in the U.S. Armed Forces, Military Reserves, or National Guard?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	74	3.2	3.2	3.2
	1 Yes, on active duty	115	5.0	5.0	8.2
	2 No, but on active duty in the past	571	24.9	24.9	33.1
	3 No, trained for the Reserves or National Guard, but never activated	72	3.1	3.1	36.3
	4 No, no one in the household has ever served in the military	1462	63.7	63.7	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_P8 Does anyone in your household currently belong to a union?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	47	2.0	2.0	2.0
	1 Yes	378	16.5	16.5	18.5
	2 No	1869	81.5	81.5	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_P9 Has anyone in your household ever been arrested for a crime?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	73	3.2	3.2	3.2
	1 Yes	399	17.4	17.4	20.6
	2 No	1822	79.4	79.4	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_P10 Do you have any friends or relatives having a criminal conviction?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	81	3.5	3.5	3.5
	1 Yes	1013	44.2	44.2	47.7
	2 No	1200	52.3	52.3	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_P11 Is anyone in your household currently unemployed?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	62	2.7	2.7	2.7
	1 Yes	867	37.8	37.8	40.5
	2 No	1365	59.5	59.5	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_P13 Are you a citizen of the United States?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	7	.3	4.1	4.1
	1 Yes	159	6.9	92.4	96.5
	2 No	6	.3	3.5	100.0
	Total	172	7.5	100.0	
Missing	System	2122	92.5		
Total		2294	100.0		

W1_P13A Were you born a United States citizen or are you a naturalized U.S. citizen?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	37	1.6	1.7	1.7
	1 Born a U.S. citizen	2107	91.8	94.1	95.7
	2 Naturalized U.S. citizen	96	4.2	4.3	100.0
	Total	2240	97.6	100.0	
Missing	System	54	2.4		
Total		2294	100.0		

W1_P13B In what year did you become a U.S. citizen? Please enter the full year when you became a citizen, for example 1962 or 2000.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1	9	.4	9.4	9.4
	1945	1	.0	1.0	10.4
	1949	1	.0	1.0	11.5
	1954	1	.0	1.0	12.5
	1956	1	.0	1.0	13.5
	1958	1	.0	1.0	14.6
	1963	1	.0	1.0	15.6
	1965	3	.1	3.1	18.8
	1966	1	.0	1.0	19.8
	1967	2	.1	2.1	21.9
	1970	2	.1	2.1	24.0
	1973	2	.1	2.1	26.0
	1974	1	.0	1.0	27.1
	1975	1	.0	1.0	28.1
	1976	2	.1	2.1	30.2
	1977	1	.0	1.0	31.3
	1978	3	.1	3.1	34.4
	1981	2	.1	2.1	36.5
	1983	1	.0	1.0	37.5
	1985	2	.1	2.1	39.6
	1986	1	.0	1.0	40.6
	1988	1	.0	1.0	41.7
	1989	1	.0	1.0	42.7
	1990	5	.2	5.2	47.9
	1991	3	.1	3.1	51.0
	1992	1	.0	1.0	52.1
	1993	1	.0	1.0	53.1
	1994	1	.0	1.0	54.2
	1995	5	.2	5.2	59.4
	1996	2	.1	2.1	61.5
	1997	3	.1	3.1	64.6
	1998	2	.1	2.1	66.7
	2000	5	.2	5.2	71.9
	2001	3	.1	3.1	75.0
	2002	3	.1	3.1	78.1
	2003	1	.0	1.0	79.2
	2004	4	.2	4.2	83.3
	2005	3	.1	3.1	86.5
	2006	2	.1	2.1	88.5
	2008	1	.0	1.0	89.6
	2009	5	.2	5.2	94.8
	2010	1	.0	1.0	95.8
	2011	3	.1	3.1	99.0
	2012	1	.0	1.0	100.0
	Total	96	4.2	100.0	

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Missing	System	2198	95.8		
Total		2294	100.0		

W1_P13B_TEXT Codes

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	9	.4	100.0	100.0
Missing	System	2285	99.6		
Total		2294	100.0		

W1_P14 Do you presently have any kind of health insurance?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	50	2.2	2.2	2.2
	1 Yes	1851	80.7	80.7	82.9
	2 No	393	17.1	17.1	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_P15 Do you personally, or jointly with a spouse, have any money invested in the stock market right now—either in an individual stock or in a mutual fund?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	79	3.4	3.4	3.4
	1 Yes	915	39.9	39.9	43.3
	2 No	1300	56.7	56.7	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_P16A [Social security] Check any box to designate other sources of income you or anyone in your household may have received in 2011.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	0 No	1618	70.5	70.5	70.5
	1 Yes	676	29.5	29.5	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_P16B [Worker's Compensation] Check any box to designate other sources of income you or anyone in your household may have received in 2011.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	0 No	2270	99.0	99.0	99.0
	1 Yes	24	1.0	1.0	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_P16C [Unemployment compensation] Check any box to designate other sources of income you or anyone in your household may have received in 2011.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	0 No	2109	91.9	91.9	91.9
	1 Yes	185	8.1	8.1	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_P16D [TANF or Welfare] Check any box to designate other sources of income you or anyone in your household may have received in 2011.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	0 No	2242	97.7	97.7	97.7
	1 Yes	52	2.3	2.3	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_P16E [Food Stamps] Check any box to designate from other sources of income you or anyone in your household may have received in 2011.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	0 No	1941	84.6	84.6	84.6
	1 Yes	353	15.4	15.4	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_P16F [Free or Reduced School Lunch and/or Breakfast] Check any box to designate from other sources of income you or anyone in your household may have received in 2011.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	0 No	2147	93.6	93.6	93.6
	1 Yes	147	6.4	6.4	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_P16G [Supplemental Security Income (SSI)] Check any box to designate from other sources of income you or anyone in your household may have received in 2011.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	0 No	2171	94.6	94.6	94.6
	1 Yes	123	5.4	5.4	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_P16H [Energy Assistance] Check any box to designate from other sources of income you or anyone in your household may have received in 2011.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	0 No	2174	94.8	94.8	94.8
	1 Yes	120	5.2	5.2	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_P16I [Poor Housing Assistance] Check any box to designate from other sources of income you or anyone in your household may have received in 2011.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	0 No	2249	98.0	98.0	98.0
	1 Yes	45	2.0	2.0	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_P16J [Disability Payments] Check any box to designate from other sources of income you or anyone in your household may have received in 2011.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	0 No	2147	93.6	93.6	93.6
	1 Yes	147	6.4	6.4	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_P16K [Veterans' Payments] Check any box to designate from other sources of income you or anyone in your household may have received in 2011.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	0 No	2198	95.8	95.8	95.8
	1 Yes	96	4.2	4.2	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_P16L [Survivor Payments] Check any box to designate from other sources of income you or anyone in your household may have received in 2011.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	0 No	2264	98.7	98.7	98.7
	1 Yes	30	1.3	1.3	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_P16M [No one in my household received income from any of these sources] Check any box to designate from other sources of income you or anyone in your household may have received in 2011.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	0 No	1306	56.9	56.9	56.9
	1 Yes	988	43.1	43.1	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_P16_Refused [Refused] Check any box to designate from other sources of income you or anyone in your household may have received in 2011.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	0 No	2176	94.9	94.9	94.9
	1 Yes	118	5.1	5.1	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_P17 Do you have any biological or adopted children?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	40	1.7	1.7	1.7
	1 Yes	1304	56.8	56.8	58.6
	2 No	950	41.4	41.4	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_P17A How many biological or adopted children do you have?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	9	.4	.7	.7
	1 One	306	13.3	23.5	24.2
	2 Two	481	21.0	36.9	61.0
	3 Three	267	11.6	20.5	81.5
	4 Four	138	6.0	10.6	92.1
	5 Five	51	2.2	3.9	96.0
	6 Six	25	1.1	1.9	97.9
	7 Seven	11	.5	.8	98.8
	8 Eight	5	.2	.4	99.2
	9 Nine	1	.0	.1	99.2
	10 Ten or More	10	.4	.8	100.0
	Total	1304	56.8	100.0	
Missing	System	990	43.2		
Total		2294	100.0		

W1_P18 Who provides 60% or more of the financial support for your children?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	279	12.2	21.4	21.4
	1 You	752	32.8	57.7	79.1
	2 Your children's biological/adoptive father/mother	210	9.2	16.1	95.2
	3 Your legal spouse (not the children's biological parent)	26	1.1	2.0	97.2
	4 A relative	13	.6	1.0	98.2
	5 State provided support	24	1.0	1.8	100.0
	Total	1304	56.8	100.0	
Missing	System	990	43.2		
Total		2294	100.0		

W1_P19 Which of the following best describes where your minor children (under 18) live?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	539	23.5	41.3	41.3
	1 The children live with both biological/adoptive parents	414	18.0	31.7	73.1
	2 The children live equally with me and their other biological/adoptive parent	74	3.2	5.7	78.8
	3 The children live with me and a non-biological/adoptive parent	35	1.5	2.7	81.4
	4 The children live only with me	206	9.0	15.8	97.2
	5 The children live with relatives	36	1.6	2.8	100.0
	Total	1304	56.8	100.0	
Missing	System	990	43.2		
Total		2294	100.0		

W1_P20 Which of the following income groups includes YOUR personal annual income (Do not include the income of other members of your household)?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	202	8.8	8.8	8.8
	1 Less than $5,000	261	11.4	11.4	20.2
	2 $5,000 to $7,499	72	3.1	3.1	23.3
	3 $7,500 to $9,999	58	2.5	2.5	25.9
	4 $10,000 to $12,499	107	4.7	4.7	30.5
	5 $12,500 to $14,999	87	3.8	3.8	34.3
	6 $15,000 to $19,999	91	4.0	4.0	38.3
	7 $20,000 to $24,999	149	6.5	6.5	44.8
	8 $25,000 to $29,999	127	5.5	5.5	50.3
	9 $30,000 to $34,999	118	5.1	5.1	55.4
	10 $35,000 to $39,999	107	4.7	4.7	60.1
	11 $40,000 to $49,999	174	7.6	7.6	67.7
	12 $50,000 to $59,999	166	7.2	7.2	74.9
	13 $60,000 to $74,999	185	8.1	8.1	83.0
	14 $75,000 to $84,999	86	3.7	3.7	86.7
	15 $85,000 to $99,999	81	3.5	3.5	90.3
	16 $100,000 to $124,999	114	5.0	5.0	95.2
	17 $125,000 to $149,999	38	1.7	1.7	96.9
	18 $150,000 to $174,999	44	1.9	1.9	98.8
	19 $175,000 or more	27	1.2	1.2	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_P21 Does your household subscribe to a digital cable television service?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	26	1.1	2.2	2.2
	1 Yes	577	25.2	49.3	51.5
	2 No	567	24.7	48.5	100.0
	Total	1170	51.0	100.0	
Missing	System	1124	49.0		
Total		2294	100.0		

W1_Order_Q1 Forward or backward order of Q1

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 1-4	1160	50.6	50.6	50.6
	2 4-1	1134	49.4	49.4	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_Q1_A Would people in your neighborhood find taking a survey using a computer connected to Internet difficult or easy?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	95	4.1	4.1	4.1
	1 Very difficult	41	1.8	1.8	5.9
	2 Difficult	210	9.2	9.2	15.1
	3 Easy	1236	53.9	53.9	69.0
	4 Very easy	712	31.0	31.0	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_Q1_B Did you find taking this survey using a computer connected to the Internet difficult or easy?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	57	2.5	2.5	2.5
	1 Very difficult	23	1.0	1.0	3.5
	2 Difficult	89	3.9	3.9	7.4
	3 Easy	1018	44.4	44.4	51.7
	4 Very easy	1107	48.3	48.3	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_QA1 Would you say that you have been much more interested, somewhat more interested, or not much interested in following political campains which involve an African American candidate?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	47	2.0	3.7	3.7
	1 Much more interested	350	15.3	27.4	31.1
	2 A little more interested	262	11.4	20.5	51.6
	3 Equally interested	449	19.6	35.1	86.7
	4 Somewhat interested	70	3.1	5.5	92.2
	5 Not much interested	100	4.4	7.8	100.0
	Total	1278	55.7	100.0	
Missing	System	1016	44.3		
Total		2294	100.0		

W1_QA2 Do you think what happens generally to Black people in this country will have something to do with what happens in your life?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	59	2.6	4.6	4.6
	1 Yes	747	32.6	58.5	63.1
	2 No	472	20.6	36.9	100.0
	Total	1278	55.7	100.0	
Missing	System	1016	44.3		
Total		2294	100.0		

W1_QA2A Will it affect you a lot, some, or not very much?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	3	.1	.4	.4
	1 A lot	229	10.0	30.7	31.1
	2 Some	389	17.0	52.1	83.1
	3 Not very much	74	3.2	9.9	93.0
	4 Don't know	52	2.3	7.0	100.0
	Total	747	32.6	100.0	
Missing	System	1547	67.4		
Total		2294	100.0		

W1_QA4a [Blacks elected to office really don't have the power to change things for Blacks in this country.] Indicate whether you agree strongly, agree somewhat, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with the statement.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	72	3.1	5.6	5.6
	1 Agree strongly	150	6.5	11.7	17.4
	2 Agree somewhat	433	18.9	33.9	51.3
	3 Disagree somewhat	410	17.9	32.1	83.3
	4 Disagree strongly	213	9.3	16.7	100.0
	Total	1278	55.7	100.0	
Missing	System	1016	44.3		
Total		2294	100.0		

W1_QA4b [Blacks should always vote for Black candidates when they run.] Indicate whether you agree strongly, agree somewhat, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with the statement.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	73	3.2	5.7	5.7
	1 Agree strongly	63	2.7	4.9	10.6
	2 Agree somewhat	147	6.4	11.5	22.1
	3 Disagree somewhat	459	20.0	35.9	58.1
	4 Disagree strongly	536	23.4	41.9	100.0
	Total	1278	55.7	100.0	
Missing	System	1016	44.3		
Total		2294	100.0		

W1_QA4c [Black people should shop in Black-owned stores whenever possible.] Indicate whether you agree strongly, agree somewhat, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with the statement.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	70	3.1	5.5	5.5
	1 Agree strongly	217	9.5	17.0	22.5
	2 Agree somewhat	455	19.8	35.6	58.1
	3 Disagree somewhat	292	12.7	22.8	80.9
	4 Disagree strongly	244	10.6	19.1	100.0
	Total	1278	55.7	100.0	
Missing	System	1016	44.3		
Total		2294	100.0		

W1_QA5d [Blacks should not have anything to do with Whites if they can help it.] Indicate whether you agree strongly, agree somewhat, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with the statement.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	71	3.1	5.6	5.6
	1 Agree strongly	17	.7	1.3	6.9
	2 Agree somewhat	64	2.8	5.0	11.9
	3 Disagree somewhat	181	7.9	14.2	26.1
	4 Disagree strongly	945	41.2	73.9	100.0
	Total	1278	55.7	100.0	
Missing	System	1016	44.3		
Total		2294	100.0		

W1_QA6 Some people feel that the 2008 election of Barack Obama signaled a significant shift in U.S. race relations that showed blacks now enjoy racial equality. To what extent do you agree or disagree with that perception?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	71	3.1	5.6	5.6
	1 Agree strongly	136	5.9	10.6	16.2
	2 Agree somewhat	397	17.3	31.1	47.3
	3 Disagree somewhat	373	16.3	29.2	76.4
	4 Disagree strongly	301	13.1	23.6	100.0
	Total	1278	55.7	100.0	
Missing	System	1016	44.3		
Total		2294	100.0		

W1_QB1 Some people say that over the last 20 years or so, there has been a lot of progress in getting rid of racial discrimination. Others say there hasn't been much real change for most Blacks over that time. Which do you agree with most?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	71	3.1	5.6	5.6
	1 A lot of progress	511	22.3	40.0	45.5
	2 Not much real change	696	30.3	54.5	100.0
	Total	1278	55.7	100.0	
Missing	System	1016	44.3		
Total		2294	100.0		

W1_QB2 Do you think that generally what happens in this country to Black men will have something to do with what happens in your life?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	68	3.0	5.3	5.3
	1 Yes	682	29.7	53.4	58.7
	2 No	528	23.0	41.3	100.0
	Total	1278	55.7	100.0	
Missing	System	1016	44.3		
Total		2294	100.0		

W1_QB2A Will it affect you a lot, some, or not very much?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	3	.1	.4	.4
	1 A lot	207	9.0	30.4	30.8
	2 Some	418	18.2	61.3	92.1
	3 Not very much	54	2.4	7.9	100.0
	Total	682	29.7	100.0	
Missing	System	1612	70.3		
Total		2294	100.0		

W1_QB3 What about Black women? Do you think that generally what happens in this country to Black women will have something to do with what happens in your life?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	63	2.7	4.9	4.9
	1 Yes	735	32.0	57.5	62.4
	2 No	480	20.9	37.6	100.0
	Total	1278	55.7	100.0	
Missing	System	1016	44.3		
Total		2294	100.0		

W1_QB3A Will it affect you a lot, some, or not very much?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	2	.1	.3	.3
	1 A lot	270	11.8	36.7	37.0
	2 Some	407	17.7	55.4	92.4
	3 Not very much	56	2.4	7.6	100.0
	Total	735	32.0	100.0	
Missing	System	1559	68.0		
Total		2294	100.0		

W1_Order_M13 Forward or backward order of B4

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 1-4	1137	49.6	49.6	49.6
	2 4-1	1157	50.4	50.4	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W1_M13_A [God is removed from worldly affairs.] For believers and non-believers, based on your personal understanding, what do you think God is like…

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	111	4.8	8.7	8.7
	1 Strongly agree	211	9.2	16.5	25.2
	2 Somewhat agree	218	9.5	17.1	42.3
	3 Somewhat disagree	294	12.8	23.0	65.3
	4 Strongly disagree	444	19.4	34.7	100.0
	Total	1278	55.7	100.0	
Missing	System	1016	44.3		
Total		2294	100.0		

W1_M13_B [God's plan is to make the United States successful.] For believers and non-believers, based on your personal understanding, what do you think God is like…

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	132	5.8	10.3	10.3
	1 Strongly agree	300	13.1	23.5	33.8
	2 Somewhat agree	331	14.4	25.9	59.7
	3 Somewhat disagree	249	10.9	19.5	79.2
	4 Strongly disagree	266	11.6	20.8	100.0
	Total	1278	55.7	100.0	
Missing	System	1016	44.3		
Total		2294	100.0		

W1_M13_C [God is angered by human sin.] For believers and non-believers, based on your personal understanding, what do you think God is like…

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	108	4.7	8.5	8.5
	1 Strongly agree	562	24.5	44.0	52.4
	2 Somewhat agree	296	12.9	23.2	75.6
	3 Somewhat disagree	149	6.5	11.7	87.2
	4 Strongly disagree	163	7.1	12.8	100.0
	Total	1278	55.7	100.0	
Missing	System	1016	44.3		
Total		2294	100.0		

W1_M13_D [God has a plan and directs human life.] For believers and non-believers, based on your personal understanding, what do you think God is like…

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 Refused	108	4.7	8.5	8.5
	1 Strongly agree	690	30.1	54.0	62.4
	2 Somewhat agree	275	12.0	21.5	84.0
	3 Somewhat disagree	96	4.2	7.5	91.5
	4 Strongly disagree	109	4.8	8.5	100.0
	Total	1278	55.7	100.0	
Missing	System	1016	44.3		
Total		2294	100.0		

W2_QB1A Which one of the following best describes what you did in the elections that were held November 6th?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 REFUSED	9	.4	.6	.6
	1 Definitely did not vote in the elections	246	10.7	15.4	15.9
	2 Definitely voted in person at a polling place on Election Day	749	32.7	46.8	62.7
	3 Definitely voted in person at a polling place before Election Day	305	13.3	19.1	81.8
	4 Definitely voted by mailing a ballot to elections officials before the election	243	10.6	15.2	96.9
	5 Definitely voted in some other way	30	1.3	1.9	98.8
	6 Not completely sure whether you voted or not	19	.8	1.2	100.0
	Total	1601	69.8	100.0	
Missing	System	693	30.2		
Total		2294	100.0		

W2_QB1B If you had to guess, would you say that you probably did vote in the elections, or probably did not vote in the elections?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 REFUSED	2	.1	10.5	10.5
	1 Probably did vote in the elections	4	.2	21.1	31.6
	2 Probably did not vote in the elections	13	.6	68.4	100.0
	Total	19	.8	100.0	
Missing	System	2275	99.2		
Total		2294	100.0		

W2_QB1C How about the election for President? Did you vote for a candidate for President?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Yes	3	.1	75.0	75.0
	2 No	1	.0	25.0	100.0
	Total	4	.2	100.0	
Missing	System	2290	99.8		
Total		2294	100.0		

W2_QB1D [Text] Who did you vote for?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Democrat	1	.0	33.3	33.3
	2 Republican	1	.0	33.3	66.7
	3 Other; specify	1	.0	33.3	100.0
	Total	3	.1	100.0	
Missing	System	2291	99.9		
Total		2294	100.0		

W2_QB1D_Text

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid		2293	100.0	100.0	100.0
	dk	1	.0	.0	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

W2_QB2A How about the elections for other state and local offices, did you vote a straight ticket or did you vote for candidates from different parties?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 REFUSED	126	5.5	7.9	7.9
	1 Straight ticket - Democratic	676	29.5	42.2	50.1
	2 Split ticket - mostly Democratic	292	12.7	18.2	68.3
	3 Split ticket - about half and half, NA how split	182	7.9	11.4	79.7
	4 Split ticket - mostly Republican	164	7.1	10.2	89.9
	5 Straight ticket – Republican	161	7.0	10.1	100.0
	Total	1601	69.8	100.0	
Missing	System	693	30.2		
Total		2294	100.0		

W2_QB2B Did you vote in person or by mail?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 REFUSED	1	.0	33.3	33.3
	1 In person	2	.1	66.7	100.0
	Total	3	.1	100.0	
Missing	System	2291	99.9		
Total		2294	100.0		

W2_QB3 How often would you say you vote?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 REFUSED	43	1.9	2.7	2.7
	1 Always	851	37.1	53.2	55.8
	2 Nearly Always	418	18.2	26.1	81.9
	3 Part Of The Time	89	3.9	5.6	87.5
	4 Seldom	200	8.7	12.5	100.0
	Total	1601	69.8	100.0	
Missing	System	693	30.2		
Total		2294	100.0		

W2_QE1 When you think about your future, are you generally optimistic, pessimistic, or neither optimistic nor pessimistic?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 REFUSED	31	1.4	1.9	1.9
	1 Optimistic	880	38.4	55.0	56.9
	2 Pessimistic	230	10.0	14.4	71.3
	3 Neither	460	20.1	28.7	100.0
	Total	1601	69.8	100.0	
Missing	System	693	30.2		
Total		2294	100.0		

W2_QE1A Are you extremely [optimistic/pessimistic], moderately [optimistic/pessimistic], or slightly [optimistic/pessimistic]?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 REFUSED	1	.0	.1	.1
	1 Extremely	329	14.3	29.6	29.7
	2 Moderately	655	28.6	59.0	88.7
	3 Slightly	125	5.4	11.3	100.0
	Total	1110	48.4	100.0	
Missing	System	1184	51.6		
Total		2294	100.0		

W2_QE2 And when you think about the future of the United States as a whole, are you generally optimistic, pessimistic, or neither optimistic nor pessimistic?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 REFUSED	26	1.1	1.6	1.6
	1 Optimistic	739	32.2	46.2	47.8
	2 Pessimistic	391	17.0	24.4	72.2
	3 Neither	445	19.4	27.8	100.0
	Total	1601	69.8	100.0	
Missing	System	693	30.2		
Total		2294	100.0		

W2_QE2A Are you extremely [optimistic/pessimistic], moderately [optimistic/pessimistic], or slightly [optimistic/pessimistic]?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 REFUSED	6	.3	.5	.5
	1 Extremely	339	14.8	30.0	30.5
	2 Moderately	611	26.6	54.1	84.6
	3 Slightly	174	7.6	15.4	100.0
	Total	1130	49.3	100.0	
Missing	System	1164	50.7		
Total		2294	100.0		

W2_QE3 How far along the road to your American Dream do you think you will ultimately get on a 10-point scale where 1 is not far at all and 10 nearly there?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 REFUSED	36	1.6	2.2	2.2
	1 1 - Not far at all in achieving the American Dream	91	4.0	5.7	7.9
	2 2	59	2.6	3.7	11.6
	3 3	82	3.6	5.1	16.7
	4 4	113	4.9	7.1	23.8
	5 5	278	12.1	17.4	41.2
	6 6	199	8.7	12.4	53.6
	7 7	255	11.1	15.9	69.5
	8 8	220	9.6	13.7	83.3
	9 9	85	3.7	5.3	88.6
	10 10 - Nearly there in achieving the American Dream	183	8.0	11.4	100.0
	Total	1601	69.8	100.0	
Missing	System	693	30.2		
Total		2294	100.0		

W2_QF1A Where would you rate WHITE WOMEN in general on this scale?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 REFUSED	57	2.5	3.6	3.6
	1 Disloyal to their racial group 1	12	.5	.7	4.3
	2 2	21	.9	1.3	5.6
	3 3	57	2.5	3.6	9.2
	4 Not close to one end or the other 4	494	21.5	30.9	40.0
	5 5	354	15.4	22.1	62.1
	6 6	366	16.0	22.9	85.0
	7 Loyal to their racial group 7	240	10.5	15.0	100.0
	Total	1601	69.8	100.0	
Missing	System	693	30.2		
Total		2294	100.0		

W2_QF1B Where would you rate BLACK WOMEN in general on this scale?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 REFUSED	53	2.3	3.3	3.3
	1 Disloyal to their racial group 1	11	.5	.7	4.0
	2 2	11	.5	.7	4.7
	3 3	48	2.1	3.0	7.7
	4 Not close to one end or the other 4	386	16.8	24.1	31.8
	5 5	279	12.2	17.4	49.2
	6 6	451	19.7	28.2	77.4
	7 Loyal to their racial group 7	362	15.8	22.6	100.0
	Total	1601	69.8	100.0	
Missing	System	693	30.2		
Total		2294	100.0		

W2_QF1C Where would you rate WHITE MEN in general on this scale?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 REFUSED	56	2.4	3.5	3.5
	1 Disloyal to their racial group 1	14	.6	.9	4.4
	2 2	13	.6	.8	5.2
	3 3	39	1.7	2.4	7.6
	4 Not close to one end or the other 4	415	18.1	25.9	33.5
	5 5	316	13.8	19.7	53.3
	6 6	376	16.4	23.5	76.8
	7 Loyal to their racial group 7	372	16.2	23.2	100.0
	Total	1601	69.8	100.0	
Missing	System	693	30.2		
Total		2294	100.0		

W2_QF1D Where would you rate BLACK MEN in general on this scale?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 REFUSED	58	2.5	3.6	3.6
	1 Disloyal to their racial group 1	17	.7	1.1	4.7
	2 2	33	1.4	2.1	6.7
	3 3	71	3.1	4.4	11.2
	4 Not close to one end or the other 4	417	18.2	26.0	37.2
	5 5	316	13.8	19.7	57.0
	6 6	379	16.5	23.7	80.6
	7 Loyal to their racial group 7	310	13.5	19.4	100.0
	Total	1601	69.8	100.0	
Missing	System	693	30.2		
Total		2294	100.0		

W2_QF2A Where would you rate WHITE WOMEN in general on this scale?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 REFUSED	60	2.6	3.7	3.7
	1 Oversexed as a group 1	79	3.4	4.9	8.7
	2 2	93	4.1	5.8	14.5
	3 3	166	7.2	10.4	24.9
	4 Not close to one end or the other 4	897	39.1	56.0	80.9
	5 5	184	8.0	11.5	92.4
	6 6	89	3.9	5.6	97.9
	7 Undersexed as a group 7	33	1.4	2.1	100.0
	Total	1601	69.8	100.0	
Missing	System	693	30.2		
Total		2294	100.0		

W2_QF2B Where would you rate BLACK WOMEN in general on this scale?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 REFUSED	61	2.7	3.8	3.8
	1 Oversexed as a group 1	73	3.2	4.6	8.4
	2 2	84	3.7	5.2	13.6
	3 3	188	8.2	11.7	25.4
	4 Not close to one end or the other 4	902	39.3	56.3	81.7
	5 5	164	7.1	10.2	91.9
	6 6	91	4.0	5.7	97.6
	7 Undersexed as a group 7	38	1.7	2.4	100.0
	Total	1601	69.8	100.0	
Missing	System	693	30.2		
Total		2294	100.0		

W2_QF2C Where would you rate WHITE MEN in general on this scale?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 REFUSED	60	2.6	3.7	3.7
	1 Oversexed as a group 1	97	4.2	6.1	9.8
	2 2	121	5.3	7.6	17.4
	3 3	195	8.5	12.2	29.5
	4 Not close to one end or the other 4	840	36.6	52.5	82.0
	5 5	167	7.3	10.4	92.4
	6 6	79	3.4	4.9	97.4
	7 Undersexed as a group 7	42	1.8	2.6	100.0
	Total	1601	69.8	100.0	
Missing	System	693	30.2		
Total		2294	100.0		

W2_QF2D Where would you rate BLACK MEN in general on this scale?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 REFUSED	61	2.7	3.8	3.8
	1 Oversexed as a group 1	152	6.6	9.5	13.3
	2 2	164	7.1	10.2	23.5
	3 3	236	10.3	14.7	38.3
	4 Not close to one end or the other 4	752	32.8	47.0	85.3
	5 5	117	5.1	7.3	92.6
	6 6	82	3.6	5.1	97.7
	7 Undersexed as a group 7	37	1.6	2.3	100.0
	Total	1601	69.8	100.0	
Missing	System	693	30.2		
Total		2294	100.0		

W2_QF3A Where would you rate WHITE WOMEN in general on this scale?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 REFUSED	63	2.7	3.9	3.9
	1 Like men more than women 1	119	5.2	7.4	11.4
	2 2	181	7.9	11.3	22.7
	3 3	156	6.8	9.7	32.4
	4 Not close to one end or the other 4	705	30.7	44.0	76.5
	5 5	214	9.3	13.4	89.8
	6 6	118	5.1	7.4	97.2
	7 Like women more than men 7	45	2.0	2.8	100.0
	Total	1601	69.8	100.0	
Missing	System	693	30.2		
Total		2294	100.0		

W2_QF3B Where would you rate BLACK WOMEN in general on this scale?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 REFUSED	60	2.6	3.7	3.7
	1 Like men more than women 1	191	8.3	11.9	15.7
	2 2	247	10.8	15.4	31.1
	3 3	143	6.2	8.9	40.0
	4 Not close to one end or the other 4	668	29.1	41.7	81.8
	5 5	158	6.9	9.9	91.6
	6 6	98	4.3	6.1	97.8
	7 Like women more than men 7	36	1.6	2.2	100.0
	Total	1601	69.8	100.0	
Missing	System	693	30.2		
Total		2294	100.0		

W2_QF3C Where would you rate WHITE MEN in general on this scale?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 REFUSED	59	2.6	3.7	3.7
	1 Like men more than women 1	47	2.0	2.9	6.6
	2 2	61	2.7	3.8	10.4
	3 3	122	5.3	7.6	18.1
	4 Not close to one end or the other 4	681	29.7	42.5	60.6
	5 5	243	10.6	15.2	75.8
	6 6	261	11.4	16.3	92.1
	7 Like women more than men 7	127	5.5	7.9	100.0
	Total	1601	69.8	100.0	
Missing	System	693	30.2		
Total		2294	100.0		

W2_QF3D Where would you rate BLACK MEN in general on this scale?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 REFUSED	63	2.7	3.9	3.9
	1 Like men more than women 1	24	1.0	1.5	5.4
	2 2	45	2.0	2.8	8.2
	3 3	74	3.2	4.6	12.9
	4 Not close to one end or the other 4	619	27.0	38.7	51.5
	5 5	207	9.0	12.9	64.5
	6 6	338	14.7	21.1	85.6
	7 Like women more than men 7	231	10.1	14.4	100.0
	Total	1601	69.8	100.0	
Missing	System	693	30.2		
Total		2294	100.0		

W2_QF4A Where would you rate WHITE WOMEN in general on this scale?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 REFUSED	57	2.5	3.6	3.6
	1 Prefer to be Self-supporting 1	230	10.0	14.4	17.9
	2 2	314	13.7	19.6	37.5
	3 3	279	12.2	17.4	55.0
	4 Not close to one end or the other 4	515	22.4	32.2	87.1
	5 5	120	5.2	7.5	94.6
	6 6	52	2.3	3.2	97.9
	7 Prefer to live off welfare 7	34	1.5	2.1	100.0
	Total	1601	69.8	100.0	
Missing	System	693	30.2		
Total		2294	100.0		

W2_QF4B Where would you rate BLACK WOMEN in general on this scale?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 REFUSED	53	2.3	3.3	3.3
	1 Prefer to be Self-supporting 1	191	8.3	11.9	15.2
	2 2	211	9.2	13.2	28.4
	3 3	205	8.9	12.8	41.2
	4 Not close to one end or the other 4	479	20.9	29.9	71.1
	5 5	224	9.8	14.0	85.1
	6 6	140	6.1	8.7	93.9
	7 Prefer to live off welfare 7	98	4.3	6.1	100.0
	Total	1601	69.8	100.0	
Missing	System	693	30.2		
Total		2294	100.0		

W2_QF4C Where would you rate WHITE MEN in general on this scale?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 REFUSED	53	2.3	3.3	3.3
	1 Prefer to be Self-supporting 1	345	15.0	21.5	24.9
	2 2	411	17.9	25.7	50.5
	3 3	212	9.2	13.2	63.8
	4 Not close to one end or the other 4	417	18.2	26.0	89.8
	5 5	74	3.2	4.6	94.4
	6 6	52	2.3	3.2	97.7
	7 Prefer to live off welfare 7	37	1.6	2.3	100.0
	Total	1601	69.8	100.0	
Missing	System	693	30.2		
Total		2294	100.0		

W2_QF4D Where would you rate BLACK MEN in general on this scale?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 REFUSED	49	2.1	3.1	3.1
	1 Prefer to be Self-supporting 1	199	8.7	12.4	15.5
	2 2	228	9.9	14.2	29.7
	3 3	222	9.7	13.9	43.6
	4 Not close to one end or the other 4	512	22.3	32.0	75.6
	5 5	203	8.8	12.7	88.3
	6 6	114	5.0	7.1	95.4
	7 Prefer to live off welfare 7	74	3.2	4.6	100.0
	Total	1601	69.8	100.0	
Missing	System	693	30.2		
Total		2294	100.0		

W2_QF5A Where would you rate WHITE WOMEN in general on this scale?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 REFUSED	48	2.1	3.0	3.0
	1 Fragile 1	59	2.6	3.7	6.7
	2 2	82	3.6	5.1	11.8
	3 3	177	7.7	11.1	22.9
	4 Not close to one end or the other 4	573	25.0	35.8	58.7
	5 5	332	14.5	20.7	79.4
	6 6	235	10.2	14.7	94.1
	7 Strong 7	95	4.1	5.9	100.0
	Total	1601	69.8	100.0	
Missing	System	693	30.2		
Total		2294	100.0		

W2_QF5B Where would you rate BLACK WOMEN in general on this scale?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 REFUSED	54	2.4	3.4	3.4
	1 Fragile 1	11	.5	.7	4.1
	2 2	19	.8	1.2	5.2
	3 3	57	2.5	3.6	8.8
	4 Not close to one end or the other 4	489	21.3	30.5	39.4
	5 5	321	14.0	20.0	59.4
	6 6	337	14.7	21.0	80.4
	7 Strong 7	313	13.6	19.6	100.0
	Total	1601	69.8	100.0	
Missing	System	693	30.2		
Total		2294	100.0		

W2_QF5C Where would you rate WHITE MEN in general on this scale?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 REFUSED	53	2.3	3.3	3.3
	1 Fragile 1	22	1.0	1.4	4.7
	2 2	17	.7	1.1	5.7
	3 3	69	3.0	4.3	10.1
	4 Not close to one end or the other 4	534	23.3	33.4	43.4
	5 5	337	14.7	21.0	64.5
	6 6	405	17.7	25.3	89.8
	7 Strong 7	164	7.1	10.2	100.0
	Total	1601	69.8	100.0	
Missing	System	693	30.2		
Total		2294	100.0		

W2_QF5D Where would you rate BLACK MEN in general on this scale?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 REFUSED	55	2.4	3.4	3.4
	1 Fragile 1	14	.6	.9	4.3
	2 2	12	.5	.7	5.1
	3 3	61	2.7	3.8	8.9
	4 Not close to one end or the other 4	486	21.2	30.4	39.2
	5 5	321	14.0	20.0	59.3
	6 6	408	17.8	25.5	84.8
	7 Strong 7	244	10.6	15.2	100.0
	Total	1601	69.8	100.0	
Missing	System	693	30.2		
Total		2294	100.0		

W2_QF6A Where would you rate WHITE WOMEN in general on this scale?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 REFUSED	53	2.3	3.3	3.3
	1 Excellent parents 1	121	5.3	7.6	10.9
	2 2	335	14.6	20.9	31.8
	3 3	317	13.8	19.8	51.6
	4 Not close to one end or the other 4	616	26.9	38.5	90.1
	5 5	89	3.9	5.6	95.6
	6 6	61	2.7	3.8	99.4
	7 Poor parents 7	9	.4	.6	100.0
	Total	1601	69.8	100.0	
Missing	System	693	30.2		
Total		2294	100.0		

W2_QF6B Where would you rate BLACK WOMEN in general on this scale?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 REFUSED	53	2.3	3.3	3.3
	1 Excellent parents 1	134	5.8	8.4	11.7
	2 2	269	11.7	16.8	28.5
	3 3	265	11.6	16.6	45.0
	4 Not close to one end or the other 4	597	26.0	37.3	82.3
	5 5	170	7.4	10.6	92.9
	6 6	74	3.2	4.6	97.6
	7 Poor parents 7	39	1.7	2.4	100.0
	Total	1601	69.8	100.0	
Missing	System	693	30.2		
Total		2294	100.0		

W2_QF6C Where would you rate WHITE MEN in general on this scale?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 REFUSED	53	2.3	3.3	3.3
	1 Excellent parents 1	81	3.5	5.1	8.4
	2 2	211	9.2	13.2	21.5
	3 3	350	15.3	21.9	43.4
	4 Not close to one end or the other 4	701	30.6	43.8	87.2
	5 5	140	6.1	8.7	95.9
	6 6	53	2.3	3.3	99.3
	7 Poor parents 7	12	.5	.7	100.0
	Total	1601	69.8	100.0	
Missing	System	693	30.2		
Total		2294	100.0		

W2_QF6D Where would you rate BLACK MEN in general on this scale?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 REFUSED	49	2.1	3.1	3.1
	1 Excellent parents 1	64	2.8	4.0	7.1
	2 2	111	4.8	6.9	14.0
	3 3	223	9.7	13.9	27.9
	4 Not close to one end or the other 4	669	29.2	41.8	69.7
	5 5	248	10.8	15.5	85.2
	6 6	156	6.8	9.7	94.9
	7 Poor parents 7	81	3.5	5.1	100.0
	Total	1601	69.8	100.0	
Missing	System	693	30.2		
Total		2294	100.0		

W2_QF7A Where would you rate WHITE WOMEN in general on this scale?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 REFUSED	56	2.4	3.5	3.5
	1 Disagreeable 1	20	.9	1.2	4.7
	2 2	21	.9	1.3	6.1
	3 3	109	4.8	6.8	12.9
	4 Not close to one end or the other 4	768	33.5	48.0	60.8
	5 5	341	14.9	21.3	82.1
	6 6	212	9.2	13.2	95.4
	7 Agreeable 7	74	3.2	4.6	100.0
	Total	1601	69.8	100.0	
Missing	System	693	30.2		
Total		2294	100.0		

W2_QF7B Where would you rate BLACK WOMEN in general on this scale?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 REFUSED	62	2.7	3.9	3.9
	1 Disagreeable 1	54	2.4	3.4	7.2
	2 2	82	3.6	5.1	12.4
	3 3	226	9.9	14.1	26.5
	4 Not close to one end or the other 4	734	32.0	45.8	72.3
	5 5	243	10.6	15.2	87.5
	6 6	140	6.1	8.7	96.3
	7 Agreeable 7	60	2.6	3.7	100.0
	Total	1601	69.8	100.0	
Missing	System	693	30.2		
Total		2294	100.0		

W2_QF7C Where would you rate WHITE MEN in general on this scale?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 REFUSED	61	2.7	3.8	3.8
	1 Disagreeable 1	28	1.2	1.7	5.6
	2 2	41	1.8	2.6	8.1
	3 3	112	4.9	7.0	15.1
	4 Not close to one end or the other 4	805	35.1	50.3	65.4
	5 5	327	14.3	20.4	85.8
	6 6	172	7.5	10.7	96.6
	7 Agreeable 7	55	2.4	3.4	100.0
	Total	1601	69.8	100.0	
Missing	System	693	30.2		
Total		2294	100.0		

W2_QF7D Where would you rate BLACK MEN in general on this scale?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 REFUSED	52	2.3	3.2	3.2
	1 Disagreeable 1	52	2.3	3.2	6.5
	2 2	89	3.9	5.6	12.1
	3 3	221	9.6	13.8	25.9
	4 Not close to one end or the other 4	803	35.0	50.2	76.0
	5 5	235	10.2	14.7	90.7
	6 6	107	4.7	6.7	97.4
	7 Agreeable 7	42	1.8	2.6	100.0
	Total	1601	69.8	100.0	
Missing	System	693	30.2		
Total		2294	100.0		

W2_QF8 Do you think of yourself as a feminist or not?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 REFUSED	62	2.7	3.9	3.9
	1 Yes	288	12.6	18.0	21.9
	2 No	1251	54.5	78.1	100.0
	Total	1601	69.8	100.0	
Missing	System	693	30.2		
Total		2294	100.0		

W2_QF9 How concerned are you personally about women's rights?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 REFUSED	37	1.6	2.3	2.3
	1 Very Concerned	362	15.8	22.6	24.9
	2 Somewhat Concerned	710	31.0	44.3	69.3
	3 Not Very Concerned	358	15.6	22.4	91.6
	4 Not Concerned At All	134	5.8	8.4	100.0
	Total	1601	69.8	100.0	
Missing	System	693	30.2		
Total		2294	100.0		

W2_QF10A Where would you rate WHITE WOMEN in general on this scale?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 REFUSED	54	2.4	3.4	3.4
	1 Feminine 1	10	.4	.6	4.0
	2 2	9	.4	.6	4.6
	3 3	37	1.6	2.3	6.9
	4 Not close to one end or the other 4	481	21.0	30.0	36.9
	5 5	207	9.0	12.9	49.8
	6 6	490	21.4	30.6	80.4
	7 Masculine 7	313	13.6	19.6	100.0
	Total	1601	69.8	100.0	
Missing	System	693	30.2		
Total		2294	100.0		

W2_QF10B Where would you rate BLACK WOMEN in general on this scale?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 REFUSED	59	2.6	3.7	3.7
	1 Feminine 1	12	.5	.7	4.4
	2 2	13	.6	.8	5.2
	3 3	60	2.6	3.7	9.0
	4 Not close to one end or the other 4	531	23.1	33.2	42.2
	5 5	306	13.3	19.1	61.3
	6 6	430	18.7	26.9	88.1
	7 Masculine 7	190	8.3	11.9	100.0
	Total	1601	69.8	100.0	
Missing	System	693	30.2		
Total		2294	100.0		

W2_QF10C Where would you rate WHITE MEN in general on this scale?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 REFUSED	60	2.6	3.7	3.7
	1 Feminine 1	262	11.4	16.4	20.1
	2 2	464	20.2	29.0	49.1
	3 3	260	11.3	16.2	65.3
	4 Not close to one end or the other 4	490	21.4	30.6	95.9
	5 5	54	2.4	3.4	99.3
	6 6	7	.3	.4	99.8
	7 Masculine 7	4	.2	.2	100.0
	Total	1601	69.8	100.0	
Missing	System	693	30.2		
Total		2294	100.0		

W2_QF10D Where would you rate BLACK MEN in general on this scale?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 REFUSED	59	2.6	3.7	3.7
	1 Feminine 1	203	8.8	12.7	16.4
	2 2	376	16.4	23.5	39.9
	3 3	290	12.6	18.1	58.0
	4 Not close to one end or the other 4	535	23.3	33.4	91.4
	5 5	104	4.5	6.5	97.9
	6 6	18	.8	1.1	99.0
	7 Masculine 7	16	.7	1.0	100.0
	Total	1601	69.8	100.0	
Missing	System	693	30.2		
Total		2294	100.0		

W2_QG_1 [More people of different races marrying each other.] Please indicate if you think each of the following trends is generally a good thing for our society, a bad thing for our society, or doesn't make much difference

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 REFUSED	40	1.7	2.5	2.5
	1 Good thing for our society	433	18.9	27.0	29.5
	2 Doesn't make much difference	970	42.3	60.6	90.1
	3 Bad thing for our society	158	6.9	9.9	100.0
	Total	1601	69.8	100.0	
Missing	System	693	30.2		
Total		2294	100.0		

W2_QG_2 [More women not ever having children.] Please indicate if you think each of the following trends is generally a good thing for our society, a bad thing for our society, or doesn't make much difference

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 REFUSED	47	2.0	2.9	2.9
	1 Good thing for our society	243	10.6	15.2	18.1
	2 Doesn't make much difference	838	36.5	52.3	70.5
	3 Bad thing for our society	473	20.6	29.5	100.0
	Total	1601	69.8	100.0	
Missing	System	693	30.2		
Total		2294	100.0		

W2_QG_3 [More single women deciding to have children without a male partner to help raise them.] Please indicate if you think each of the following trends is generally a good thing for our society, a bad thing for our society, or doesn't make much difference

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 REFUSED	48	2.1	3.0	3.0
	1 Good thing for our society	66	2.9	4.1	7.1
	2 Doesn't make much difference	541	23.6	33.8	40.9
	3 Bad thing for our society	946	41.2	59.1	100.0
	Total	1601	69.8	100.0	
Missing	System	693	30.2		
Total		2294	100.0		

W2_QH1 Discrimination against women is no longer a problem in the U.S. Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 REFUSED	36	1.6	2.2	2.2
	1 Agree strongly	103	4.5	6.4	8.7
	2 Agree somewhat	310	13.5	19.4	28.0
	3 Neither agree nor disagree	342	14.9	21.4	49.4
	4 Disagree somewhat	580	25.3	36.2	85.6
	5 Disagree strongly	230	10.0	14.4	100.0
	Total	1601	69.8	100.0	
Missing	System	693	30.2		
Total		2294	100.0		

W2_QH2 Do you think what happens generally to WOMEN in this country will have something to do with what happens in your life?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 REFUSED	37	1.6	2.3	2.3
	1 Yes	685	29.9	42.8	45.1
	2 No	453	19.7	28.3	73.4
	3 Don't know	426	18.6	26.6	100.0
	Total	1601	69.8	100.0	
Missing	System	693	30.2		
Total		2294	100.0		

W2_QH2a Will it affect you a lot, or not very much?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 REFUSED	3	.1	.4	.4
	1 A lot	141	6.1	20.6	21.0
	2 Some	433	18.9	63.2	84.2
	3 Not very much	84	3.7	12.3	96.5
	4 Don't know	24	1.0	3.5	100.0
	Total	685	29.9	100.0	
Missing	System	1609	70.1		
Total		2294	100.0		

W2_QI1 Which number from 1 to 7 best represents how you think government should work?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 REFUSED	47	2.0	2.9	2.9
	1 People make all decisions on their own 1	93	4.1	5.8	8.7
	2 2	139	6.1	8.7	17.4
	3 3	250	10.9	15.6	33.0
	4 4	739	32.2	46.2	79.2
	5 5	247	10.8	15.4	94.6
	6 6	59	2.6	3.7	98.3
	7 Elected officials make all decisions on their own 7	27	1.2	1.7	100.0
	Total	1601	69.8	100.0	
Missing	System	693	30.2		
Total		2294	100.0		

W2_QI2 How does the government in Washington actually work?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 REFUSED	47	2.0	2.9	2.9
	1 People make all decisions on their own 1	32	1.4	2.0	4.9
	2 2	22	1.0	1.4	6.3
	3 3	68	3.0	4.2	10.6
	4 4	399	17.4	24.9	35.5
	5 5	358	15.6	22.4	57.8
	6 6	425	18.5	26.5	84.4
	7 Elected officials make all decisions on their own 7	250	10.9	15.6	100.0
	Total	1601	69.8	100.0	
Missing	System	693	30.2		
Total		2294	100.0		

W2_QI3 A law has been proposed that would limit members of Congress to no more than 12 consecutive years of service in that office. Do you favor or oppose such a law?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 REFUSED	61	2.7	3.8	3.8
	1 Favor	1271	55.4	79.4	83.2
	2 Oppose	269	11.7	16.8	100.0
	Total	1601	69.8	100.0	
Missing	System	693	30.2		
Total		2294	100.0		

W2_QI4_A Our government would run better if decisions were left up to successful business people.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 REFUSED	45	2.0	2.8	2.8
	1 Agree Strongly	64	2.8	4.0	6.8
	2 Agree Somewhat	293	12.8	18.3	25.1
	3 Neither Agree or Disagree	537	23.4	33.5	58.7
	4 Disagree Somewhat	358	15.6	22.4	81.0
	5 Disagree Strongly	304	13.3	19.0	100.0
	Total	1601	69.8	100.0	
Missing	System	693	30.2		
Total		2294	100.0		

W2_QI4_B Our government would run better if decisions were left up to nonelected, independent experts rather than politicians or the people.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 REFUSED	50	2.2	3.1	3.1
	1 Agree Strongly	41	1.8	2.6	5.7
	2 Agree Somewhat	319	13.9	19.9	25.6
	3 Neither Agree or Disagree	608	26.5	38.0	63.6
	4 Disagree Somewhat	346	15.1	21.6	85.2
	5 Disagree Strongly	237	10.3	14.8	100.0
	Total	1601	69.8	100.0	
Missing	System	693	30.2		
Total		2294	100.0		

W2_QI4_C Our government would run better if decisions were left up to election officials representing ordinary citizens who come from both lower and upper classes.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 REFUSED	48	2.1	3.0	3.0
	1 Agree Strongly	239	10.4	14.9	17.9
	2 Agree Somewhat	640	27.9	40.0	57.9
	3 Neither Agree or Disagree	488	21.3	30.5	88.4
	4 Disagree Somewhat	118	5.1	7.4	95.8
	5 Disagree Strongly	68	3.0	4.2	100.0
	Total	1601	69.8	100.0	
Missing	System	693	30.2		
Total		2294	100.0		

W2_QI4_D The U.S. government is largely responsible for many of the economic and social problems the poor experience today.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 REFUSED	48	2.1	3.0	3.0
	1 Agree Strongly	297	12.9	18.6	21.5
	2 Agree Somewhat	485	21.1	30.3	51.8
	3 Neither Agree or Disagree	483	21.1	30.2	82.0
	4 Disagree Somewhat	202	8.8	12.6	94.6
	5 Disagree Strongly	86	3.7	5.4	100.0
	Total	1601	69.8	100.0	
Missing	System	693	30.2		
Total		2294	100.0		

W2_QDISP11 "We'd like to get your feelings toward several groups of Americans.Please rate each group using the feeling thermometer. Ratings between 50 degrees and 100 degrees mean that you feel favorable, or warm, toward the group. Ratings between 0 degrees

		Frequency	Percent
Missing	System	2294	100.0

W2_J1_A [How would you rate people with AIDS/HIV?] Please rate each group using the feeling thermometer.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 REFUSED	72	3.1	4.5	4.5
	0	36	1.6	2.2	6.7
	1	121	5.3	7.6	14.3
	5	1	.0	.1	14.4
	10	9	.4	.6	14.9
	12	1	.0	.1	15.0
	15	42	1.8	2.6	17.6
	20	3	.1	.2	17.8
	25	1	.0	.1	17.9
	30	58	2.5	3.6	21.5
	34	1	.0	.1	21.5
	35	2	.1	.1	21.7
	40	133	5.8	8.3	30.0
	42	1	.0	.1	30.0
	45	9	.4	.6	30.6
	49	1	.0	.1	30.7
	50	374	16.3	23.4	54.0
	55	9	.4	.6	54.6
	58	1	.0	.1	54.7
	59	1	.0	.1	54.7
	60	174	7.6	10.9	65.6
	65	11	.5	.7	66.3
	66	2	.1	.1	66.4
	69	2	.1	.1	66.5
	70	187	8.2	11.7	78.2
	72	1	.0	.1	78.3
	73	1	.0	.1	78.3
	75	20	.9	1.2	79.6
	80	27	1.2	1.7	81.3
	85	157	6.8	9.8	91.1
	86	1	.0	.1	91.1
	87	1	.0	.1	91.2
	88	1	.0	.1	91.3
	90	10	.4	.6	91.9
	95	2	.1	.1	92.0
	97	1	.0	.1	92.1
	99	1	.0	.1	92.1
	100	126	5.5	7.9	100.0
	Total	1601	69.8	100.0	
Missing	System	693	30.2		
Total		2294	100.0		

W2_J1_B [How would you rate people in jail?] Please rate each group using the feeling thermometer.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 REFUSED	63	2.7	3.9	3.9
	0	137	6.0	8.6	12.5
	1	97	4.2	6.1	18.6
	2	3	.1	.2	18.7
	5	4	.2	.2	19.0
	6	1	.0	.1	19.1
	10	20	.9	1.2	20.3
	14	3	.1	.2	20.5
	15	215	9.4	13.4	33.9
	16	1	.0	.1	34.0
	18	2	.1	.1	34.1
	20	30	1.3	1.9	36.0
	21	1	.0	.1	36.0
	25	11	.5	.7	36.7
	26	1	.0	.1	36.8
	29	1	.0	.1	36.9
	30	217	9.5	13.6	50.4
	35	11	.5	.7	51.1
	39	1	.0	.1	51.2
	40	234	10.2	14.6	65.8
	42	1	.0	.1	65.8
	45	18	.8	1.1	67.0
	49	3	.1	.2	67.1
	50	252	11.0	15.7	82.9
	55	6	.3	.4	83.3
	58	2	.1	.1	83.4
	60	104	4.5	6.5	89.9
	65	5	.2	.3	90.2
	68	1	.0	.1	90.3
	69	2	.1	.1	90.4
	70	81	3.5	5.1	95.4
	72	1	.0	.1	95.5
	75	7	.3	.4	95.9
	77	1	.0	.1	96.0
	80	9	.4	.6	96.6
	85	28	1.2	1.7	98.3
	86	1	.0	.1	98.4
	90	2	.1	.1	98.5
	95	1	.0	.1	98.6
	99	1	.0	.1	98.6
	100	22	1.0	1.4	100.0
	Total	1601	69.8	100.0	
Missing	System	693	30.2		
Total		2294	100.0		

W2_J1_C [How would you rate gay males?] Please rate each group using the feeling thermometer.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 REFUSED	67	2.9	4.2	4.2
	0	142	6.2	8.9	13.1
	1	93	4.1	5.8	18.9
	2	2	.1	.1	19.0
	3	2	.1	.1	19.1
	5	8	.3	.5	19.6
	7	1	.0	.1	19.7
	10	11	.5	.7	20.4
	15	70	3.1	4.4	24.7
	16	1	.0	.1	24.8
	20	8	.3	.5	25.3
	25	5	.2	.3	25.6
	30	85	3.7	5.3	30.9
	33	1	.0	.1	31.0
	35	4	.2	.2	31.2
	40	116	5.1	7.2	38.5
	42	1	.0	.1	38.5
	43	2	.1	.1	38.7
	44	1	.0	.1	38.7
	45	7	.3	.4	39.2
	46	1	.0	.1	39.2
	49	2	.1	.1	39.4
	50	396	17.3	24.7	64.1
	55	8	.3	.5	64.6
	58	1	.0	.1	64.6
	59	1	.0	.1	64.7
	60	106	4.6	6.6	71.3
	65	7	.3	.4	71.8
	69	2	.1	.1	71.9
	70	141	6.1	8.8	80.7
	75	20	.9	1.2	81.9
	77	1	.0	.1	82.0
	80	27	1.2	1.7	83.7
	85	116	5.1	7.2	90.9
	87	1	.0	.1	91.0
	88	1	.0	.1	91.1
	90	22	1.0	1.4	92.4
	93	1	.0	.1	92.5
	95	3	.1	.2	92.7
	98	3	.1	.2	92.9
	99	2	.1	.1	93.0
	100	112	4.9	7.0	100.0
	Total	1601	69.8	100.0	
Missing	System	693	30.2		
Total		2294	100.0		

W2_J1_D [How would you rate lesbian females?] Please rate each group using the feeling thermometer.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 REFUSED	65	2.8	4.1	4.1
	0	134	5.8	8.4	12.4
	1	101	4.4	6.3	18.7
	2	3	.1	.2	18.9
	4	1	.0	.1	19.0
	5	4	.2	.2	19.2
	7	1	.0	.1	19.3
	10	13	.6	.8	20.1
	12	1	.0	.1	20.2
	13	1	.0	.1	20.2
	15	77	3.4	4.8	25.0
	16	2	.1	.1	25.2
	20	8	.3	.5	25.7
	25	8	.3	.5	26.2
	30	80	3.5	5.0	31.2
	31	1	.0	.1	31.2
	34	1	.0	.1	31.3
	35	6	.3	.4	31.7
	40	115	5.0	7.2	38.9
	42	1	.0	.1	38.9
	43	1	.0	.1	39.0
	45	11	.5	.7	39.7
	47	1	.0	.1	39.7
	49	3	.1	.2	39.9
	50	382	16.7	23.9	63.8
	55	8	.3	.5	64.3
	57	1	.0	.1	64.3
	58	2	.1	.1	64.5
	60	116	5.1	7.2	71.7
	65	3	.1	.2	71.9
	69	2	.1	.1	72.0
	70	148	6.5	9.2	81.3
	72	1	.0	.1	81.3
	75	20	.9	1.2	82.6
	80	25	1.1	1.6	84.1
	85	104	4.5	6.5	90.6
	87	1	.0	.1	90.7
	88	1	.0	.1	90.8
	90	24	1.0	1.5	92.3
	93	1	.0	.1	92.3
	95	5	.2	.3	92.6
	98	1	.0	.1	92.7
	99	2	.1	.1	92.8
	100	115	5.0	7.2	100.0
	Total	1601	69.8	100.0	
Missing	System	693	30.2		

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Total		2294	100.0		

W2_QK1 How widespread do you believe the problem of racism is against blacks among police officers in this country?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 REFUSED	56	2.4	3.5	3.5
	1 Very Common	423	18.4	26.4	29.9
	2 Fairly Common	713	31.1	44.5	74.5
	3 Fairly Rare	332	14.5	20.7	95.2
	4 Very Rare	77	3.4	4.8	100.0
	Total	1601	69.8	100.0	
Missing	System	693	30.2		
Total		2294	100.0		

W2_QK2 Would you favor or oppose a law requiring mandatory life imprisonment for anyone convicted of a serious crime for the third time?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 REFUSED	56	2.4	3.5	3.5
	1 Strongly Favor	517	22.5	32.3	35.8
	2 Somewhat Favor	450	19.6	28.1	63.9
	3 Neither Favor nor Oppose	361	15.7	22.5	86.4
	4 Somewhat Oppose	144	6.3	9.0	95.4
	5 Strongly Oppose	73	3.2	4.6	100.0
	Total	1601	69.8	100.0	
Missing	System	693	30.2		
Total		2294	100.0		

W2_QK3 If you could choose between the following two approaches, which one do you think is the better penalty for murder-the death penalty, or life imprisonment?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 REFUSED	66	2.9	4.1	4.1
	1 Death penalty	744	32.4	46.5	50.6
	2 Life imprisonment	791	34.5	49.4	100.0
	Total	1601	69.8	100.0	
Missing	System	693	30.2		
Total		2294	100.0		

W2_QK3A How strongly do you feel about [the death penalty/ life imprisonment]?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 REFUSED	6	.3	.4	.4
	1 Very Strongly	682	29.7	44.4	44.8
	2 Somewhat Strongly	702	30.6	45.7	90.6
	3 Not Strongly At All	145	6.3	9.4	100.0
	Total	1535	66.9	100.0	
Missing	System	759	33.1		
Total		2294	100.0		

W2_QL1A [LESBIAN WOMEN] A score of 1 means that you think all the people in this group are feminine. A score of 7 means that you think most people in the group are masculine.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 REFUSED	59	2.6	3.7	3.7
	1 Feminine 1	37	1.6	2.3	6.0
	2 2	47	2.0	2.9	8.9
	3 3	94	4.1	5.9	14.8
	4 Not close to one end or the other 4	832	36.3	52.0	66.8
	5 5	273	11.9	17.1	83.8
	6 6	161	7.0	10.1	93.9
	7 Masculine 7	98	4.3	6.1	100.0
	Total	1601	69.8	100.0	
Missing	System	693	30.2		
Total		2294	100.0		

W2_QL1B [GAY MEN] A score of 1 means that you think all the people in this group are feminine. A score of 7 means that you think most people in the group are masculine.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 REFUSED	59	2.6	3.7	3.7
	1 Feminine 1	155	6.8	9.7	13.4
	2 2	234	10.2	14.6	28.0
	3 3	331	14.4	20.7	48.7
	4 Not close to one end or the other 4	729	31.8	45.5	94.2
	5 5	66	2.9	4.1	98.3
	6 6	21	.9	1.3	99.6
	7 Masculine 7	6	.3	.4	100.0
	Total	1601	69.8	100.0	
Missing	System	693	30.2		
Total		2294	100.0		

W2_QL2A [Work with children] Gays and lesbians should be allowed to:

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 REFUSED	71	3.1	4.4	4.4
	1 Strongly Agree	447	19.5	27.9	32.4
	2 Somewhat Agree	541	23.6	33.8	66.1
	3 Somewhat Disagree	305	13.3	19.1	85.2
	4 Strongly Disagree	237	10.3	14.8	100.0
	Total	1601	69.8	100.0	
Missing	System	693	30.2		
Total		2294	100.0		

W2_QL2B [Become Clergy/Ministers/Priests/Rabbis/Imams] Gays and lesbians should be allowed to:

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 REFUSED	70	3.1	4.4	4.4
	1 Strongly Agree	359	15.6	22.4	26.8
	2 Somewhat Agree	392	17.1	24.5	51.3
	3 Somewhat Disagree	304	13.3	19.0	70.3
	4 Strongly Disagree	476	20.7	29.7	100.0
	Total	1601	69.8	100.0	
Missing	System	693	30.2		
Total		2294	100.0		

W2_QL2C [Have and Raise Children] Gays and lesbians should be allowed to:

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 REFUSED	67	2.9	4.2	4.2
	1 Strongly Agree	427	18.6	26.7	30.9
	2 Somewhat Agree	477	20.8	29.8	60.6
	3 Somewhat Disagree	310	13.5	19.4	80.0
	4 Strongly Disagree	320	13.9	20.0	100.0
	Total	1601	69.8	100.0	
Missing	System	693	30.2		
Total		2294	100.0		

W2_QL3 Some people believe that homosexuality is immoral. To what extent do you agree or disagree with this perspective?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 REFUSED	79	3.4	4.9	4.9
	1 Strongly Agree	430	18.7	26.9	31.8
	2 Somewhat Agree	411	17.9	25.7	57.5
	3 Somewhat Disagree	299	13.0	18.7	76.1
	4 Strongly Disagree	382	16.7	23.9	100.0
	Total	1601	69.8	100.0	
Missing	System	693	30.2		
Total		2294	100.0		

W2_QL4 Do you favor or oppose laws to protect homosexuals against job discrimination?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 REFUSED	62	2.7	3.9	3.9
	1 Strongly Favor	565	24.6	35.3	39.2
	2 Somewhat Favor	326	14.2	20.4	59.5
	3 Neither Favor nor Oppose	469	20.4	29.3	88.8
	4 Somewhat Oppose	67	2.9	4.2	93.0
	5 Strongly Oppose	112	4.9	7.0	100.0
	Total	1601	69.8	100.0	
Missing	System	693	30.2		
Total		2294	100.0		

W2_QM8 How concerned are you about the spread of HIV/AIDS in your community?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 REFUSED	59	2.6	3.7	3.7
	1 Extremely Concerned	300	13.1	18.7	22.4
	2 Very Concerned	317	13.8	19.8	42.2
	3 Somewhat Concerned	572	24.9	35.7	78.0
	4 Not Concerned At All	353	15.4	22.0	100.0
	Total	1601	69.8	100.0	
Missing	System	693	30.2		
Total		2294	100.0		

W2_QM9 Where would you place yourself on this scale?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 REFUSED	57	2.5	3.6	3.6
	1 Government sees to it every person has a job and a good standard of living 1	140	6.1	8.7	12.3
	2 2	107	4.7	6.7	19.0
	3 3	201	8.8	12.6	31.5
	4 4	485	21.1	30.3	61.8
	5 5	250	10.9	15.6	77.5
	6 6	185	8.1	11.6	89.0
	7 Government lets each person get ahead on her/his own 7	176	7.7	11.0	100.0
	Total	1601	69.8	100.0	
Missing	System	693	30.2		
Total		2294	100.0		

W2_QM10 Where would you place yourself on this scale?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 REFUSED	70	3.1	4.4	4.4
	1 Government should help minority groups 1	149	6.5	9.3	13.7
	2 2	136	5.9	8.5	22.2
	3 3	224	9.8	14.0	36.2
	4 4	487	21.2	30.4	66.6
	5 5	218	9.5	13.6	80.2
	6 6	169	7.4	10.6	90.8
	7 Minority groups should help themselves 7	148	6.5	9.2	100.0
	Total	1601	69.8	100.0	
Missing	System	693	30.2		
Total		2294	100.0		

W2_QM11 Where would you place yourself on this scale?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 REFUSED	58	2.5	3.6	3.6
	1 Government should help poor people 1	231	10.1	14.4	18.1
	2 2	205	8.9	12.8	30.9
	3 3	286	12.5	17.9	48.7
	4 4	458	20.0	28.6	77.3
	5 5	194	8.5	12.1	89.4
	6 6	102	4.4	6.4	95.8
	7 Poor people should help themselves 7	67	2.9	4.2	100.0
	Total	1601	69.8	100.0	
Missing	System	693	30.2		
Total		2294	100.0		

W2_QM12 In the future, how willing should the United States be to use military force to solve international problems?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 REFUSED	57	2.5	3.6	3.6
	1 Extremely Willing	80	3.5	5.0	8.6
	2 Very Willing	172	7.5	10.7	19.3
	3 Somewhat Willing	685	29.9	42.8	62.1
	4 Not Very Willing	409	17.8	25.5	87.6
	5 Never Willing	68	3.0	4.2	91.9
	6 Don't Know	130	5.7	8.1	100.0
	Total	1601	69.8	100.0	
Missing	System	693	30.2		
Total		2294	100.0		

W2_QN1 Generally speaking, would you say that most people can be trusted, or that you can't be too careful in dealing with people?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 REFUSED	56	2.4	3.5	3.5
	1 Most people can be trusted	598	26.1	37.4	40.8
	2 Can't be too careful	947	41.3	59.2	100.0
	Total	1601	69.8	100.0	
Missing	System	693	30.2		
Total		2294	100.0		

W2_QN2 Do you think more people would try to take advantage of you if they got the chance or would they try to be fair?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 REFUSED	58	2.5	3.6	3.6
	1 Take advantage	818	35.7	51.1	54.7
	2 Try to be fair	725	31.6	45.3	100.0
	Total	1601	69.8	100.0	
Missing	System	693	30.2		
Total		2294	100.0		

W2_QN3 Do you have any neighbors that you know and talk to regularly?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 REFUSED	39	1.7	2.4	2.4
	1 Yes	1064	46.4	66.5	68.9
	2 No	498	21.7	31.1	100.0
	Total	1601	69.8	100.0	
Missing	System	693	30.2		
Total		2294	100.0		

W2_QN4 About how many neighbors do you talk to regularly? Would that be just one, two or three, four or five, or more than five?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 REFUSED	6	.3	.6	.6
	1 Just one	110	4.8	10.3	10.9
	2 Two or three	538	23.5	50.6	61.5
	3 Four or five	227	9.9	21.3	82.8
	4 More than five	183	8.0	17.2	100.0
	Total	1064	46.4	100.0	
Missing	System	1230	53.6		
Total		2294	100.0		

W2_QO1 President Obama's election as the nation's first African American president...

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 REFUSED	55	2.4	3.4	3.4
	1 Improved American race relations	475	20.7	29.7	33.1
	2 Worsened American race relations	227	9.9	14.2	47.3
	3 Neither improved nor worsened America race relations	844	36.8	52.7	100.0
	Total	1601	69.8	100.0	
Missing	System	693	30.2		
Total		2294	100.0		

W2_QO2 President Obama's election as the nation's first African American president...

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 REFUSED	61	2.7	3.8	3.8
	1 Enhanced the public image of blacks	716	31.2	44.7	48.5
	2 Diminished the public image of blacks	102	4.4	6.4	54.9
	3 Neither enhanced nor diminished the public image of blacks	722	31.5	45.1	100.0
	Total	1601	69.8	100.0	
Missing	System	693	30.2		
Total		2294	100.0		

W2_QO3 President Obama's election as the nation's first African American president made government...

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	-1 REFUSED	67	2.9	4.2	4.2
	1 More responsive to the problems of blacks and other minorities	400	17.4	25.0	29.2
	2 Less responsive to the problems of blacks and other minorities	103	4.5	6.4	35.6
	3 Neither more nor less responsive to the problems of blacks and other minorities.	1031	44.9	64.4	100.0
	Total	1601	69.8	100.0	
Missing	System	693	30.2		
Total		2294	100.0		

PPAGE Age

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Value				
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	100.0

ppagecat Age - 7 Categories

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 18-24	237	10.3	10.3	10.3
	2 25-34	289	12.6	12.6	22.9
	3 35-44	360	15.7	15.7	38.6
	4 45-54	457	19.9	19.9	58.5
	5 55-64	514	22.4	22.4	81.0
	6 65-74	329	14.3	14.3	95.3
	7 75+	108	4.7	4.7	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

ppagect4 Age - 4 Categories

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 18-29	397	17.3	17.3	17.3
	2 30-44	489	21.3	21.3	38.6
	3 45-59	710	31.0	31.0	69.6
	4 60+	698	30.4	30.4	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

PPEDUC Education (Highest Degree Received)

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 No formal education	6	.3	.3	.3
	2 1st, 2nd, 3rd, or 4th grade	1	.0	.0	.3
	3 5th or 6th grade	4	.2	.2	.5
	4 7th or 8th grade	22	1.0	1.0	1.4
	5 9th grade	19	.8	.8	2.3
	6 10th grade	35	1.5	1.5	3.8
	7 11th grade	53	2.3	2.3	6.1
	8 12th grade NO DIPLOMA	79	3.4	3.4	9.5
	9 HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATE - high school DIPLOMA or the equivalent (GED)	700	30.5	30.5	40.1
	10 Some college, no degree	490	21.4	21.4	61.4
	11 Associate degree	192	8.4	8.4	69.8
	12 Bachelors degree	414	18.0	18.0	87.8
	13 Masters degree	215	9.4	9.4	97.2
	14 Professional or Doctorate degree	64	2.8	2.8	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

PPEDUCAT Education (Categorical)

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Less than high school	219	9.5	9.5	9.5
	2 High school	700	30.5	30.5	40.1
	3 Some college	682	29.7	29.7	69.8
	4 Bachelor's degree or higher	693	30.2	30.2	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

PPETHM Race / Ethnicity

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 White, Non-Hispanic	814	35.5	35.5	35.5
	2 Black, Non-Hispanic	1278	55.7	55.7	91.2
	3 Other, Non-Hispanic	46	2.0	2.0	93.2
	4 Hispanic	123	5.4	5.4	98.6
	5 2+ Races, Non-Hispanic	33	1.4	1.4	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

PPGENDER Gender

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Male	1032	45.0	45.0	45.0
	2 Female	1262	55.0	55.0	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

PPHHHEAD Household Head

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	0 No	422	18.4	18.4	18.4
	1 Yes	1872	81.6	81.6	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

PPHHSIZE Household Size

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1	489	21.3	21.3	21.3
	2	839	36.6	36.6	57.9
	3	401	17.5	17.5	75.4
	4	309	13.5	13.5	88.8
	5	133	5.8	5.8	94.6
	6	76	3.3	3.3	98.0
	7	26	1.1	1.1	99.1
	8	12	.5	.5	99.6
	9	5	.2	.2	99.8
	10	1	.0	.0	99.9
	12	1	.0	.0	99.9
	13	1	.0	.0	100.0
	14	1	.0	.0	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

PPHOUSE Housing Type

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 A one-family house detached from any other house	1400	61.0	61.0	61.0
	2 A one-family house attached to one or more houses	217	9.5	9.5	70.5
	3 A building with 2 or more apartments	583	25.4	25.4	95.9
	4 A mobile home	88	3.8	3.8	99.7
	5 Boat, RV, van, etc.	6	.3	.3	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

PPINCIMP Household Income

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Less than $5,000	127	5.5	5.5	5.5
	2 $5,000 to $7,499	64	2.8	2.8	8.3
	3 $7,500 to $9,999	61	2.7	2.7	11.0
	4 $10,000 to $12,499	68	3.0	3.0	13.9
	5 $12,500 to $14,999	62	2.7	2.7	16.7
	6 $15,000 to $19,999	98	4.3	4.3	20.9
	7 $20,000 to $24,999	109	4.8	4.8	25.7
	8 $25,000 to $29,999	140	6.1	6.1	31.8
	9 $30,000 to $34,999	108	4.7	4.7	36.5
	10 $35,000 to $39,999	132	5.8	5.8	42.2
	11 $40,000 to $49,999	162	7.1	7.1	49.3
	12 $50,000 to $59,999	181	7.9	7.9	57.2
	13 $60,000 to $74,999	235	10.2	10.2	67.4
	14 $75,000 to $84,999	129	5.6	5.6	73.1
	15 $85,000 to $99,999	145	6.3	6.3	79.4
	16 $100,000 to $124,999	200	8.7	8.7	88.1
	17 $125,000 to $149,999	125	5.4	5.4	93.5
	18 $150,000 to $174,999	63	2.7	2.7	96.3
	19 $175,000 or more	85	3.7	3.7	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

PPMARIT Marital Status

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Married	1063	46.3	46.3	46.3
	2 Widowed	118	5.1	5.1	51.5
	3 Divorced	280	12.2	12.2	63.7
	4 Separated	58	2.5	2.5	66.2
	5 Never married	585	25.5	25.5	91.7
	6 Living with partner	190	8.3	8.3	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

PPMSACAT MSA Status

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	0 Non-Metro	268	11.7	11.7	11.7
	1 Metro	2026	88.3	88.3	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

PPREG4 Region 4 - Based on State of Residence

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Northeast	398	17.3	17.3	17.3
	2 Midwest	499	21.8	21.8	39.1
	3 South	994	43.3	43.3	82.4
	4 West	403	17.6	17.6	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

ppreg9 Region 9 - Based on State of Residence

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 New England	82	3.6	3.6	3.6
	2 Mid-Atlantic	316	13.8	13.8	17.3
	3 East-North Central	366	16.0	16.0	33.3
	4 West-North Central	133	5.8	5.8	39.1
	5 South Atlantic	563	24.5	24.5	63.6
	6 East-South Central	173	7.5	7.5	71.2
	7 West-South Central	258	11.2	11.2	82.4
	8 Mountain	102	4.4	4.4	86.9
	9 Pacific	301	13.1	13.1	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

PPRENT Ownership Status of Living Quarters

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Owned or being bought by you or someone in your household	1451	63.3	63.3	63.3
	2 Rented for cash	781	34.0	34.0	97.3
	3 Occupied without payment of cash rent	62	2.7	2.7	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

PPSTATEN State

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	11 ME	8	.3	.3	.3
	12 NH	3	.1	.1	.5
	13 VT	4	.2	.2	.7
	14 MA	39	1.7	1.7	2.4
	15 RI	5	.2	.2	2.6
	16 CT	23	1.0	1.0	3.6
	21 NY	140	6.1	6.1	9.7
	22 NJ	69	3.0	3.0	12.7
	23 PA	107	4.7	4.7	17.3
	31 OH	103	4.5	4.5	21.8
	32 IN	38	1.7	1.7	23.5
	33 IL	108	4.7	4.7	28.2
	34 MI	80	3.5	3.5	31.7
	35 WI	37	1.6	1.6	33.3
	41 MN	26	1.1	1.1	34.4
	42 IA	15	.7	.7	35.1
	43 MO	55	2.4	2.4	37.5
	44 ND	5	.2	.2	37.7
	45 SD	5	.2	.2	37.9
	46 NE	15	.7	.7	38.6
	47 KS	12	.5	.5	39.1
	51 DE	9	.4	.4	39.5
	52 MD	77	3.4	3.4	42.9
	53 DC	10	.4	.4	43.3
	54 VA	71	3.1	3.1	46.4
	55 WV	10	.4	.4	46.8
	56 NC	88	3.8	3.8	50.7
	57 SC	43	1.9	1.9	52.5
	58 GA	123	5.4	5.4	57.9
	59 FL	132	5.8	5.8	63.6
	61 KY	23	1.0	1.0	64.6

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
62 TN	54	2.4	2.4	67.0
63 AL	61	2.7	2.7	69.7
64 MS	35	1.5	1.5	71.2
71 AR	14	.6	.6	71.8
72 LA	33	1.4	1.4	73.2
73 OK	22	1.0	1.0	74.2
74 TX	189	8.2	8.2	82.4
81 MT	2	.1	.1	82.5
82 ID	5	.2	.2	82.7
83 WY	5	.2	.2	83.0
84 CO	25	1.1	1.1	84.0
85 NM	3	.1	.1	84.2
86 AZ	30	1.3	1.3	85.5
87 UT	8	.3	.3	85.8
88 NV	24	1.0	1.0	86.9
91 WA	46	2.0	2.0	88.9
92 OR	21	.9	.9	89.8
93 CA	227	9.9	9.9	99.7
94 AK	2	.1	.1	99.8
95 HI	5	.2	.2	100.0
Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

PPT01 Presence of Household Members - Children 0-1

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	0	2186	95.3	95.3	95.3
	1	102	4.4	4.4	99.7
	2	6	.3	.3	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

PPT1317 Presence of Household Members - Children 13-17

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	0	1969	85.8	85.8	85.8
	1	256	11.2	11.2	97.0
	2	55	2.4	2.4	99.4
	3	11	.5	.5	99.9
	4	3	.1	.1	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

PPT18OV Presence of Household Members - Adults 18+

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1	626	27.3	27.3	27.3
	2	1137	49.6	49.6	76.9
	3	321	14.0	14.0	90.8
	4	144	6.3	6.3	97.1
	5	42	1.8	1.8	99.0
	6	18	.8	.8	99.7
	7	3	.1	.1	99.9
	8	1	.0	.0	99.9
	10	1	.0	.0	100.0
	12	1	.0	.0	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

PPT25 Presence of Household Members - Children 2-5

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	0	2057	89.7	89.7	89.7
	1	197	8.6	8.6	98.3
	2	35	1.5	1.5	99.8
	3	5	.2	.2	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

PPT612 Presence of Household Members - Children 6-12

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	0	1947	84.9	84.9	84.9
	1	232	10.1	10.1	95.0
	2	99	4.3	4.3	99.3
	3	11	.5	.5	99.8
	4	4	.2	.2	100.0
	5	1	.0	.0	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

PPWORK Current Employment Status

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	1 Working - as a paid employee	1050	45.8	45.8	45.8
	2 Working - self-employed	142	6.2	6.2	52.0
	3 Not working - on temporary layoff from a job	29	1.3	1.3	53.2
	4 Not working - looking for work	249	10.9	10.9	64.1
	5 Not working - retired	482	21.0	21.0	85.1
	6 Not working - disabled	194	8.5	8.5	93.5
	7 Not working - other	148	6.5	6.5	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

PPNET HH Internet Access

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	0 No	507	22.1	22.1	22.1
	1 Yes	1787	77.9	77.9	100.0
	Total	2294	100.0	100.0	

DIST113 113th Congressional District

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Value	2170	94.6	100.0	100.0
Missing	System	124	5.4		
Total		2294	100.0		